

NAME

EP 04 5/4/17.mp4

DATE

January 18, 2024

DURATION

59m 54s

3 SPEAKERS

Del Bigtree

Bill Nye, "Bill Nye Saves the World" on Netflix

Stefanie Fetzner, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

START OF TRANSCRIPT

[00:00:03] Del Bigtree

Hello and welcome to HighWire with Del Bigtree, death-defying talk without a safety net. I suppose you're having trouble hearing me. That's probably because I have tape over my mouth. But you see, the thing about science is, it's anecdotal that this was actually what was keeping you from hearing me. We would have to have hundreds and hundreds of thousands of people, I guess, saying that tape was keeping them from being able to speak in order to prove scientifically that tape actually stops you from being able to talk. I know that seems ridiculous, but this is what I want to talk about today. We're going to talk about science, something that I like a whole lot. And I want to talk about, I'm going to piggyback on last week because I was beating on Bill Nye a little bit. Sorry, Bill. I really loved you when I was a kid, and there's some things that I enjoy, but this whole approach you have to vaccines is really absurd and I'm going to have to beat on it just one more time. Because I was talking about propaganda last week. I'm talking about the fact that they're trying to make all of us accept the fact there's going to be forced injections by the government for all children and all adults for the rest of our lives. Only we can stop it, that's you. We're going to talk about laws today. We're going to talk about what you need to do to step up and be an active citizen. But first, I want to talk about the big lies that are being pumped into your brains. And this is a statement you've heard. This is how propaganda works. They repeat it over and over and over again. Have you heard this line before?

[00:01:36] Bill Nye, "Bill Nye Saves the World" on Netflix

Today, we are talking about vaccinations, and how they prevent bad things, just like seatbelts do. No kidding. Vaccines are to germs as seatbelts are to car wrecks. Now seatbelts work. They save lives. The science is settled. Vaccinations work. They save lives. That science is settled. Both are for the public good. We've known this about vaccines since the 1790s, when Edward Jenner made vaccines that worked pretty well.

[00:02:09] Del Bigtree

Seatbelts are just like vaccines. We mandate seatbelts and everybody that has to drive a car <audio briefly cuts out>...if you get pulled over without one, then you're going to get a fine. Well, we're all used to that, and so they say vaccines are exactly the same thing, it's just like a seatbelt. Everybody has to get them to protect everybody on the road and to protect yourself. A few slight differences, though. Seatbelts don't inject me with mercury, seatbelts don't inject me with aluminum or formaldehyde or polysorbate 80, cancer-causing agents, things that we believe are causing neural development disorders in mice, in rats. Study after study after study...point today. Let's go ahead and go right along with Bill Nye's idea of seat belts, the fact that the seat belt is proven, the science is settled on seat belts, just the way the science is settled on vaccines. So let's discuss that right now. Let's take the seat belt, for instance. Here's where the science was settled. We came up with a seat belt. Move your arm up. I got Billy D. here helping me out. Luckily from a previous show, thank you, Billy. We strapped it around the chest and then we decided you should strap it around the waist, too. Remember when they were just around the waist? Remember those crazy car seats we had as kids that didn't have any seatbelts, you ride on the back of the car. Okay, essentially, this is the seatbelt. The science is settled. This protects you in accidents when you're hit by another car or you hit other subjects.

[00:03:40] Del Bigtree

Well, here's a difference between seatbelts and vaccines. See, with vaccines we decided, well, one seatbelt is great, but what about more seatbelt? Let's say this is the polio vaccine, right? Actually, I made a mistake. The polio vaccine, we actually decided that one seatbelt wasn't good enough, so we need a couple more. Watch your arm here really quickly. I need a couple more. I think I believe it's, what is it, four polio shots. So the seatbelt didn't work the way we wanted it to, so we got to go and make sure that we have four of them, okay. This is how the seatbelt science works, because, hey, by the way, the science is settled and it's proven safe. What have I got? Three there. We get one more. Here we go, coming in, boom. Science is settled. The polio vaccine works after four shots. Not good enough, though. Hey, I'm Sanofi-Aventis, or I'm Pfizer. I want in the game. I want to create some vaccine for myself. Maybe it's chickenpox or rotavirus. But let's go ahead, let's see chickenpox. Great idea. Something that's never killed anybody in America, but let's go ahead and let's make it a chest. We're going to say, hey, I came up with a chest. I want a chest belt. Because I want it in this game. We've already covered the shoulder belt, so I need two of the chickenpox or two of the chest belts. Because, hey, the science is settled.

[00:04:57] Del Bigtree

The more belts, the better. Hey, I got more. Why don't we go into rotavirus? I love rotavirus because this is a disease that really only gives you diarrhea for four days. The side effect, however, is that your child could have the intestines wrap around themselves and then kill them. But hey, what difference does that make? I'm going to call this the head belt. The rotavirus is like, you know what we need? We need a head belt. This is going to help with the safety, and how many rotavirus do we get here? Anyone help me. Three. Okay, three rotavirus. So we're going to go three head belts because the science is settled. Seat belts are safe, and they're the greatest thing that ever happened. So here's my third. Can we still see there Billy? Okay, we got our rotavirus done. Next. How about hepatitis A? We need two of those. That would be the arm. Let me, you don't need your left arm to drive, so let's just make it a left arm belt, and hey, where's the science on that? Where's the science that says putting tape on an arm or a seatbelt on an arm works. It doesn't matter. We don't need to look into it because the science is settled. All belts are great, we can't get enough of them. Moving on, hepatitis B. You know what? I think some leg belts.

[00:06:10] Del Bigtree

Here we go, we need leg restraints when we're driving. Here we go, we need three of these suckers. I don't know if you can see all of this. Moving on. Measles, of course, measles, the deadly measles. I'm going to go ahead and just call this the chin belt. Here we go, we need a couple of these around the throat. Alright, we're looking good. It seems kind of ridiculous. He's got to drive, but hey, the science is settled. Seatbelts are the greatest invention of the 20th century. Moving on, pneumococcal. Okay, let's go for some pneumococcal. So I'm going to go for the crotch belt now. We'll take this one up over the top like this. And people say, well, hey, did you test that? You sure he can drive, you sure he can get down the road? It doesn't matter, the science was settled. We know how safe this is. We know how great it is. We can't get enough. You get where this is going. I'm still about 20 vaccines short of where our kids need to be because seat belts are the greatest invention of all times. Just like vaccines, the science is settled. They're awesome. We can't get enough, we don't need to test them. That's where we're at. This is your child in our vaccine program. Now, imagine trying to drive down the road like this. Think you're going to have a few more accidents. Doesn't matter, he's so well protected.

