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[00:00:00] Del Bigtree

Last Thursday we had a huge show, right? We ended up doing a really deep, beautiful dive and expose on the fires in Maui, and then we knew that we had Dr. Peter McCullough coming up and Geert Vanden Bossche at the same time, so that was going to be, long and right in the middle of the show, we made this decision, my God, this show could end up being four hours. Why don't we cut out a piece of The Jaxen Report out? So I've tell you we're just going to lose one of the stories we were telling, Friday morning you called me, and like this almost never happens. You said, Del, I think we might have made a mistake. I think we cut the wrong piece of my reporting. The more I'm looking at this new variant, and that's what we're going to be talking about, we're going to be talking about the new COVID variant, you said, the more I look at this, the more the writing appears on the wall to me. I think we're going back in. I think they're going to lock us down again. And I said, wow, really? Well, let's definitely cover that this week. And I thought when we cut that, well Geert's going to mention it, and we did, and Peter McCullough did this new variant. But that was chilling, the fact that you said, I think we're going to go into lockdowns again. And literally, I think it was like 3 or 4 hours later, across my feed came this.

[00:01:22] Alex Jones

I got a call yesterday, an individual was in town, a high-level manager in the TSA. They said, you got to warn people. Tuesday we got called in, the managers, and told that by the middle of September, you will all have to wear masks again and so will airport employees. Then by the middle of October, they are going to say that everyone flying has to wear masks. Because of the new variant in Canada and because of the W.H.O., they may declare this, but regardless, they were told, we expect by December a return to the full COVID protocol of 2020, 2021. Then I left, called the first federal connection that I was thinking of, told them what I'd been told, and they said, what day was that? I said, Tuesday. They said, yeah, we were told yesterday, expect COVID protocols to begin rolling out middle of September, the whole shooting match. The children and the mask and the attempt to push a new shot for the new variant, and we're right back in the same hellhole we were in just a few years ago.

[00:02:42] Del Bigtree

Obviously pretty scary thoughts, and an idea coming from Alex Jones. And I want to be clear, because I know Alex Jones is triggering for a lot of people, including people in our audience. I'm not playing this is to say Alex Jones is always right or that we follow him in the news, but we did a brilliant interview, I had one of my favorite interviews really of all times with Alex Jones, a super interesting, unique human on this planet. And he'd be the first one to say, and he did in the interview, look, I run things as soon as I get the story, sometimes the stories prove to be true, sometimes they don't, but I'm out there just planting red flags and letting people know what I'm hearing with his ear to the ground. The reason I bring it up, though, it was four hours after you had just said the same thing to me, and that's what was shocking to me. And so what I'd like to do is understand exactly what you were looking at last Friday morning that really made you think that we could potentially be going back into lockdowns.

[00:03:30] Jeffrey Jaxen

Yes. Thanks, Del. So I saw a lot of data points culminating to one single piece here. And let's take the 10,000 foot view here, let's go to the legislation, let's go to the prime movers of policy. So we have H.R. 307. This is what is titled, technical title is "Pandemic and All-Hazards Preparedness Reauthorization Act of 2013." So like it says, 2013, it's been going for a decade and it's up for reauthorization at the end of this fiscal year. And this is at the end of September, and you're going to see an ongoing theme in my report here, the end of September, the end of September. And so that's up for reauthorization. What did that do? Among other things, it created a couple of offices. One was the HHS Office of the Administration for Strategic Preparedness and Response. This is an operating agency within the HHS, Health and Human Services. This dealt with the pandemic response, good and bad, in all aspects of it. And then also it created BARDA. BARDA is the Biomedical Advanced Research and Development Authority. BARDA invests in medical countermeasures. It gave Moderna over $1.7 billion for boosters. It gave Pfizer over $4 billion for deployment of their vaccine. It gave AstraZeneca 1.6 billion to finish their clinical trials early on.

