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START OF TRANSCRIPT

[00:00:04] Del Bigtree
Did you notice that this show doesn't have any commercials? I'm not selling you diapers or vitamins or smoothies or gasoline. That's because I don't want corporate sponsors telling us what to investigate and what to say. Instead, you're our sponsors. This is a production by our non profit, the Informed Consent Action Network. If you want more investigations, more hard hitting news, if you want the truth, go to ICANdecide.org and donate now.

[00:00:48] Del Bigtree
Good morning. Good afternoon. Good evening. Wherever you are out there in the world, it's time for us all to step out onto the Highwire. Well we have done a lot of reporting on Covid and the pandemic and whether or not it's in your rear view mirror or whether it's coming out in the future, what's really going on. But we're hearing a lot of conversations about masks coming back and we're hearing a lot of conversations talking about vaccines and children. This is what that looks like in the news.

[00:01:17] Male News Correspondent
Another big step toward getting out of the pandemic and back to normal life. The FDA is expanding its emergency use authorization for the Pfizer coronavirus vaccine to include children ages 12 through 15.

[00:01:29] Female News Correspondent
This is a big deal. Moderna announcing that their vaccine is 100% effective in trials for kids aged 12 to 17.

[00:01:38] Female News Correspondent
Covid 19 vaccine has been approved for children ages 5 to 11 years old. The CDC Vaccine Advisory Committee unanimously voted its recommendation.

[00:01:46] Male News Correspondent
Committee members said the protection offered by the vaccines outweighs its risks.

[00:01:51] Rochelle Walensky, Director, CDC
We now know, based on rigorous scientific review, that the vaccines available here in the United States can be used safely and effectively in children under five.
Some of the youngest Americans are now one giant step closer to being protected from the virus. The FDA authorizing Pfizer and Moderna vaccines for children as young as six months.

There were no serious safety concerns that were flagged in either Moderna or Pfizer, which is reassuring for those of us who want to make sure that anything we offer our children is as safe as possible.

Getting your child vaccinated is safe and will help them stay in school with their friends so they can continue to learn.

In Phoenix, one school district gave away backpacks to entice students, and as of this week, vaccines are available at select Chicago Public Schools.

CVS canceled classes to make sure that they are giving parents time to get their children vaccinated. CVS is calling next Friday Vaccine Awareness Day.

They say maybe we can get a lot of children through sports physicals. You want to play sports? You want to play baseball volleyball.

My hope is that parents will take advantage of the vaccine and get their kids vaccinated.

Parents, caregivers and health care providers can trust that both of these vaccines have been authorized with science and safety at the forefront of our minds.

I just want to ask you a quick question. After you watched virtually everyone in your government make that statement on the news over the last couple of years, making vaccines available to younger and younger children, telling you that this is the way forward, this is how we're going to get out of this. They're perfectly safe, perfectly effective, 100% effective. After saying all those things, if that ended up not being true, what do you think would happen? Do you think those same officials from the government will come back to you and say, whoops, our bad, our mistake, We were wrong? As it turns out, it's not safe and it's not effective. Really ask yourself, what happens in the United States of America if all those people you just saw decided they had made a colossal mistake, that they had taken a virus that literally doesn't kill any healthy child in America, the death rate being somewhere around 0.0003% for the healthy. Let me make sure I got that right. I'm sorry, 0.0027%. There it is, between the ages of zero and 19. That's a zero in any math class in any elementary school in this country. So why did they need a vaccine? Why did they push it so hard? And if they made a mistake, would they tell you? And then let me ask you this. How would you know if they did make a mistake? How would you know that it actually wasn't safe? Where would you find it? Because clearly, clearly, if you were a thinking person and you know how governments work and you know that public confidence in getting reelected depends on you believing in who just spoke to you and who's running your country.

So if they did make a colossal mistake and they tried to bury it, how would they bury it? Would they tell you how they were burying it? And where would you find the little pieces, the little truths that maybe start putting together a story that you say, My God, my God, It looks like my government has made a decision that may be putting my children and our children at severe risk, and they're continuing to make that and doubling down. How would you know it? What would you look for? What you would look for is something that we have been telling you about before any of this really happened. Way back when we were reporting on this two years ago, I said you watch all cause mortality because they won't be able to hide that children and adults ending up in morgues. That is what we will be counting to see if there's been a shift in this country, if there's been a shift in this world. Well, one of the people that's been looking at that just from a financial perspective and looking at the numbers and the death rates, because that's what he's done when he's invested in companies as one of the executives from BlackRock. Of course, I'm talking about Edward Dowd. He joins me now.