[00:07:27] Del Bigtree

Who cares how many accidents he gets in? But is he going to be getting in accidents because he can't move? We have children that are having autoimmune diseases like we've never seen, diabetes running rampant, autism 1 in 45, up from 1 in 10,000. MS, Multiple Sclerosis, childhood cancers, asthma. Our children are so sick. We were never this sick. We used to be able to drive our cars freely. One seatbelt, fine. One seatbelt might have been a really good idea. And maybe it saved a lot of lives. But we didn't need this. This didn't make us healthier. This didn't make us safer. This doesn't help us drive down the road. Sure, maybe he's going to be protected from accidents, but don't you think he's going to be in a lot more accidents? Same thing with vaccines. If we're sick all the time because our immune system is shot by too many vaccines, you can say, hey, vaccines stopped the measles. Yeah, but his brain swelled up and he's got, you know, he's got some neurological disorder or he's got an autoimmune disease. 1 in 2 children in America now has an autoimmune disease. 1 in 4 is leaving elementary school on a drug they'll be on the rest of their lives. I believe this is part of the problem. Settled science is not good science. Science must evolve. It should be more efficient, not less efficient. We are giving 72 vaccines to our children by the time they're 18 and they're the sickest they've ever been in the history of this nation.

[00:09:10] Del Bigtree

If we put 72 seatbelts on everybody in a car, I don't think we'd be saying any longer, the science is settled, seatbelts are great. Everyone would be driving into each other like bumper cars. It's time to wake up and it's time to stop listening to these simplistic views of science. It's first grade science, it's fake science, and it's propaganda, and you need to wake up. Seatbelts, vaccines. Yes, they are the same thing, and this is what it would look like if seatbelts were handled like vaccines. Thank you. We're starting there. Somebody get the tape off of Billy D. Alright. You can tell I'm a little bit hyped up today. You watch the news. I watch all these people talking about vaccines, the greatest invention of the 20th century. Do you realize that we don't re-investigate vaccines. That because the polio vaccine was so effective in our minds, we decided, hey, all vaccines are great and all vaccines should be rushed onto the market? Why should they be rushed on the market? Because it was a crisis. We had polio running rampant. Let's rush them onto the market and let's take them out of the same queue that drugs are on. Drugs are being tested for up to ten years, safety studies, double-blind studies. But we don't do that vaccine for vaccines. I'm going to talk about that in a little bit.

[00:10:35] Del Bigtree

But I want to talk about something. This was in the news this week. This is Scott Gottlieb. This is the man that we are about to, that's trying to get into the FDA. I'm not sure, has he been, does anyone know, did he get approved? He's been approved. Alright, we think so. I know I should know that, but I'm running around and flying a lot. But I do want to talk about this quote that Scott Gottlieb had this week when speaking to Congress. He was asked about vaccines and they said, you know, our vaccines, you know, Trump has been against vaccines or at least has questions about vaccines, believes they caused autism. And Gottlieb says this. "This has been one of the most exhaustively studied questions in scientific history... We need to come to the point where we can accept no for an answer...there is no causal link between vaccination and autism." That's it, this is going to be the future head of the FDA. I don't really hold it against him, this is the quote everybody quotes. It's been exhaustively studied. Now, do you think that Scott Gottlieb himself exhaustively studied this? Of course not. This is a CEO type. This is a guy that runs, you know, large pharmaceutical companies, he wants to be the head of the FDA. So he hasn't personally sat down and studied every one of these things.

[00:11:55] Del Bigtree

Can we quiet the tape down over there? I'm trying to get some job done. Okay. We know Scott Gottlieb doesn't do it, but he believes that the body of science, when they tell him it's been exhaustively studied, that they're telling the truth. In fact, he's probably been exhaustively told that they've been studied over and over and over again every time the question came up from people in his offices throughout his career. So I want to talk about what does exhaustively studied mean. And remember, by the way, he mentions, vaccines do not cause autism. He's not talking about all of the other autoimmune diseases, he's specifically talking about autism. So what he's saying is, I just want to make sure we make this clear, this has been one of the most exhaustively studied questions in scientific history. Okay. Really? Well, let's talk about that. There are 16 vaccines in the program that our children get over the course, they get about 72 doses, so multiple doses of each one of these 16 vaccines. This exhaustive study looking at do vaccines cause autism has taken them on the path of investigating how many of those vaccines? One. One vaccine in the entire study has ever been, had official scientific studies done at the CDC looking at do they cause autism? That doesn't look exhaustive to me. Out of 16 vaccines, we've only had one vaccine looked at. There was like, I think there's like 4 or 5 MMR autism studies.

[00:13:32] Del Bigtree

One of them is what got me into this whole thing to begin with, the MMR Autism study done by Frank DeStefano. If you haven't seen my movie VAXXED, you should really check it out, go out to VAXXED.com immediately because it's going to blow your mind. We have a whistleblower inside the CDC named Dr. William Thompson, who tells us that this study on the MMR is a fraud that committed scientific fraud, hid data, destroyed data, the list goes on and on. Most of you already know that. But think about that, exhaustively studied one vaccine. Now there are a bunch of ingredients, there's about 50 ingredients that are in these vaccines. Each one of these vaccines has everything, I've named a lot of it before, from aluminum, mercury, Polysorbate 80, you know, all, formaldehyde. These are cancer-causing agents, neurological agents, things that we know cause neurological diseases in people, in mice, in rats, studies around the world, the list goes on and on. We have human calf serum and aborted fetal tissue. So you're imagining when they say exhaustively, I'm imagining when they say exhaustively studied, we have looked at all 50 of these ingredients. We've looked how they go, how they co-mingle with each other, how they affect our bodies, right. Because it's been exhaustively studied. Do you know how many of the 50 ingredients have actually been studied officially by the CDC? One. Thimerosal. Mercury in vaccines. Now, I travel around a lot with Bobby Kennedy, and he talks a lot about thimerosal and he talks about the fraud around the studies of thimerosal.