[00:04:58] Jeffrey Jaxen

Essentially, it seeded, it was a prime mover to cede the global mRNA vaccine technology platform. So no small thing. This is up, now if this does not get reauthorized, those agencies are gone. They're absolutely gone, they are no more, legislatively. So that is in the mix. And before we saw these headlines, there would have to be an argument made, do we really want to have this top-heavy agency, these top-heavy agencies when the pandemic is over? But then we go further. Just last month, literally a month ago, the White House launched a permanent pandemic office in the executive branch of the Presidency, run by a former mayor general. So they have a permanent pandemic office now, not something they have to stack with people last-minute when this pandemic kicks off, they're ready to go. They have a push-button pandemic office ready to go. And that's not all. We have the UN with the pandemic treaty. This is in zero draft form. This is the headline looking at this. "Pandemic treaty zero draft wins NGO approval, compliance will be key." Many, many countries are signing on to this, including the United States. It's in draft form. At the mid-to-end of September, this is going to be finalized and this is going to deal with a coordinated response, basically one narrative response across the world for the pandemic, for misinformation, for vaccine rollout.

[00:06:28] Jeffrey Jaxen

Again, this is all in the mix for the end of September. And now I started to look over into the UK because this is when I started to see the beginning of it. And remember in 2021, we saw a headline here. It was masks were beginning to come off, the mandates were winding down. We saw this headline. "Face masks should continue forever, says Sage scientist." The Sage is the UK's Strategic Advisory Group for Emergencies, this is a British government body. And who was the Sage member? Neil Ferguson was a Sage member. Remember, he said 2.2 million people were going to die. He was an epidemiologist, that was his prediction. That was handed off to Deborah Bix in the US and she ran basically the COVID lockdowns. And remember Deborah Bix, she ran the NSC, National Security Council. She was the most powerful person in the coronavirus task force, essentially, with her power, and she is an Army colonel. So this is the Sage."

[00:07:57] Del Bigtree

I mean, when I look at all this, I think, can you imagine if she'd have been standing behind Donald Trump wearing that instead of the scarves we saw? Because this is the truth. This is the truth that this person was. If we'd found out that she'd been hired by the NSC and put in place to run our lockdowns and lock us in the buildings, I think a lot more people would have said, wait a minute, is this a military operation? Boy, she really threw us off with the scarves, you know.

[00:07:57] Jeffrey Jaxen

Right. And so that could be looked at as a hand-off from Sage Neil Ferguson to the US. So Sage is a government body, and what emerged is something called an independent Sage. So a lot of people are saying we need an independent version of this because the government body obviously has biases and conflicts of interest. So we have an independent Sage, but we had journalists during the pandemic do some research, and this is what they wrote about the independent Sage. They say, "The journalist-run, intelligence-linked operation that warped British pandemic policy." It says in this article, "Presented as an independent voice for 'unbiased' scientific advice, iSAGE provided a channel for media spinners, spies and psy-op specialists to influence Britain's pandemic policy without accountability. Leaked internal emails show members fretting over its unethical methods." And speaking of unethical methods, just hold that iSAGE there for a minute, independent Sage. Speaking of
unethical methods, we had in the UK a nudge unit. These are psychiatrists, psychologists, people that understand human behavior. They were using straight-up fear to get compliance to these restrictions, the masking, the lockdowns. And the head of this nudge unit, after the pandemic was over, he went, he started doing a media tour, and these are the headlines that came out of that just recently.

[00:09:07] Jeffrey Jexen

"Britain drilld to accept lockdown in future pandemics, says 'nudge unit' chief." He's basically saying, we gave them the drill, essentially like Pavlov's dog. We taught them how too drool and they're ready to drool at the drop of a hat because we trained them to do that. So with all that in mind, coming from the UK, I saw ten days ago so or, this headline, "Glycolo scientists call for people to start wearing masks again as they sound alarm over a new variant BA.6 that has 'a lot of new mutations." "Okay, so we got masks. Now, to be clear, there's three variants going around, we're being told. And these are the headlines, at any point they can run these headlines. These mutations are always happening. And the fact that they ran a headline like that alongside with, start wearing masks. The person they're talking about in this article is suggesting we start wearing masks is from the independent Sage, member of independent Sage in the UK. This is Dr. Trish. And so that already raised my alarm, saying like, at this time, not all of those people were talking about wearing masks, but that was an outlier. Why? Well, there's a link there. So again, just keeping these data points, and now bringing it up to present day reporting, Neil Ferguson is back. And what is he saying? He's saying the same thing.