Hi Del. Good to be here.

Hi and it's great to have you here. And I have to say that, you're one of my favorite guests because you get to the point and you're really clear. But I think this may be one of the most disturbing reasons I've had to have you on this show. I don't know why, but when we talk about children, there's something really especially important here. Definitely. When we think about Covid and we think about the fact that kids had zero risk, zero risk of dying from this virus, if we did something that put them at greater risk, then shame on all of us. So you have some breaking news here that I think that we all need to take a look at very seriously.
Edward Dowd, PHINANCETECHNOLOGIES.COM, Author, Cause Unknown: The Epidemic of Sudden Deaths in 2021 & 2022”, Former Equity Portfolio Manager at BlackRock

Sure. In my book, Cause Unknown, we highlighted a smoking gun, which was the children in the U.K. because their excess deaths were going down with lockdowns, because accidental death is the largest cause of death in one through 14. And then the vaccines in the U.K. were introduced around the November timeframe. And their excess deaths, which were negative, started rising, and they went up to about 16% in 2022. And as of June of this year, we've annualized the 2023 numbers for excess death is now 23%. So they went down into the lockdowns and then they started rising with the administration of the vaccine. Now, people could say it's not the vaccine, but whatever health response has occurred in the U.K., more children are dying ages one through 14 excessively than were before the pandemic and before all the measures they took. So, you know, look, I'm a thinking guy and we have data on our website that looks at all sorts of different countries disabilities. The data is in and the vaccine is the cause and it's on Wall Street. We do the analyst mosaic, and this is what we're doing. And we think at the very least, the global government should start investigating this. And if they don't, they're in cover up mode. And that's all we need to know.

Del Bigtree

I just keep thinking about when we have an alarmist news media system, right, that will say things like deadly rainstorm coming. Swear to God. I've heard that on on major news agencies. Any story that can strike fear into your minds or really make you panic gets news. They inflate it. When we see any rise in child death in nations like the U.K., first world nations, you would imagine that this would be a top headline in every single major news station, especially if they knew what was causing it or wanted to speculate when they are not covering this story at all. That's what really just sends red flags going crazy for me. And then I think about why would the news not report it unless it was being caused by the very thing they promoted for the last three years that the the media stations and our and our government agencies. Now, let me just ask you the question, because you've already stated it, but people will say and the science is going to try and say it's Covid that's actually killing these children or it's it was the lockdowns and we didn't take care of the cancer that we didn't catch. These are the things that are going to say, right? It was the fact that they just didn't get proper medical care and we didn't see things that were going to die of in the future. I'm sure you've looked at that. How are you isolating that out? What is it that's making you look at that?

Edward Dowd, PHINANCETECHNOLOGIES.COM, Author, Cause Unknown: The Epidemic of Sudden Deaths in 2021 & 2022”, Former Equity Portfolio Manager at BlackRock

Well, a couple of things. Let's talk about the actual virus. Virology 101. You talk to any epidemiologists, they'll tell you that a virus like Covid over time to survive has to become less virulent in order to spread more effectively. Because if you kill the host, it doesn't spread. And this is Evolution 101. We know for a fact that the Covid virus variants have gotten less virulent over time. We're now at Omicron and some subset of variants of that, and it's basically a head cold for those who are unvaccinated. Unfortunately, the vaccinated have a tougher time with it. And we and we know that children during Covid raging in 2020 and 2021 had negative excess deaths. So riddle me this, Batman. Did the virus suddenly only want to infect younger folks all of a sudden in 22 and 23? I would say no. And in the UK we also looked at ages 15 through 44 Gen Z and millennials and their excess death rate went to a new high. It it peaked in 21. It was thankfully coming down in 22, but it's really accelerated to an excess of 20% more excess mortality for this age group. So, you know, this this to me is not a virus. It's not missed cancer screening treatments because let's be honest, insurance companies don't pay for preventive screenings. You get a screening when you present sick and something's wrong and the doctor investigates, then you get tested. I've never in my life had a, you know, a cancer screening appointment. That's that's a canard. It's a lie. Insurance companies don't pay for these expensive tests just for the heck of it.