[00:15:11] Del Bigtree

Simpsonwood is something you may want to look into, where they had a giant panel get together of great scientists and doctors. In the beginning of Simpsonwood, they all recognized they had a massive problem that mercury was causing neurological disorders in children. And by the end of several days of them all camping out together, singing Kumbaya, they came to the determination that it was much smarter to leave mercury alone, not tell people it was dangerous, and you may have just gotten it in your flu shot if you're a pregnant mother, just the other day. One ingredient, one ingredient out of a list of 50 ingredients is what has been studied. One vaccine out of 16 vaccines. I want to know how we would ever elect or put anyone into a position of authority that says that this has been exhaustively studied when one out of 50 ingredients is what's been studied and one out of 16 vaccines. It really is shocking to me that our entire pharmaceutical industry, which owns much of the media that keeps pumping these ideas out at you, that our doctors continue to repeat these types of statements, exhaustively studied. If you're a doctor out there, did you know that? Did you know we only looked at one ingredient? Did you know we only looked at one vaccine? I don't think you did.

[00:16:31] Del Bigtree

Unfortunately, when I handed my child to you, I thought you knew these things. Unfortunately, when you said it as the head of the FDA, we believed you. I believed you when I was a producer on one of the top talk shows, medical talk shows in the world. And I don't believe you any longer, because I've started looking for myself. And that's what this is about. People say, what are you telling me, I'm all on my own? Unfortunately, you are. I'd love to be able to tell you that you can trust your doctor, that you can trust the head of the FDA, or you can trust the CDC who's looking out for your interests. But once I stopped trusting and started investigating, which I've done for three years, I came to the conclusion that this is all built on a bunch of lies. That's the truth. This is a sinking ship. They want to say we're anti-science because we question the fact that exhaustive only means one vaccine and one ingredient. Science should have tested all of them, and science should have tested how all 16 vaccines play with each other inside of the bodies of our children. These are all studies that have never been done. If they say, well, it would be exhaustive, we could never, ever do that many studies, then do one. Do one that I've talked about many, many times, the vaxxed versus the unvaxxed study. We have children that have never had a vaccine.

[00:17:51] Del Bigtree

Wouldn't you like to know what their health outcomes are? How many of them get cancer? How many of them get autism? How many of them have multiple sclerosis? How many of them have leukemia? How many of them have asthma, ADD, ADHD, deadly food allergies. We should all want to know the answers to those questions. And until we have them, the science cannot be settled because the science has not been done. It hasn't been exhaustive, it has been minimalist, it has been speculative. It has been passionately trying to prove that it's right instead of looking at what all these parents, hundreds of thousands of parents across the world are saying, the vaccine injured my child. I don't believe that's anecdotal, especially when the science you tried to hold up against the antidote of hundreds of thousands, maybe millions of stories saying the same thing, when your science only looks at one ingredient and one vaccine, I believe the book has been closed on your argument. I have a really special guest today because what I am trying to get to the point is we have to stand up now as citizens. We have to make a difference ourselves. We have to do our investigation ourselves, and we have to get involved with the politics ourselves. And someone's joining me today that has done just that. Stefanie, why don't you come over here and sit down? Stefanie Fetzer, ladies and gentlemen. Pull that mic up. How are you doing today?

[00:19:22] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

Good. How are you?

[00:19:23] Del Bigtree

Very good. So, Stefanie, you, let me get this table out of the way. Alright. Stefanie, how did you get involved in the vaccine issue? Just very quickly.

[00:19:37] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

Briefly. I have twin daughters that were born in 2004, and they both had reactions after the hep B vaccine at 12 hours old and then at two months and at four months. And by the grace of God, our doctor said, I think these are vaccine reactions, and so we stopped vaccinating. But the damage was already done, they had countless health problems, seizures, eczema, celiac disease, hair loss, you name it, they had it. So thank God we didn't vaccinate anymore. But that, at the time I didn't realize that there was such a thing as an anti-vaxxer, I just knew that they weren't good for the girls, and so we didn't vaccinate until SB 277 came out, and then I realized there was this whole world of people who don't vaccinate because their kids had the exact same issues. So that sort of threw me into it because we had to fight for our right to keep our kids in school.

[00:20:30] Del Bigtree

So in essence, your kids bailed out. They were probably only about 15, 20 seatbelts in, and they're having, they were having trouble driving down the road, essentially, right?

[00:20:38] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

Yeah, yeah. It might have even been 12 seatbelts, I think.

[00:20:40] Del Bigtree

Wow. And so SB 277 came along, and did you get involved? I mean, how did you get involved in that? So SB 277, for those of you that don't know, this is the law that took away all parental rights to decide what's injected into your children, if you want them to go to school. Essentially the state can inject your child with whatever they want, whenever they want, however they want. This is California and this is how we do it. It's really essentially a police state now, and there's more and more laws coming. They want to be able to do home, I call it home invasion. They want to do home searches where they come and maybe they give you some diapers, but they want to check in on how you're parenting. But back at SB 277, you really got involved. You started, you know, did you?

[00:21:25] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

I did. We homeschooled at the time, we've always homeschooled, because of the girl's health issues we couldn't send them to school. And I got an email from HSLDA that at the time SB 277 also included home schoolers, so we would no longer be able to homeschool unless we vaccinated the girls. And because their issues didn't fall within the CDC pink book guidelines, we were ineligible at the time for medical exemption per an entire team of doctors.

[00:21:52] Del Bigtree

Wait on, hold on a second. So pink book means, so your your girl, you had a doctor that said that your girls are having what types of issues?

[00:22:01] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

Seizures. It started with eczema and chronic diarrhea. They actually had diarrhea for seven years.

[00:22:06] Del Bigtree

Seven years of diarrhea, eczema and seizures. But because they don't, what is a pink book?

[00:22:11] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

You have to have a seizure with, the pink book is the CDC's sort of textbook on what a contraindication to vaccination is. And they're very limited. For instance, the seizures, I think it's within three days you have to have a seizure. And they didn't, so they fell out of that guideline.

[00:22:26] Del Bigtree

So this is back to my tape over my mouth opening. You know how many parents see these seizures happen within days, weeks, months? It depends, every body works differently. But it doesn't matter how many people say that and how many doctors see it and say, this is clearly a reaction, your children were perfectly healthy. The CDC doesn't care, and neither does Richard Pan and the senators that write these types of bills because as I told you, they've been paid by the pharmaceutical industry. SB 277 obviously passed. Nobody's happy about it. And so, and now everyone's like, well, what's happening next? Then we ran into SB 18.

[00:23:01] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

That's right.

[00:23:02] Del Bigtree

So what did you do once SB 18 came along? And give me a brief explanation of what SB 18 was, in your words.