[00:10:23] Jeffrey Jexen

"Team of Government scientists including "Prof Lockdown" Neil Ferguson warn of new Covid variant..." spreading rapidly, blah, blah, blah. So how is he back? Well, he was a Sage member, he had to stop down because he broke his own lockdown policy. So he's part of the UK Health Security Agency. This was an agency that was formed in 2021, so another new agency to deal with future pandemics. So you can understand, they need an excuse to really start working hard here. Now, at the same time, all of these headlines are coming out, we have a conversation about speech. Because during this past pandemic response, American free speech was targeted in ways we've never seen before in this country, all the way from the White House down to government agencies, attacking individual scientists, even members of the public, priests, it didn't matter. The online debate was highly, highly regulated and censored. So during this time, I see this come out. This is the CEO of Twitter, Linda Yaccarino. She does an interview this month and she's talking about some new trends the platforms are doing. See if you can take a look at what may be concerning.

[00:11:32] Linda Yaccarino

Since acquisitions, we have built brand safety and content moderation tools that have never existed before at this company. And we've introduced a new policy, to your specific point about hate speech, called Freedom of Speech, not Reach. So if you're going to post something that's illegal or against the law, you're gone, zero tolerance. But more importantly, if you are going to post something that is lawful but it's awful, you get labeled. You get labeled, you get de-amplified, which means it cannot be shared. And it is certainly demonetization. Back to your direct point about brands, brand safety. So they are protected from the risk of being taken to that content. And it's also why it's really important to note that once a post is labeled, and it can't be shared, and the user sees that, 30% of the time, they take it down themselves. Staggeringly, they take it down. And that reducing that hateful content from being seen is one of the best examples how X is committed to encouraging healthy behavior online.

[00:13:09] Del Bigtree

You know, we talked about, especially because we've been talking about Elon Musk so much that he really seemed to be this arbiter of truth and transparency and exposure, putting out the Twitter files and showing us all the censorship. And then when he hires Yaccarino, we were all like, what are you doing? This is as deep state, you know? And she was saying then, upon the moment he hired her, "she says, she's saying right now is brand safety. This is what we've said about this, it's a problem. If the sponsors don't want to be next to harmful content or, you know, free speech is not freedom of speech, you're protecting the brand. So now you're protecting industry, corporations once again, over the individuals that are using this space to communicate. And I'll be honest with you, I think we're beyond the writing on the wall, it's true unless we think that this is already taking effect. Just over the last day we put out. The The HighWire put out an incredibly beautiful post pointing out several of the videos, we've linked several of the Plotkin videos. This is the leading godfather of vaccinations on the stand being grilled by our own Aaron Siri. Every time we've done anything with these videos, we get massive hits, we know so many people are watching us brand new. It's also a huge conversation out there in the world where we wanted people to say, hey, here's multiple videos of your godfather of vaccines telling the truth when he's finally on the stand. I retweeted it. Let's just bring this up. I retweeted it, and in over a day, August 23rd, that went out. I just have, it is, just a few posts, six quotes there on the entire thing. 177 reposts. Folks. I have 288,000 followers, 287,9 thousand followers, and only six people made a comment on that post and only 177 shared, 411 likes.

[00:15:02] Del Bigtree

Usually, used to be that when I would post something a year ago, or certainly when Elon Musk was around, it would be like 9000, 10,000, 2000 likes and, you know, 1000 or 2000, 3000 retweets or reposts. And so clearly, something is happening here as where as soon as we put out something, only a few people are looking at it. I did a little test just right before the show, I just decided, what if I don't talk about vaccines or anything? So I put out a puppy video. I decided just this morning, try something, enjoy this video of cute puppies. I just want to say I'm trying something, and you know, it's gotten some views, but more importantly is the comments I've gotten. I haven't seen these comments, "This is the first post I've seen from you in my feed...EVER." Even if this factors into your test, this is the first time I ever commented on your feed. Thank for all that you do! So I've been out there forever, they've been following me, and all they finally see is this puppy video. "This is the first post I've seen of yours in months." We're being throttled on what was supposed to be the last remaining free space for free speech on the internet. So Yaccarino is doing her job. Elon, wake up buddy. If you actually did care, if you actually did care about freedom of speech, your X is x in us go, it's stopping the conversation that I thought he wanted to have.