Del Bigtree

Let's look at the very first chart just because we keep flashing these up. And I just want to give a sense of what we're talking about. Just take a moment, everybody. What we're talking about is the yearly mortality for ages Group zero one through 14. Look, back in 2012, it was pretty high. It was up there. Right. But all whatever. However, we're living in the first world. They were doing better. This is England. It's coming down, down, down, down, down, even into 2020. It is going down. Right? Then all of a sudden, the vaccine enters. Remember, children got this later than everyone else, somewhere around 2021. And then all of a sudden you see the excess death rate shoots up. You can look at it right there, excess to the right, excess death rates. And the vaccine rates look as the vaccines climb. So you're below you've got the zero line there on the on to the right, the screen to your right. The zero line is where you're at. You're negative on average excess death rates there in 2000, 20, 21. Even when we are in the middle of a pandemic, the excess death in children is below zero.

Del Bigtree

It's below the line, the standard line we've set. But then the vaccine program ramps up in 2021. You see it starting to curve up and then it maximizes by 2022. And suddenly, suddenly in 2022, you were now way up over 12%. I think that's like looking like 14% and climbing 20, 23, even worse, 2023, the pandemic's over. Why are there children dying at higher numbers than right in the middle of this pandemic? At the very least, Edward, where you're at and I'm sure I'm at we're speculating, but you start putting all the dots together and they are telling a very clear story. What is shocking is no one in news is asking the question and no one in our governments are answering the questions. What is going on here. Lastly, for people that live in America and think, well, that's England's problem, why is it we're always looking at English data and we're not telling the same story in America? Is this not happening in America?
Edward Dowd, PHINANCETECHNOLOGIES.COM, Author, Cause Unknown: The Epidemic of Sudden Deaths in 2021 & 2022", Former Equity Portfolio Manager at BlackRock

No it's happening in America. It's showing up in the insurance actuary group life tables. My my inside source here tells me it's still running around 23% for millennials. It got worse in June. We also have disability data in the US that showed an explosion in disabilities in June, and men, especially employed men, hit a new high in August. So something is going on where an acceleration of something is happening, disability and death wise. We're going to update all the countries 2023 data. We're going to get the US data hopefully soon. It's just that the UK data comes out quicker and seems to be better sourced than the CDC data at the moment.

Del Bigtree
Yeah, I think the leading probably scientific research community in the world, the United States of America. It's incredible how slow our data is, how corrupted our data is. It's almost like they're doing that on purpose. Edward Dowd, how do we follow all the brilliant work that you are doing? Because I think, the data you're putting out is some of the most important in the world. It literally could affect the future generations and really the population of our planet right now. So how do we follow all the work that you're doing?

Edward Dowd, PHINANCETECHNOLOGIES.COM, Author, Cause Unknown: The Epidemic of Sudden Deaths in 2021 & 2022", Former Equity Portfolio Manager at BlackRock

You can find me on Twitter @DowdEdward Edward on Gettr @EdwardDowd. Also, our website has literally. I think we are the acts on this issue on Wall Street. We have all the data of what's going on with excess deaths, disabilities and injuries. It's phinancetechnologies.com spelled with a p h instead of an F. And also I wrote a book "Cause Unknown The epidemic is certain death in 2021 and 2022." That's good to give to your loved one who doesn't think you know what you're talking about. Because I come at it from a forget the who and the why. It just is. And at the very least, this phenomenon is real. And if it's not what I think it is, then you hopefully have a better answer. And if not, why? The government's not talking about it. Del, to your point, this is a lie of omission at this point. Lies of omission are worse sometimes and gaslighting.

Del Bigtree
You're right. And I think it's a crime. I think children are being murdered by government agencies that are now admitting the truth, that are not standing up and figuring out what's going on here and actually putting real science on it and putting vaccines on the table. It is clear that they feel like their job is to protect the vaccine program by hiding any science, stopping any science investigating this issue. They can't hide all cause mortality. And frankly, they're not stopping. All the studies that we're reporting on that are happening all around the world. Science is waking up to this issue. It's really sad that government agencies, especially health agencies, will be the last ones to admit something catastrophic has happened here. Edward Dowd, I really appreciate your time. Keep up the great work and we'll be paying attention.

Edward Dowd, PHINANCETECHNOLOGIES.COM, Author, Cause Unknown: The Epidemic of Sudden Deaths in 2021 & 2022", Former Equity Portfolio Manager at BlackRock

Thank you, Del. Take care.