[00:23:07] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

So SB 18 is being heralded as a Bill of Rights for youth and children in California. In my short estimation, it's an anti-parenting bill. It serves to put the state between the parents and the children and tell us how to parent our kids based on science-based research. And it's their science-based research.

[00:23:27] Del Bigtree

Correct, it's seatbelt research.

[00:23:28] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

It's seatbelt research.

[00:23:29] Del Bigtree

Right.

[00:23:30] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

So that was introduced. We decided to start a Facebook group and it grew pretty exponentially fast. We're up to about 23,000, I think now.

[00:23:40] Del Bigtree

23,000.

[00:23:42] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

23,000.

[00:23:42] Del Bigtree

So you started a Facebook group. What's it called?

[00:23:45] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

It's called SB 18 Takedown.

[00:23:48] Del Bigtree

SB 18 Takedown. And all of a sudden, tens of thousands of parents started joining.

[00:23:52] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

Right.

[00:23:53] Del Bigtree

What do you do then? I mean, I always think about that. You know, I remember there was that commercial during a Super Bowl once where someone was starting a website and they had a product, you know, and they put the product online and it takes off like, oh, one sale, and all of the friends are standing around it was like six and they're like, yeah, yeah. And then there was two and then five and then ten and then 5000, 10 thousand. And you start seeing this, this river.

[00:24:15] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

Right.

[00:24:16] Del Bigtree

Is there anything intimidating about that? I mean, part of you has got to be like, yeah, but what does this mean?

[00:24:20] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

Yeah, it's tricky to manage, especially because Facebook isn't necessarily the friendliest platform to manage that volume. But so far we've been great. The trickiest thing has been managing the idea that we're really about protecting parents rights, not so much the vaccine issue, although there are a lot of people who are focused on the vaccine issue and the health freedom issue, but really, we're focused on the whole umbrella that we want to protect parents rights in California.

[00:24:45] Del Bigtree

I mean, this really, this is not a vaccine issue. This really should involve every single parent out there. This can get into the diet that you feed your kids, you know, choices of whether you put your kid on Ritalin or not or an antidepressant drug, if they're going through hard times. I mean, you know, some.

[00:25:03] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

Guns, religion.

[00:25:04] Del Bigtree

Guns, religion, all of these things that are taking place in your household, SB 18 wants to look at. This is your government saying, we want to set standards for you. We want to set standards for someone who might own a gun, we want to set standards for whether you should eat a vegetarian diet or not. You know what happens if they decide that meat has to be in your diet? For you vegetarians, that's a terrible choice to make. And in California, there's a lot of vegetarians, there's a lot of vegans. What happens if you want to take carbs out? I've seen, I saw a story about someone who got called into the office because they weren't giving their kid carbs in their lunch. This is an overstep of government. It's extremely, extremely dangerous, and I don't think it's anything our founding fathers ever dreamed was going to happen. So you've, actively, your group is out there. And I want to point out that, Stefanie, you're one of how, many groups like yours are there in California, would you say? I mean.

[00:25:58] Stefanie Fetzter, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

How many groups that.

[00:26:00] Del Bigtree

Yeah, I mean.

[00:26:01] Stefanie Fetzter, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

There are several groups, a lot of sort of holdover groups from the SB 277 fight, that after SB 277 passed, we kind of took a breather, and so they kind of laid down and said, well, you know, we'll wait for the next thing. So there are a few groups out there. There's a lot of overlap, but we have a lot of new members, like I say, that aren't necessarily coming from the health choice perspective, but coming from homeschooling. Or you mentioned the diet thing, they did a study, a pilot study in Oakland for two years where they fed the kids in the schools vegan diets. And the idea was reducing the carbon carbon footprint, and, you know, environmentally it's better to eat a vegan diet. And so these kids for two years ate vegan diets, but they were soy-based and they were GMO-based, but it was a great success. And so when you look at SB 18, is that the next big thing? Are they going to come out and say, this is good for the environment and it's good for your kids, and now all of a sudden you might be vegan, but you're organic and you don't want your kids eating that at school. Or you might love meat, and what happens if your kids go to school and tell their teachers, we had steak last night and.

[00:27:03] Del Bigtree

And you have standards of care. When you start talking about standards of care, it's the homogenization of raising kids of a society. And this seems to be where things are going. So if you're going to homogenize a society, I would imagine at some point the school just says it's school lunches only. We can't have the variety coming through the door...

[00:27:20] Stefanie Fetzter, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

That's already happening, too.

[00:27:21] Del Bigtree

You know, it's getting in the way of our ability to be able to control the standards of care, which are so important. We care so much about our kids that we need to be in control of this because they're such bad parents out there. Yes, there's good ones, but we all have to succumb to control in order to take care of everybody. It's this group think that, you know, I was raised with. I'm a progressive liberal. I mean it, for, you know, for my entire life. But I started looking at this group think and thinking, well, hold on a second. Why is the group think always caring about the lowest common denominator, the child of a crack addict or the child who isn't, you know, whose parents are sending them to school with Coca Cola, Fritos, and a ding dong, You know what I mean? It's so rare. And then, okay, step in there. Step in, be tactical. This whole, like, large, we need a law that sets a huge net over every parent in California, we need to control you. We got to set standards of care for all of you. We want to visit every one of your homes and make sure you're doing it right. I mean, again, like every, where is the crisis? Did something just happen? Did children just start dying by the millions in California and I missed something and we need this law?

[00:28:40] Stefanie Fetzter, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

No, I think the, one of the biggest impetuses, the big impetus behind the bill is education, because we're 47th in the nation in education. But my contention is, we have over 300 laws protecting kids. We spend more money, our property taxes, our income tax, our sales tax, our gas tax to pay for education. It's not more laws we need. I think we need better legislators and maybe better implementation of the laws that already exist. But we don't need a blanket.

[00:29:07] Del Bigtree

That brings up a thought I had, I was just thinking about this the other day. You know, we, every, you know, we elect new politicians every couple of years and then Presidents every four years, and every one of these people we hire, like, what is it, I think it's like 80 different districts and we have...

[00:29:22] Stefanie Fetzter, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

We have 80 assembly districts and 40 senatorial districts.

[00:29:26] Del Bigtree

40 Senators and 80 assembly members that all get in office, and they think their job is to create laws. And think about that. We have 120 people that just got a job and they think their job is to write more laws. Since 1776, we've been electing this gigantic number of people that all they think they're supposed to do is write more laws. Is it possible you're going to reach a point where you have all the laws you ever needed and now it's overkill or now it's getting in the way? I mean, how could we possibly, since 1776, how have we not already written all the laws we ever needed? What was missing? Why did we miss the Children's Bill of Rights?