[00:16:34] Jeffrey Jexen

And we've done this down road before. This reminds me of 2016 again, shadow banning, do deboosting. We're saying we're prioritizing brand safety. Sorry, $8 a month subscribers for your blue check mark. We don't care about you, we're prioritizing brand safety. De-amplified, labeling, demonetizing people. And she said don't worry. She also, almost gleefully, almost half the people are self-censoring, they take their own stuff down when we de-label, we de-amplify them. This is so great. Now, at the same time, she's saying, all in August here, this is all happening right now. YouTube updated its misinformation policy, its medical misinformation policy. This is what that look like. They're calling it a long term vision. So this thing isn't going anywhere anytime soon. And it says, "Moving forward, YouTube will streamline dozens of our existing medical misinformation guidelines to fall under three categories - Prevention, Treatment, and Denial. These policies will apply to specific health conditions, treatments, and substances where content contradicts local health authorities or the World Health Organization (WHO)." So YouTube is now part of the World Health Organization, at least in policy. What the WHO says, or where local authorities say goes, and nothing else. It doesn't matter if you want to have any other types of conversations. And it's first, under the prevention headline, it's first guideline, let's look at that has to say, because that's important. "Prevention misinformation: We will remove content that contradicts health authority guidance on the prevention and transmission of specific health conditions and on the safety and efficacy of approved vaccines." So they're going to be the watchdogs on those conversations now moving forward.

[00:18:15] Del Bigtree

This is like the social media version of when you're out having margaritas with your friends on the deck overlooking the ocean and your neighbor is madly putting plywood boards all over their windows and you're like, ah, what do they know that we don't know? I mean, it is pretty scary. All of a sudden, these social media companies are batten down the hatches, preparing for what? The possibility that you may need to spread misinformation about health information. No.

[00:18:41] Jeffrey Jexen

And it's again, it's not like this is 2019, 2020, or 2016. This is a time where more people around the world than ever want to see open conversation, open debate, they want people to come together and have respectful conversations, especially about the vaccine topic. And so this is an article that kind of reflects that. This is Eric Winsberg. He's a professor of philosophy at the University of South Florida. He's also a professor of history and philosophy at University of Cambridge. He wrote an article titled "We Need Scientific Dissidents Now More Than Ever." And in the conclusion, he says this, "...it's not just simple, what is the evidence shows isn't always clear, and things are not as always they seem...this doesn't mean we should believe every heterodox thinker that comes along. But it means we should strongly resist the urge to punish them, to censor them, to call them racists, and to evaluate their claims..." Indeed, he gets it. And fortunately, the Journal of the American Medical Association put this original investigation, they're calling it, out on August 15th, calling it "Communication of COVID-19 misinformation on social media by physicians in the US." And what did they find with their deep dive? They found those vaccines they identified. Number one, they found that physicians were "disputing vaccine efficacy and effectiveness."

[00:20:00] Del Bigtree

It's not effective. I mean, it's incredible, this is a medical journal and they're still like it's this month. Alright, continue. Sorry. I mean, it's sort of what I said last week, which is, people are calling me and saying my university is not going to let me in if I don't get the vaccine. The vaccine doesn't work. If your university believes that giving you a product that doesn't work, only puts you in harm's way, is a good idea, why would you want to learn anything from those people? And why would you ever read a medical journal again that in August of 2023 is still complaining that people talked about the effectiveness and saying that the vaccine wasn't effective. It isn't ineffective. God.