Del Bigtree
Alright. Take care. This is where it's at, folks. I don't want you to just be watching this show and thinking, wow, that's kind of freaky. You have friends out there. You have friends that are about to do this to their kids. Again, we're going to get into more details on that on vaccines and what we know that it is doing to children. But first, I just want to talk about how what a great show I've got coming up here. I'm going to be talking to Dr. Brian Hooker. That was the star, one of the stars of the documentary I made that put me in the middle of this VAXXED. He's now written a brand new book with Robert Kennedy Jr called Vax- Unvax. It has hit the New York Times bestseller list. It's rocking. We're going to talk about that. And when we think about, geoengineering, does that have anything to do with the forest fires that are going on? I'm going to talk to the king of chem trails. I gave him that name. He probably hates it, But Dane Wigington is going to be joining me to talk about that issue and some of the things that we've uncovered with our investigation. But first, it's time for the Jaxen report. Jefferey. I don't know. There's something about kids. We see these numbers. We see the all cause mortality all across the world. We see the insurance numbers. Adults are dying. We're seeing, as Edward Dowd has talked about before, all the people not going to work because they have injuries. Something's got them home sick, all of this. But when it's the kids, first of all, the kids are supposed to be being protected. They're not supposed to be used as the shields for the elderly that are in harm's way. Let's use children as fodder to try and protect ourselves. But most importantly, kids were never at risk. And now they are. They're they're at risk from science, as we call it itself. Science is attacking our children. It appears to me it's really horrific.

Jefferey Jaxen
Yeah. And as you pointed out, we watched over the last couple of years the legacy media and the regulatory agency spokespeople trying to make these ends meet where they're trying to justify these vaccines for kids when they were such low risk for this virus. And just recently, we had Geert Vanden Bossche on one of the most well-educated virologists, worked with Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, Gavi. This is what he had to say about vaccinating kids. Take a listen.
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innate immunity. They can clear this virus. You know, this is from a public health viewpoint, a disaster not only for the child, the child, but also and the more children we will vaccinate, the more we will, of course, expedite this immune escape and get to this kind of of of variant that will not only be highly infectious, fully resistant to the vaccines and also highly virulent. Right. And that is where these guys, you know, if they don't believe me, they should simply wait and see what is going to happen. But it will be too late.

[00:18:50] Del Bigtree

Obviously Geert we've had him on several times. That was all the way back in 2022 that we did that interview. There was several reports he put out before that. But he has been saying all along, don't mess with the kids. As he said, this is our reservoir to to catch this virus and to overcome it. He believed that the children would be the ones that got us out of this pandemic if we did not vaccinate them. He was horrified that we would mess with their immune systems. And so obviously, we are. There's talk now about booster shots for children, brand new boosters. So what are we learning now about the Covid vaccine in kids other than the fact that all cause mortality is through the roof?

[00:19:32] Jefferey Jaxen

Yeah. So we know that the booster is allegedly going to be released in probably a week or two. Now, according to the CDC, the new booster. And we see a cyclical event in the media when we look at these headlines. So as kids we talked about this, we got to watch when the kids go back to school because that's always been a time that major events happen. So this is the headline and it's very similar to other ones. So this is just now "schools grapple with Covid safety amid late summer surge." Now, watch the language here. Let's go back to August of 2021. Kids are about to go back to school then we had a pandemic going on. Here's the headline here out of The Guardian. "Covid cases among children are surging in the US as students head back to school." Kids go back home for winter break in December. They go back to school in January of 2021. Look at the headline in January 2021. "School Nurses Fear a Coronavirus Surge as students and staff Return from Winter Break" 2022. Same thing. They go home for winter break. They come back for winter break. January 20th, 22. Headline See something familiar From us. "From Europe to the US, Covid cases in children are surging, schools aren't prepared." So you have this building and you know, these coincide with the the emergency use authorization, vaccine approvals for the FDA.

[00:20:47] Jefferey Jaxen

They're bookending these these headlines. And so now we go to March of this year, 2023. And the FDA has approved the fourth. "Fda authorizes Pfizer's Covid omicron booster as fourth shot for kids under five." So the one coming in September here would allegedly be the fifth shot if they released that. That's not counting immunocompromised people. But we just really have to look at the power of the media to shape the perception around what kind of dangers these kids are in truly. And there's a new person at the CDC. He is the CDC's now principal deputy director, Dr. Nirav Shah. And he was the lead quote in this article in the New York Times titled "Vaccines for Fall." They put out a guide and this says in here, "Shah argues that children over six months old should also get a Covid shot this fall, even though their own Covid risk is very low." He says, quote, "We should be thinking bigger than just ourselves. He told me, Do you want to see your grandpa? Do you want to hang out with your grandma? Are you really sure you're not going to give them give covid to them?" This is the type of condition now. He came from the main.