[00:30:11] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

Let me share this with you. Last year, senators and assemblymen in California could introduce 40 pieces of legislation....

[00:30:17] Del Bigtree

40 pieces of legislation.

[00:30:19] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

...in a 2-year period. They voted to change that this year because it wasn't enough. Now they can introduce 50 pieces of legislation per legislator in California. So that's like 6000 laws every two years.

[00:30:29] Del Bigtree

So we could...

[00:30:31] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

Or 6,000 bills.

[00:30:31] Del Bigtree

We could have 6,000 bills every two years in California, and that's what we're electing these people to do, I guess. That's what they think they're there for. We put them in office to write 6,000 bills. You cannot keep writing bills like that and not ultimately rob yourself of any human right known to man. And I think these bill crazy people like Senator Richard Pan, you really got to look out for them. I think I was seeing something the other day, he was talking about essential oils. Does he want to make essential oils illegal?

[00:31:03] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

It's interesting, that's part of SB 18.

[00:31:06] Del Bigtree

This guy is out of his freaking gore. I'm sorry. I mean, I want to be, I want to be clear. I have, is it sympathy I guess, I think empathy might be the wrong word, is it empathy for Richard Pan?

[00:31:16] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

No, I don't have either.

[00:31:18] Del Bigtree

I don't know where it lies, but you're a human being. You're, you know, we're brothers and sisters on this planet. But you have got a screw loose, brother. I mean, there's something wrong there. You want to search people's homes, essential Oils is on your hit list.

[00:31:33] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

Homeopathy.

[00:31:35] Del Bigtree

Homeopathy, these things. I mean, we're talking about sugar tablets. Really? You need to get in the way of that?

[00:31:39] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

He doesn't want anything that challenges the mainstream.

[00:31:41] Del Bigtree

No, of course not. So, the reason I want to bring you in here is, you know, one of the fascinating things as I've traveled the country, after having made VAXXED, you know, there's these grassroots groups that will call me up and say, Del, could you come in, we're going to have a state capital day, could you come and visit and maybe speak at our rally? And so I do a lot of that. And I'm astounded by these, I call them warrior moms, people like you that, I mean, that were just living regular lives, probably had a full time job, or their full time job was raising their kids or they were doing both. And then they maybe had a vaccine injury or maybe they were homeschooling or they had some issue where a law suddenly came in and said, you can no longer live the way you're living. And as, even though it really is a fairly misogynistic world, the whole political scene, it's mothers that are taking up this fight, that are figuring out how politics works and figuring out how these bills are written and where they're written and where they go. How do you, what is the learning curve on that? I mean, you're one of these people that that is doing that.

[00:32:55] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

It's funny, I was a political science major in college.

[00:32:57] Del Bigtree

Oh, so we got lucky with you.

[00:32:58] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

Well, no, after college, I said, I don't want to have anything to do with politics, so I didn't look at it again, literally until SB 277 was introduced. I stayed as far away from it as I could. But it's, I'll tell you, it's, I don't have my phone with me, but it's, I guarantee you we have millions of moms laying in bed at night with their phone like this, reading every bill, every rule, everything they can find to learn and get up to speed fast. And it's terrible, it's definitely not what I wanted. But we've been put in this position and I think moms are going to change the world. I mean, we're going to bring it down.

[00:33:31] Del Bigtree

Okay, so let me just play the devil's advocate on that. Doesn't matter. Does it work? I mean, this political system is a monster, are you telling me, what effect can mothers like you have? I mean, do you ever have an effect, do you ever win?

[00:33:44] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

We do. We had SB 457 introduced by Senator Bates. It was actually introduced in January, I think, but it kind of sat for two months. It's a home birth bill, basically, and I won't go into too many details, but it was, it aimed to severely restrict midwifery and home births in California. And the bill came up, it got amended last week or two weeks ago.

[00:34:07] Del Bigtree

It was a big, I remember I heard this hubbub, all of a sudden it was, you know. And we, my wife and I had our children at home.

[00:34:16] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

No, you didn't.

[00:34:17] Del Bigtree

Huh?

[00:34:18] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

I mean, that's what they want you to think, that no one's doing it or if you are doing it, it wasn't successful. Because what happened was, well, I won't get ahead of myself. Anyway, we activated, we put out calls to action. And it wasn't just our group, it was all the groups in California, they put out calls to action saying if you're a constituent of Senator Bates, Senator Pat Bates in Orange County, give her a call and tell her this is bad business. It's bad business for women who are in the midwifery business and it's bad business for moms.

[00:34:42] Del Bigtree

And choice.

[00:34:43] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

And, yeah, free choice. And so we made the phone calls. I don't know how many phone calls we made, but we made enough.

[00:34:49] Del Bigtree

Enough.

[00:34:49] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

Enough that I actually spoke with her chief of staff who said, we hear you, Senator Bates hears you and she's rethinking this. She tabled the bill, she suspended it. It won't come back until January. And in fact, we're going to in October have a small roundtable meeting with her to educate her on midwifery and homebirth because she didn't know. What happened was, and this is the tricky thing, us moms have our jobs, we take care of our kids or we have our real jobs or homeschool or whatever it is, but we're up against like the CMA. And so the California Medical Association, they shopped around, they had this bill and they shopped around. They wanted a woman to introduce the bill. They found Senator Bates. They went to her and they took in one person who had a bad experience with homebirth, and she told a horror story about her baby that died. And that was enough for Senator Bates to say, this is a crisis, we need to take care of this.

[00:35:39] Del Bigtree

Honestly, can you imagine if you went around to how many people had terrible situations in hospitals where their babies died?

[00:35:46] Stefanie Fetzter, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

I did. My baby didn't die, thank God, but.

[00:35:47] Del Bigtree

Or, you know, but I mean, you, I find it fascinating. They find this one person where if you went into hospital births, you would find so many people that are just.

[00:35:57] Stefanie Fetzter, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

Absolutely. We have the highest infant mortality rate in the world, I think, in developed countries.