[00:20:37] Jeffrey Jexen

Doctors freely prescribe it, they say in court, says the Department of Justice attorney. Number three, here's the best one. They found doctors during this time "disputing mask-wearing effectiveness." I don't even know where to go here. And so, and the last one, unsubstantiated claims about the "virus origin" - was still an ongoing conversation-- "government lies, and other conspiracy theories..." Let's...
So it's great these agencies are getting out of this bit. But from my understanding, ACIP has just announced that September 12th will be a new regulatory meeting, and what are they going to talk about? COVID vaccines. So we're going to keep an eye on that. These things are happening very fast now. So this is the headline on August 14th. So last week we saw, "New Covid vaccines are on
because The looking people vaccinations vaccines You...who have a perfectly Geert Vanden Bossche without People so one shot, My concern...of the next And for what anyone thinks, you know, we're just putting it all out in front of you. I'm not selling one idea or another, we are looking into everything right now as we move forward. But Geert said something that stuck with me, and it was talking about sort of the fact that the vaccine, those who have been vaccinated are at a higher risk, he believes. He mentioned that they should be taking antivirals starting immediately. He is afraid that the virus is about to mutate and get around the protections of that vaccine, which is going to be very problematic for a lot of people. But when I read that, they say, one a year is the new booster protocol, unless you're immune compromised, unless you're immune suppressed. And I think, essentially what Geert was saying, if it's true, everyone that got the vaccine is immune suppressed. Their bodies are not reacting to this virus correctly. And we know that every time you get a vaccine, it's warming faster and faster. Even Dan Cahey and we played the whole joke where they said, you know, a new booster every hour, in the next 15 minutes, it'll work, you better get to your car. But it's not funny, that's what's happening. But for people that didn't catch it, just listen to what Geert Vanden Bossche had to say, again, as we sort of look at this through another lens.

[00:36:00] Geert Vanden Bossche My concern is the protection that the vaccines, and of course I'm not talking about all of the vaccine because I've even launched recently a video message where I was clearly saying people who got one shot, don't worry, you're not primed.

[00:36:15] Del Bigtree So one shot, if you only got one, you're probably.


[00:36:18] Del Bigtree Didn't do the damage.

[00:36:19] Geert Vanden Bossche People who got clearly the infection before they got vaccinated, don't worry, I think they may, you know, to some extent their immunity may be compromised, but they are certainly having immune immunity that they can further develop, and they got primed. It becomes very tricky when you got vaccinated, especially with the mRNA vaccines, early on, before you got infected. And that is primarily the case of all the vulnerable people, those who were vaccinated first. The elderly, people with underlying diseases, people who were immune suppressed, et cetera. I'm afraid these people will be without any their adaptive immune response. I continue saying, it's not true. To say, oh, there will be a variant that is highly, highly virulent. No, this variant will have the capacity to overcome the inhibitory capacity of the non-neutralizing antibodies to prevent severe disease in the vaccinees.

[00:37:19] Del Bigtree So it's not that it's like this super deadly, it's not going to be super deadly to the unvaccinated...

[00:37:24] Geert Vanden Bossche Not at all, not at all

[00:37:25] Del Bigtree ...who have a perfectly working immune system that says I recognize that, I'm fighting that.


[00:37:28] Del Bigtree It's just going to be those whose bodies will not be able to mount the proper defense, and they're going to take a virus that isn't necessarily, it's going to be deadly for them.

[00:37:36] Geert Vanden Bossche Exactly.

[00:37:37] Del Bigtree You know, there's always a lot of controversy around anybody like Geert that we got brought on. He is still working on future innate vaccine programs for the innate immune system. But he comes from WHO, he worked for WHO, he thinks like they do, and which makes me always think when we're having this conversations, are we getting a site, if he thinks that, that we potentially have put everyone that's been vaccinated at risk, what would our government do, what would the governments of the world that have scientists just like Geert, if they knew the same thing he's saying but aren't telling us, what would that look like? Would they say, well, we better lock down, we better mask, don't tell anybody why. But the truth is, is we've ruined everybody's immune system, the common cold could kill them this winter. I mean, I don't know. I don't know. And that's why I find Geert fascinating. Is he giving us a vision into what the sort of other side, that pro-vaccine science space is really thinking and knows about what they've done here? Certainly. Certainly they must recognize that every time they give someone a vaccine, it's lasting for a shorter and shorter period of time, that the vaccinated are now being hospitalized at higher levels than anybody else, and that it appears that when they're being vaccinated, it's increasing their risk of being infected. All of these things, the science is out there. I mean, we're just totally daft and have no concept that this is going on, or do we know it and they're trying to cover their tracks for the greatest scientific error that that's ever been made. I mean, who knows? We don't know what they're thinking, but all of it is on the table here at The HighWire.