[00:21:55] Del Bigtree

Yeah. Children as human shields for the elderly. Go ahead and put your children at risk with a product that we have admitted to you publicly time and time again does not stop transmission. So I don't even understand this argument at all. I don't understand how these fools are on television in New York Times. Where is the press calling them out? Wait a minute. Hold on a second. I get it. You're big shot now at the FDA and I'm supposed to listen to you. But wasn't I told it doesn't stop transmission. And why would that last sentence make any sense whatsoever, saying I'm going to protect grandpa? How? How if this product doesn't stop transmission.

[00:22:33] Jefferey Jaxen

Exactly. And he has a track record of this. He came from the state of Maine. He headed up the CDC in that state and he was hiding breakthrough infections there. Was doing a lot of interesting data manipulation to just hide the facts of what the vaccine was causing then. But let's go back to this this study that we've quoted many times on this show. In 2021, it's the Pfizer mRNA vaccine against SARS-CoV-2. "It reprograms both the adaptive and innate immune responses." So this is something that has really it's been a seed for us. And we look at a lot of conversations through this lens, whether it's increasing cancers, increasing whatever illnesses. Well, this study has now been built upon and it's been built upon in children in a new study. And this is extremely important. Just speaking of everything we just spoke about up until now, the title of this study, if you want to look at it, it's "Pfizer's Covid 19 vaccine in Children alters cytokine responses to heterologous pathogens and toll like receptor agonists." So Heterologous Heterologous pathogens are just basically varying pathogens and the agonist.

[00:23:35] Del Bigtree

Homonymous is being would be all the same, all Covid. This is everything that's not Covid. All of the heterologous. Right. Okay. Okay.
Jefferey Jaxen

Yeah, absolutely. And the toll-like receptor agonist, but the agonist are basically something that initiates an immune response. So the cytokines that are initiating. Immune response. There are several of them. So the researchers looked at 29 kids from ages 5 to 11, and they took blood samples before their vaccine, 28 days after their second Pfizer vaccine. And then eight of the kids stayed on for six months on this study and gave blood samples six months after vaccination. So we have a pretty big window here. And they say a couple of things here. I'm going to read out a couple of passages from this study then explain that. It says "the main findings of our study in children are that, number one, Pfizer's vaccination alters heterologous bacterial and viral cytokine responses 28 and 182 days after the primary vaccination schedule compared to pre vaccination. Number two, the effect of Pfizer's vaccination on heterologous immunity persists for viral, but not bacterial stimuliants." So they're basically saying that over the breadth of this whole study, they re-stimulated the blood with these cytokines to see the time of responses over this whole study. They found that the viral it persists for the viral this alteration in the immune system. But now let's go to this next quote here. It says "Our study showed that in children SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination decreases inflammatory cytokine responses" and there's a whole list of them there, too.

Jefferey Jaxen

"Heterologous bacterial, fungal and viral restimulation." So that's at the 28 days. Right after that, they're stimulated these with bacterial viral fungal constituents, basically. And they found that it was all over the board of this reprogramming. And then finally they concluded this our findings. This is what they suggest. "Our findings suggest SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination could alter the immune response to other pathogens, which cause both vaccine preventable and non vaccine preventable diseases." That's a really, really big statement for authors to make. They're saying there's a risk here that it could be altering these. So the researchers looked at 27 of these key signaling molecules involved in mounting the immune response. And here's here's a picture of all of them. And you can see there's these yellow categories. The yellow categories were something that the yellow lines in. Some of those were the ones that really shifted. And you can see they're shifting all over. Some of them are switching towards the off. Some of them are switching on harder. But let's look at just one of them, IL6, interleukin six. And this is just a blown up frame here. That dotted line in the middle is kind of the zero point. You can see on the left here, you have a lot of them switching towards off or down, regulated.

Del Bigtree

Down regulated.