[00:36:00] Del Bigtree

In the world. Especially day one, we have more babies die in the first day of life than every other industrialized nation combined. And when you think about hospitals, one of the stats I used to bring up on The Doctors was, it's now, I was one of the first ones that actually posted, I swear on the show. I kept saying, I want to say that murder or death in hospital is the third leading cause of death in America. And they say, Del, you can't say that. I say, but the numbers are here. It's over 250,000 people are being killed by hospitals every year for reasons other than they went in the hospital. And they say, Del, I mean, you're kind of, I mean, it's sort of sensationalist. I was like, these are numbers, cancer's here, heart attacks are here. You know, hospital deaths right here. Hospitals are not that good at doing what they do. In fact, they're horrific at it. And when I started reporting it on the show, year by year, the stat got worse and worse. And I was reporting more and more how they were trying to fix the problem, and the more they tried to fix it, the more people that were dying. Right? So it's, you know, this is what happens. But before we get too much further, what's her name? What was the name of the politician?

[00:37:06] Stefanie Fetzter, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

Senator Pat Bates.

[00:37:07] Del Bigtree

Senator Pat Bates. I want to say to you, first of all, thank you. Thank you for hearing your constituents. This is something that Senator Richard Pan does not do. He has tons and tons and tons of people reach out to him and he doesn't listen. You are someone that listens. I think that you will come, I think that when you really look at this and you meet with these mothers, you will see that these are rights that people want to have. People have the right to have their child at home, they have the right to raise their child on the diets that they want, because diversity, which is something that California really celebrates, is not just our race, it's not just our religion, it's how we're born, it's how we're raised. That's what makes us interesting. It's part of our socialization. We don't want a homogenize this so that everybody is the same. We're not robots, we're not machines. We are human beings. And even greater than that, we're Americans, where we are supposed to be celebrating our diversity more than anybody. Our individual qualities, our beauty, standing up to be the next great scientist, presidents, leaders, thought, thinkers of the world. That only happens if we come from different places, and I really believe that that's true. So you effectively, and mothers all over California and many groups like yours, got together and you contacted this politician and said, no can do, this is a terrible law, and you made a difference.

[00:38:34] Stefanie Fetzter, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

We made a difference.

[00:38:35] Del Bigtree

How important is it to get to these bills early, versus, you know, does it does it matter when and where?

[00:38:43] Stefanie Fetzter, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

I don't know. I mean, I do think, we'll talk about SB 18, I think, in a little bit, but I think with, I think with those bills it is important to get in early and so we need to be kind of watchdoggy on things and catch them when they come early. But like with SB 18, before it even really hit the streets, they were on Christmas break, we were making phone calls and saying, don't co-author this. He still doesn't have a co-author. He, they're talking about Cristina Garcia as a co-author but mysteriously her name is not on it yet, so.

[00:39:10] Del Bigtree

I love it. I went by her office and they're like, and I was like, she shouldn't go anywhere near this. And they're like, we're hearing that from a lot of people. Because people don't want home searches, they don't want to be told how to raise their kids. You know, in fact, told, you, go ahead, send me a memo. I have no problem, you can tell me whatever you want. You start writing bills and laws and mandating that I have to do it, that's when it gets really scary. That starts sounding like a science fiction movie.

[00:39:36] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

Totally. My favorite book in grade school was Brave New World, and I never dreamed that life would start to look like Brave New World, but, or 1984, for that matter. But it's, it's pretty scary, and I hope, I was actually thinking about SB 277 a couple of days ago that I think, I pray that it backfires because he woke all of these people up who were sleeping. They were busy with their lives and they had no idea what was going on and now all of a sudden, primarily mothers, although we're seeing a lot more fathers get involved. Oh my God, what are they doing to us? They can't do this. And I think they rely on us being complacent and asleep and busy. Really busy, keep us busy so we don't know what's going on, and then you have the lobbyists come in and they can do their work and. But I think with SB 457 and with SB 18, we see that we can make a difference.

[00:40:23] Del Bigtree

And so tell me about SB 18. I mean, I've talked a little bit about this, but we've had some success there. I feel pretty good about it.

[00:40:29] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

I feel good about it. I think we need to not get too comfortable because I think, and I was on vacation, I was, a quick side story, I was in New York and we visited Ellis Island and the Statue of Liberty and all I could think was, every American should take that trip. Because you really get a sense of exactly what you were talking about, we're not homogenous. We are who we are because we're all different, and I brought that back. Anyway, side story. So, I forget where I was.

[00:40:56] Del Bigtree

Well, so SB 1818. So where are we at? We've had some success, but you said don't get complacent about it.

[00:41:01] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

Yeah, don't get complacent because what they did, so SB 18 was the Children's Bill of Rights for youth in California, and through, I think through our efforts, they put it on hold and they took a step back and they completely gutted it. And so now what it looks like, it's setting up a legislative panel, 18 members, nine from the Senate, nine from the assembly, and they're going to get together over the next seven years and kind of research and figure out what's best for Californians, including a comprehensive tax reform to the tune of probably \$40 Billion, which is a third of our budget in California.

[00:41:30] Del Bigtree

So they want \$40 billion for education.

[00:41:32] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

Now, they won't come out and say that, the lobbyist told me....

[00:41:34] Del Bigtree

Oh they said it to me.

[00:41:35] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

They told, and one person told me that, Craig Teslog told me that, but the lobbyist who actually wrote the bill said, well I've heard as low as \$9 billion, so. But anyway, it's too expensive and we don't need it. And what they want to do is they want to reform it through sales tax. And our sales tax is already ridiculous. At any rate, so what they did, they gutted it, so it looks like that now it's the legislative panel and it's the comprehensive tax reform. They have until 2024 to figure out what bills they want to pass. And they've linked it to this companion piece, which is now SCR 41, which is a Senate concurrent resolution. A resolutions are feel good things. It's like we want to honor the daisies in California because we all love daisies and they're beautiful, and so most of the time those resolutions come through and they vote for it. So and the issue in the beginning with SB 18 was, of course we all want what's best for our kids. Naturally, you do, I do, we all want what's best, but what's best for yours is different from what's best for mine. But now you have this feel good resolution that says we want what's best for our kids. They took the language from SB 18 and copied and pasted it into SCR 41. So it's exactly the same.

[00:42:40] Del Bigtree

All the crazy world control of your children, everything, but now it's a resolution, which means we'll just sit around and pat ourselves on the back and talk about it, but they can't enforce anything yet.

[00:42:50] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

They shouldn't be able to enforce it. But that's why we can't get complacent, because if SB 18 passes, that's sort of the, you know, the companion piece. If it passes and they have this resolution....

[00:42:57] Del Bigtree

Just drag it in.

[00:42:59] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

...now they can come in for the next seven years and say, well, we've got this resolution, we need this bill. You guys committed to this resolution, so we need this new piece of legislation.