[00:39:20] Del Bigtree Right. And so you have the public declining interest in the vaccine, people concerned about long-term safety issues, myocarditis. You have Pfizer saying we're going to cut jobs if we don't get these vaccines out. Moderna doing back expectations. They need a new group, a new subpopulation to really boost this up. And this comes in, February 2023, we reported on this. "CDC adds Covid-19 vaccinations to immunization schedule for children, adults." What's happening now? School's starting. And remember, we covered this in 2021 when they were trying to really beat the drum and scare people then because they were trying to get an emergency use authorization for the vaccine and kids, that eventually happened in October. But we covered this in May of 2021, American Academy of Pediatrics showing the data, the actual mortality rates, percentage of child cases resulting in death on that far right column, 0.01%, 0.02%. Nothing going on there, essentially. And so we have this conversation coming into the fold too now with these new variants, these schools can possibly start greening this thing. And remember, the issues for COVID for kids, it's kind of a nonstarter looking at those numbers. And we have Rochelle Walensky, the former CDC director, she was asked directly in a hearing, why did you add this to the childhood immunization schedule, the recommendation? Did you look at the myocarditis, like, show me the science, was it going on? Listen to her answer, this is really important.

[00:40:47] Rep. Daniel Crenshaw The question I have is in October of 2022, the advisory committee broke public health norms by deciding to add the COVID-19 vaccine, including those under Emergency Use Authorization, to the childhood immunization schedule, that includes the bivalent booster shots. Now, obviously they're not a mandate, but they, of course, are largely followed. So, I mean, how do you view the cost-benefit of scheduling brand new bivalent booster shots for this age group, considering the children are at very low risk from COVID-19, 75% of children have already caught the virus, and that the vaccine is known to do pretty little to prevent transmission in this age group.

[00:41:13] Rochelle Walensky The important thing I think that's really, that we need to recognize is the reason that ACIP recommended and CDC put forward getting the COVID-19 vaccine on the pediatric schedule is it was only because it was the only way it could be covered in our Vaccines for Children's Program, it was the only way that our uninsured children would be able to have access to the vaccines. That was the
reason to put it on the schedule. It can't be eligible for Vaccines for Children's Program, for to be available to the uninsured, unless it is on that schedule, that was the reason to put it there.

[00:41:45] Del Bigtree
I just keep the always be closing. Boy, she closed on that. No, it really isn't about your kids, this is about the underserved and those that wouldn't be insured so that they could get a product that they would never, ever freakin need that doesn't do anything for them. But once it's on the schedule, guess what? Every moron across America running a school system is going to say, oh, wait, look. And every doctor, by the way, whether or not it's actually mandated to go to school, we'll just add it as you walk through. If you don't know what you're doing and you hand your kid over, oh, I just gave him COVID, it was a part of the recommended schedule. You didn't want the recommended schedule? We know where this goes. She knows where this goes. CDC knows where this goes. Our children across America are about to be poisoned for no reason whatsoever.

[00:42:30] Jeffrey Jaxen
Another way to look at that is it's a marketing decision, a product distribution decision. Del, I called you last week because I saw some writing on the wall that was concerning, and during the last three years, we know that media organizations were handed scripts completely divorced from reality. The facts that were used to dominate these news cycles have been questioned, are questioned. These dominant narratives that could never be touched have fallen. And as you turn on your tvs now, I ask everybody to just sit there and ask themselves, what are you seeing when you see stuff that looks like this?

[00:43:01] Various news reporters
COVID is making a comeback this summer.

[00:43:04] Various news reporters
COVID cases are on the rise again across the US.

[00:43:07] Various news reporters
Across the country, COVID hospitalizations jumped more than 14% in the most recent week.

[00:43:13] Various news reporters
Hospitalizations up 60% in the last month, according to the CDC.