Jefferey Jaxen

All of those all of those letters in the side there. That's what they tested them against. So they they stimulated with hep B, with influenza, with ecoli, with staph bacteria. And why this is a big deal is interleukin six. Let's look at another quick study just to describe this one cytokine. This is a study called the "role of interleukin six in viral infections." Pretty big deal. It says "in addition to its role as modulating the host immune response, IL six has been implicated in the progression of several viral virus infections. If six is considered one of the most important cytokines during an infection." So this is what we're basically down regulating

Del Bigtree

Alright. There's a lot of science. I want to try and actually simplify this a little bit. And some scientists may get upset with this, but I'm going to use a couple of army men to make this point right. Let's talk about let's sort of act like let's say these are like toll like receptors. These are guardians of your immune system, let's say, right? They're always floating around. They're looking for anything to happen. For instance, they're looking for, let's say Covid comes along, right? They go, oh, Covid. Now they call in help. But all they are is the watchers like, hey, we need help. We mount a cytokine response, which is, let's say, interleukin six, a bunch of warriors, interleukin six big part of it, but all these different cytokines that come in and set up and surround this virus and say, okay, we got a problem now they start moving in, they may even call in, some, some killer cells or something like that. But ultimately your immune system comes in and attacks, Right, Takes over and kills Covid dead. That's how your immune system is supposed to work. Now, they're saying that after vaccination for kids and we've talked about this, that it seems to still be working up to 180 days later for Covid if you're vaccinated for Covid.

Del Bigtree

But what they're saying, the problem is, if it's not Covid, when it comes to all the other situations, we've talked about how this virus seems to put your, these toll like receptors to sleep. Let's just say this is like Barack and Larry, let's call them and they're over here doing we don't know God knows what, but they're certainly distracted and not paying attention when e coli comes along. Now. E coli is wandering around out here, but Larry and Barack are too busy doing something that we don't want to talk about. They certainly aren't taking care of this. This is what's happening to the immune system of your kids. Normally they'd be calling in, a cytokine response and your immune system would be attacking ecoli. But they're not they're too busy not paying attention. This is what the vaccine has done to them. They're addicted to it and they're focused on the wrong things. Same thing with Strep. Your kid Strep comes along, Strep is crawling over your kids arms every single day of their lives.

Del Bigtree

It's all over them. It's in their mouth. You don't want them catching it. But luckily, if they had a working immune system, if they had been vaccinated, oh, these guys are awake. So I'm like, Whoa, oh, we got the coli in here. Great immune system, just like, Geert Vanden Bossche said, we get the response. Immune response coming in, wipes e coli dead. Unvaccinated kids are so ready for this. E coli doesn't stand a chance. They are all over it. But you get the Covid vaccine and then Barack and Larry are back being distracted again, not doing their job. And what happens now? Your child is vulnerable. Maybe they can die from the flu. Maybe they can die from the strep. Maybe they die from E coli and all these other things because the immune system is not paying attention because of what you just did to it. And is it possible that this, too, is what is leading to the rise in all cause mortality of our children? Oh, it wasn't the vaccine. They died of the flu. Oh, it wasn't the vaccine. They died of a really rare form of E coli.
Or I'm sure we have other headlines talking about the issues that our kids are suffering from now.

Let's see CNN try to replicate that. This is why this is why everyone watches our network when it comes to this information. So there's other studies. It's not just people can look at these studies and say, okay, toll like receptors being tinkered with and maybe this might do something for the kids immune system. But those are those are just a couple of studies. Well, here's another one.

"Epidemiological epidemiology of respiratory viral infections in children enrolled in a study of influenza vaccine effectiveness." So they're looking at influenza vaccine. They're getting immune immunized for influenza. And they found this. "We did however unexpectedly, find that non influenza like illness occurred about 1.6 times more commonly in children vaccinated with 1 or 2 doses of the influenza vaccine than in unvaccinated children. These results support the findings of a recent randomized controlled trial reported by Crawley et al. Crawley study in Hong Kong concluded that non influenza acute respiratory infection may be detected at a higher rate in children for a short period after they received influenza vaccine." So again, they're not talking about what's happening with the cytokines and the actual immune system. They're saying these kids, after they're getting after they're hyper, the immune system is being hyper focused on this influenza because they're being vaccinated with this strain. Other acute respiratory infections are coming in. Other non influenza like illnesses are coming in. These children are catching them. So this supports that claim. But again, just studies, are there real world examples? Well, we can point to these headlines. This is from the W.H.O's own website "increase invasive group A streptococcal infections among children in Europe, including fatalities." Another one, "unexplained rise in life threatening brain infections in children, worries pediatricians." And then this one, "The CDC is still searching for the cause of mysterious liver illness in kids." This is what we're talking about. Real world evidence of big question marks. Not even the CDC knows what's going on in some of these cases.