[00:43:06] Del Bigtree

You people, you have to ask yourself, do you feel free today? Do you feel healthy today? Do you have the things you want today? If you do, you need to really be careful about passing laws that want to add more laws, and more committees. I mean, I talked about this the other day. I mean, seriously, do we need another committee? Get out of committees. Stop making resolutions. Here's a newsflash, everybody in office here in California. We have children that live in this state, and these children need to be taken care of. Their parents need to have good jobs, and they deserve a clean environment where they're not being toxically exposed where they live. These are the types of things that are in this resolution. You shouldn't need a resolution to get that. We all hired you because you haven't. I almost said a bad word there. A brain. You have a brain. That's why we elected you. We don't need a committee to come to the fact that children should eat good food. And if they're not getting good food, then if their parents are, you know, smoking crack at night, maybe. I think there's what, 300 and something bills and laws that can get you in there to protect that child. You don't need to impose on all of our rights to do something about that. Very quickly, just this week, SB 277 went through regulations. What is that, what does regulations mean? I thought the bill was passed, it's done, we're good. What just happened? Because there was this, I remember I started getting all these texts and emails. The regulations are today, we got to the last minute to write in about the regulations. What does that mean?

[00:44:34] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

So, the California Department of Public Health has been working on a regulatory package for about four years. So pre-SB 277, it dates back to AB 499, AB 435, AB 2109. All of those bills that affected vaccine or immunization guidelines in the state, they don't know. It's all like garbage because they haven't updated their regulations. And so really it's a guideline for them for implementation across the state. They didn't change SB 277. There was a lot of hysteria, and understandably because we feel like we can't....

[00:45:07] Del Bigtree

We don't trust these people at all, sure.

[00:45:08] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

...trust anybody. And again, it was a good lesson that we really need to be on our toes and watch things because we missed it. It was, there was an open comment, a public comment period for 45 days. We found out on the 45th day. So we were, and I know there was a lot of talk, well they're so sneaky....

[00:45:24] Del Bigtree

So I blame you. All of you moms that are running this, sitting on your little phones, and how did it go missed?

[00:45:30] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

Totally me. I was signed up for the wrong email, I didn't know. But it's a good lesson, that we do, we need some good systems in place to track those things so that we don't get caught unaware again. But and I know it's really scary and they legalize everything and it seems like, oh my gosh, they've changed.

[00:45:46] Del Bigtree

Well, it's a bad law.

[00:45:47] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

It is a bad law.

[00:45:48] Del Bigtree

I mean, the point is, is did it, did they make it any worse? It doesn't sound like it, but it would be hard to make it worse. It's a terrible law. It's a total destruction of your civil rights as a parent, you know, as a parent, control of your child, injecting, as I've pointed out over and over again, toxic chemicals are being injected into your children by the state and you can't do anything about it. So yes, they just regulated that for you. It's a gnarly law. They didn't make it worse. I don't know how they could, but if they could have. So thank God they didn't make it worse.

[00:46:19] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

And I think that was the....

[00:46:19] Del Bigtree

They can't pour toxic sludge on your baby while they're sleeping. They thought about it, but they just thought, you know what, that might piss somebody off.

[00:46:27] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

We might push them over the edge. Yeah, exactly. No, so it's just fine tuning all of the, the implementation guidelines so that all of the agencies by county and by school district know what they're doing.

[00:46:40] Del Bigtree

Great. How do people join your group and, or, you know. Yeah, how do we get to you if I want to, because I'm sure you're going to be fairly diligent now to look out for these types of things.

[00:46:51] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

Yeah.

[00:46:52] Del Bigtree

How do I join your group so I can be on top of it?

[00:46:53] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

So the Facebook group is SB 18 Takedown. We also have a Facebook page called Parents United 4 Kids. The thought is eventually we're going to morph SB 18 Takedown into Parents United for Kids to be that watchdog group and really create some systems so that we can help people activate and the calls to action and do what they need to do to make a difference when these laws or these bills come down.

[00:47:16] Del Bigtree

Parents United 4 Kids. Stefanie, I can't thank you enough. As a parent here in California, I do a lot of flying around, and sometimes I think I take my eye off the ball here in California. The fight is here. I think that it's so important that we nip this in the bud here, because as California goes, so will the nation. That means you're as important as any Senator or Congressman, I think, in this nation. That's why I had you on today, and I really appreciate all your work.

[00:47:44] Stefanie Fetzer, FB ParentsUnited4Kids

Thank you, Del. Thank you.

[00:47:45] Del Bigtree

Keep up the good work. Alright. Thank you. Alright, good. We got a few more minutes, so I want to talk about polio. This is something, you know, again, Bill Nye talks about, but so does every other scientist in the world. They bring up the fact that polio was eradicated and that this anti-vaccine stance is going to spark the return of polio. We've eradicated polio. Polio is the proof the science is settled. The science is genius. The science is right. Polio is the proof. Well, what's interesting is just recently I saw a CNN article that pointed out the fact that the President who brought polio into the forefront and actually requested, we need a vaccine for polio, Franklin Roosevelt, we now believe, guess what? He didn't have polio. Now, why does this matter? Why would it matter that the President that started the whole push for a polio vaccine, who was in a wheelchair, who believed he had polio. If he didn't have polio, here's what bothers me about it.

[00:48:55] Del Bigtree

So, I'm going to assume that the President of the United States gets the best medical help and science around him of any citizen in this country. I would guess. Maybe there's a couple of billionaires that do really good back then, maybe there's some Rockefellers that had some really good doctors, but I'm pretty sure Franklin Roosevelt had some of the best doctors in the country and the best scientists, and they decided he has polio. And together, that think tank probably came up with the idea of a polio vaccine. And so they created a polio vaccine. My understanding was there was like three different people that came forward and we went with the Salk vaccine, I think, I've heard this, because he was a good-looking guy and they thought he'd do really well selling it. But here's the point. When we talk about eradicating polio, and I'm not really going to get too deep into whether or not that happened, but what I want to talk about is this. I was in jury duty once, and I was sitting in the jury, they'd selected me, and the lawyer said something I thought was really interesting, I never thought about it. The lawyer said, you know, it has to be beyond probable doubt, you know. It wasn't a shadow of a doubt, it was just, you know, she said, this is the type of doubt where I'm going to present what I believe happened with my client.