[00:43:18] Various news reporters
A fast-spreading new sub-variant of the coronavirus is raising concerns among health experts globally.

[00:43:23] Various speakers
The main driver of this is a variant that's relatively newer to the scene, EG.5. It's easier to give and get, and so that makes it kind of easier to pass along.

[00:43:33] Various news reporters
The World Health Organization and the CDC came out saying they were tracking it closely.

[00:43:37] Various speakers
A mask can be your best friend. Keep it private. Back in time, we've had them in our pockets and our coats and our backpacks. Time to bring them out again. Especially as the school season starts, we don't want to see kids missing school for things that we could have prevented.

[00:43:52] Various news reporters
One Atlanta college is now reinstating a mask mandate.

[00:43:55] Various news reporters
For the next two weeks, all students and employees must wear a mask unless alone in their office. There will be social distancing and no large student gatherings.

[00:44:05] Various news reporters
Upstate University Hospital putting a face mask policy back in place.

[00:44:10] Various news reporters
So this new requirement is for staff, visitors and patients in clinical areas of the hospital.

[00:44:16] Various news reporters
Universal COVID testing is also now mandatory for admitted patients.

[00:44:20] Various news reporters
You should be wearing masks in crowded areas, especially during a surge.

[00:44:24] Various news reporters
COVID at-home testing kits back in high demand. CVS is tracking an uptick in purchases. So is Walgreens. A spokesperson telling us, quote, "We are seeing greater demand in this category nationwide, which may cause temporary and isolated shortages."

[00:44:37] Various news reporters
Stock up on at home tests. They do cover that new strain. Keep a mask handy in case you're in a crowded place. And most of all, get your shots, your best chance of staying healthy. Lester.

[00:44:48] Various news reporters
I heard that COVID never went away.

[00:44:50] Various news reporters
Didn't.

[00:44:52] Various news reporters
COVID never went away. I mean, that's what we've done to ourselves. Unbelievable. You're right, wow. And to think, you know, last Friday you were predicting this. We missed it by that much, but here we are. Here we are with a lot more detail too.

[00:45:07] Jeffrey Jaxen
And looking at that, masks are a physical symbol. They're essentially a walking billboard with really more psychological value than scientific evidence backing it. So when we see those comments and then headlines, after headlines that look like this. Companies, schools, "Kaiser Permanente reinstates COVID-19 mask mandate..." Lionsgate, "Major movie studio reinstates mask mandates 'effective immediately.'" "Georgia College reinstates mask mandates and 'physical distancing.'" Morris Brown College and Atlanta college reinstate mask mandate. Let's look at the numbers here, because this looks pretty scary if you're seeing this for the first time, especially after, you know, let's say that the public is...

[00:45:46] Del Bigtree
Something big must be going on.

[00:45:47] Jeffrey Jaxen
Something big must be going on, the public's traumatized. Remember, they were drilled to accept lockdowns, so they are being really pushed into this. Let's look at the cases chart. This is all world data, Our World Data. This is Europe, Israel, US, China, India. You can see all on the right side there. Not much going on, especially not much going on from the highs that we've experienced and seen. Let's look at the United States, okay, those are just cases, whatever, but what about mortality? Because this thing is clearly killing people, right? Let's look at the death chart. Not much going on again, still not much going on. Nowhere near what we saw in the past, in 2020, 2021. Let's go to the US, CDC, we're talking about hospitalizations chart. Still, a little uptick there, nothing compared to those previous upticks. And then the death charts from the CDC, actually goes down, there's nothing going on. So we have to question, why are these headlines pushing fear in unison? Why are they pushing re-masking when their effectiveness is not supported by science? How far are they willing to take it this time, and what are people going to, it's a different world than three years ago, people are awake. What are we going to do?
What an amazing report, Jefferey. Just so much detail and we have all seen those headlines, it's why we're seeing so many people posting about it, I will not comply. But to see all of those things lining up, clearly, we need to wake up everyone we know right now so that they recognize, sooner than later, we have the majority, this isn't happening again, we will vote you out, we will not make, we will not allow this to happen again. We're too intelligent, we're not that stupid.

End of Transcript