Yeah. Folks, you are going to have to come to the reality that and I and I hate saying this, that you can no longer trust your regulatory agencies. If your doctor is pushing the Covid vaccine on your kids, you need a new doctor immediately. You're going to have to start finding you've got to start searching right now because you have a moron looking over your children's health. They don't know how to do research. They don't know how to look into this. They have been trained to follow. They have been brainwashed. They are zombies. They are hypnotized. Whenever the CDC says I will do whatever the CDC says I was okay. I'm sorry. That is what you were looking at. When you're looking at any doctor that recommends these vaccines, now we have the evidence. And what are they going to do? You get how this works. Jefferey right. We vaccinate for Covid, but now they're not immune to all the other things. They were naturally immune to E coli and strep and all that. So let's see if we can make a vaccine for strep. But then now that's just a race to the immunity we had to Covid. And now what we got to and this is what they're doing, they're literally like vaccinated for everything. They're erasing the immune system on. They're making more money. Every day the pharmaceutical industry is getting paid for every new vaccine you need because you erased your immune system. They'd be happy to give you 1000 vaccines for all the immune system they've erased out of your body. I guarantee you that isn't going to work.

It's just it's whack a mole product lines for vaccine development really is what it looks.

It's amazing how stupid we are as a species right now, folks. We're supposed to be smarter than your average animal out there. We are looking really bad. Really bad when we trust people that are clearly lying to us.

And so there's a lot of science in that section. And there was a big topic before we jump back into some more medical science, let's take a break for a second. And remember when the world was a little simpler, when regulatory agencies weren't trying to vaccinate children with their fifth vaccine? The good old days when all you had to do was plant a tree. Remember this?

Plant a tree. For your tomorrow. It's your tree. That clears the air. Trees for America. Plant a tree today for all the world. Taste the breeze. It's life inside you. Make a promise to the earth. Plant a tree. Now is the time to recognize the tree. For all that it is worth. Earth plant a tree for. Plant a tree that clears the air. Plant a tree. Tree for America. Plant a tree today for all the world. Trees for America.

You know you remember that one

I do. Yes.
Jefferey Jaxen
So, you know, it was so simple back then. All you just plant a tree and that's that's what you had to do. But is it possible that John Denver and all those early environmentalists were wrong? We didn't really have to plant a tree. We actually had to chop down a tree. And this is what the headlines are talking about now. The new thing, the latest thing with the climate narrative, "chop down forests to save the planet? Maybe not as crazy as it sounds." It says in here, "Yes, the conventional idea is to plant trees, to soak up carbon dioxide from the air and to then sell credits to corporations, private jet owners and others who need or want to offset their emissions. But scientists say burying trees can reduce global warming as well, particularly if those trees would otherwise end up burning or decaying, decaying, sputtering their stored carbon in the air." So that is the new narrative. Instead of letting these burn because there's so many fires now, these trees act as these carbon storehouses when they're alive.

Del Bigtree
But when they're doing their job, what they're doing their job and they're storing carbon. But let's do this. Let's kill them so they keep the carbon inside of them.

Jefferey Jaxen
Before they burn and release it all in the air, because that's just dumping this all and just kind of defeating the whole purpose of what we're trying to do here. And it's interesting who's backing this. So we find out. "This is a stealth effort to bury wood for carbon removal has just raised millions." Who is it? 6.6 million from Bill Gates Climate Fund.

Del Bigtree
Oh, really? There's a shocker.

Jefferey Jaxen
And the climate fund, interestingly, is called Breakthrough Energy Ventures. And so you're thinking, wow, they're really trying to find new ways to power the planet. No, it's just to reduce carbon. And that's what's going on here. And so this isn't just an isolated

Del Bigtree
It's like Bill Gates is running a think tank world's worst ideas funded here. It's outrageous. Think your idea, your idea being turned down by every intelligent person on the planet. Come to me. I'll fund it.

Jefferey Jaxen
Right. It's all the who's who of billionaires. You have Jeff Bezos in there now. There's a really interesting roster, but this isn't the only kind of window we're seeing into this idea. So in Scotland, this is the headline. Just recently, "Scottish National Party admits to felling 16 million trees to develop wind farms in Scotland." So there's wiping out forests to put up these these windmills that we've covered before are not the most efficient thing in the world when it comes to power generation. But let's bring let's now talk about we're talking about the carbon question. Let's talk about a guy named Dr. John Clauser. He's a physicist. He's a Nobel Prize winner. He's on the board of directors of an organization called CO2, the CO2 Coalition. The CO2 coalition doesn't really believe in the narrative that we need to lower CO2. They're saying that this isn't really a big of an issue as it seems. They have a chart on their website. This is one of several and it says, "our current geologic period, the quaternary has the lowest average CO2 levels in the history of the earth." And you can see there on the far right, that's quaternary now time period. And that timeline is about 2.5 million years ago to present date. So that's obviously a big chunk here. But we're talking geologic cycles here. And it's really interesting because we had Congressman Thomas Massie at a House oversight hearing. He was able to question at the time former Secretary of State John Kerry. And this is how that went on the conversation of CO2.