[00:50:15] Del Bigtree

The prosecution is going to give you a story of what happened. Reasonable doubt, that was the term I was looking for. A reasonable doubt. That's how our court system works. And what she said, I'd never thought about. She said now, here's what you have to understand about reasonable doubt. Whether or not you believe the prosecution's story is what this is about, or you may believe my story. Or you may think of another scenario yourself that could have happened. It doesn't have to be the prosecution story, and it doesn't have to be the defense's story. If you listen to this and you think about the circumstances of what happened and you think there's another probable story, that's a reasonable doubt. Now, science, I'm hoping, when we are saying the science is settled and we're injecting toxic chemicals, which we are, into our children and our babies, that science should be beyond refute. It should be beyond having a reasonable doubt. And how do reasonable doubts come in? Here's where my reasonable doubt comes in. The best scientists of the time determined that Roosevelt had polio. These are the same scientists that filled rooms with iron lungs of children we were told had polio. How do we know everybody in that photo you just looked at had polio? If they couldn't get it right for the President of the United States, how can I be so sure they got it right for every little poor kid running through the street that got polio in America?

[00:51:49] Del Bigtree

Because I guarantee you, they didn't have the same scientists and doctors that Franklin Roosevelt did. They had some guy that probably and who knows what that education system was like then. But our entire history of vaccines is built on this brilliant science of polio that they didn't even get right for the President of the United States. So where are we? Did we eradicate polio? How many people actually had polio that we said had polio? If we got it wrong with the best scientists and the most important man in the nation, how did we get it right for every other kid or person in America? We don't know. That's the truth. We simply do not know. Because we're not going to have scientific bodies going back and investigating all of those other kids that were diagnosed with polio, we only look at things like Presidents. And we got it wrong for the President of the United States. What does that mean for us? What does that mean about our immunization program? What does that mean about eradicating polio? What they believe the President had was called Guillain-Barré syndrome. You know, one of the main causes or one of the big causes of Guillain-Barré syndrome? You're going to love this. Vaccines. That's right. Listed on many of the vaccines, Guillain-Barre is one of the side effects, even of the flu shot. When you go in to protect yourself for an illness that will probably affect you for 4 or 5 days, you might end up being paralyzed.

[00:53:24] Del Bigtree

And if that paralyzed, if that parallelization had happened to you back in the time of polio, you might have been diagnosed with polio. One of the things that they point out, there's many great scientists that point out the fact that polio, when it dropped, what actually happened is they redefined what polio was. Prior to the vaccine, anybody that was paralyzed had polio. But after the vaccine, they lengthened the amount of time that you had to be paralyzed, I think it was something like a month. If you're paralyzed for a month, you had polio. If you're paralyzed for less, it was probably something else. It's very indiscriminate, but it's probably because they didn't have ways to determine whether it was poliomyelitis or Guillain-Barré syndrome or something else like it. And so everything had been grouped into polio, which is proof right now by the realization that the President of the United States himself, the man who started our entire polio vaccine program, maybe it didn't even have polio himself. Folks, this is how science erodes around you. I believe in science. Science is so important, but science is always evolving. We only know that the President didn't have polio because our science has evolved, because we didn't settle on the fact that he had polio. We did not settle that science. This is why science can never be settled. Somebody has investigated and looked and found, you know what? Based on the fact that I think he was like over 36 years old when he got it, and not an infant, and other things of how it moved through both sides of his body equally, show signs that it's Guillain-Barré syndrome and not poliomyelitis, as we had originally thought.

[00:55:13] Del Bigtree

Now, what happens if that person is not allowed to get that statement out? What happens to science, and what happens if we settle on the fact that Franklin Roosevelt had polio? Well, we find ourselves exactly where we are right now. Settled science. Incorrect science. Incorrect history. And what foundation does that build? If you start building science on top of building blocks that were incorrect from the very beginning, how strong is that building? This is the issue we now have with the vaccine program in America. I have been investigating this now for several years. There are much smarter scientists and journalists out there that have looked at this, too. But we have built an entire paradigm of health on building blocks that were incorrect from the very beginning. This happened to make it to the news. Many of the things I've discovered don't. Because why? Because that box in your house is being funded by the pharmaceutical industry. I don't know why they let this one through to you. I don't know why they let you know that they made a catastrophic mistake. And I don't know how they can sit tonight on their televisions and say vaccines are safe and proven effective and the science is settled when they just found out that the scientists that determined that they had eradicated polio before I was born were wrong when the President, when they said the President had polio.

[00:56:46] Del Bigtree

I mean, I'm just going to spin around and around on this. But that, my friends, is a reasonable doubt. I have a reasonable doubt about the fact that the polio vaccine eradicated polio. I actually believe you can look at fresh running water, sewage that works better than almost any nation in the world, good food, clean water. You know what else stopped at the moment that polio disappeared? Spraying DDT, one of the most deadly toxic substances known to man. Something that in our commercials at that time, kids were dancing behind trucks like this as they were sprayed with DDT. You remember it? It was before my time, but if you're older than me, you remember it, it happened. So what happened to those kids? What did we call the disease they got? Did we call it polio? Did we call it Guillain-Barré syndrome? Did we call it poisoned by toxic chemical made by, you know, Industrial Agra Company? No. Who knows what we called it, because we weren't very good at it then. We were so bad at it that we misdiagnosed the President of the United States. So when DDT disappeared, and polio did at the same time, at the moment we realized this toxic chemical was really causing neurological disorders and other problems like that, we got rid of it.

[00:58:10] Del Bigtree

And guess what? There went polio. I'm not saying DDT caused polio, but I'm saying we should all have a reasonable doubt, especially when we couldn't even tell what polio was for the President of the United States. This is the way my brain works. These aren't necessarily facts, but this is what makes me think. This is what makes me research and makes me look deeper and deeper into something. And it really makes me question, when we see the medical society saying such definitive statements that they can make no mistake, it is beyond reproach, the science is settled. We are right. There is no debate. There is no other side. You didn't even get it right for Franklin Roosevelt. How many other people were you wrong about? And then where does anecdotal evidence come in? And where is DDT? And where is Guillain-Barré syndrome? And how many kids are getting Guillain-Barré from vaccines? And what about this enterovirus that children are now getting paralyzed all over this country? They're calling it polio-like virus. It's a polio-like situation. We have children that are being paralyzed right now in America, and back in the day, we would have called it polio. We would have called these paralyzed kids right now polio. But we're not now. Why? Because we have to stick up for the fact that we've eradicated polio. Have we? Do we know what it is? Or are we just diagnosing it better?

END OF TRANSCRIPT