Del Bigtree
Okay.

Thomas Massie, (R) U.S. Representative for Kentucky
Let me ask you this. What's the consensus on parts per million of CO2 in the atmosphere?

John Kerry, US Special Presidential Envoy for Climate
About 406 406 today.

Thomas Massie, (R) U.S. Representative for Kentucky
Okay. 406. Are you aware?

John Kerry, US Special Presidential Envoy for Climate
350 being the level that scientists have said is dangerous

Thomas Massie, (R) U.S. Representative for Kentucky
Okay. Are you aware 350 is dangerous? Wow. Are you aware that since mammals have walked the planet, the average has been over a thousand parts per million?

John Kerry, US Special Presidential Envoy for Climate
Yeah, but we weren't working the planet. It's. Let me just share with you that. We now know that definitively at no point during the least the past 800,000 years has atmospheric CO2 been as high as it is today.
[00:38:57] Thomas Massie, (R) U.S. Representative for Kentucky
The reason you chose 800,000 years ago is because for 200 million years before that, it was greater than than it is today. And I'm going to submit for the record.

[00:39:08] John Kerry, US Special Presidential Envoy for Climate
Yeah, but there weren't human beings. I mean, there was a different world, folks. We didn't have 7 billion people.

[00:39:12] Thomas Massie, (R) U.S. Representative for Kentucky
So how did it get to 2000 parts per million if we humans weren't here?

Because there were all kinds of geologic events happening on Earth which spewed up the

[00:39:21] Thomas Massie, (R) U.S. Representative for Kentucky
Did geology stop when we got on the planet?

[00:39:25] Del Bigtree
I voted for John Kerry. I am, I've said it before. I was I was grew up a pretty rabid liberal. And I still going to say it again, I want clean air, clean water, clean food supply. I think every human being on this planet wants that. But this is crazy. This and it's a really perfectly good argument. If mankind is causing global warming, warming and CO2 is the main greenhouse gas that causes that. What was causing the CO2 gas problem when mankind wasn't making it? When it was higher throughout time? And by the way, plants like that, we need to live on that, capture the sun and turn into our food and feed the animals and everything that we eat need CO2 to live. So these greenhouse gases, it's just crazy. And now that they're living off of it, we're going to kill them so that they don't release it. This is beyond madness. It's impossible to think that they're this stupid. In many ways, things start crossing your mind like, my God, are these people from this planet? Are we being harvested for some sort of different atmosphere? What is the agenda here? Clearly, clearly, it cannot be a healthier environment because I would imagine before human beings were ever here, I bet if I dropped on this planet, like during the dinosaur age was running around, I don't think that CO2 was killing me. I think everything was green and lush. When I remember the pictures I grew up with anyway seemed like a really vibrant time to be alive as any animal on this planet.

[00:41:00] Jefferey Jaxen
And the bottom line is, clearly there's two sides to this conversation and one side has a lot of the press captured, a lot of the scientific journals captured. You can't really get your stuff published in there unless you you sign on to this really aggressive climate narrative. And so this is what we saw with Dr. John Clauser, Nobel Prize winner, part of the CO2 coalition. He was giving a speech at a speech at the IMF. And this is what happened when they found out his stance on climate. "Nobel Prize winner who doesn't believe climate crisis has speech canceled. "We went over this before, but you're seeing a very similar targeting of people. They're just taken out of the conversation. If they don't believe the narrative, which is not how we do science or debate. So this is why this conversation really has to has to continue. And so one of the questions here now moving back into some of the science here is Alzheimer's. We have about over 6 million people in the US of of varying ages, a lot of people over 65 with Alzheimer's. This is a terrible neurodegenerative disease. And some new information has come out from this. And there's been obviously the science around it has been in question now for about a year because there was potential fraud in the initial studies showing what really caused Alzheimer's. Putting that aside, we have a study this is a Swedish study and it's called "SARS-CoV-2 spike amyloid fibrils specifically and selectively accelerate amyloid fibril formation of human prion protein and the amyloid beta peptide." Whoa. That's a lot of words. So we're going to be going to break this down here because this is a really significant study.

[00:42:31] Jefferey Jaxen
So far up until now, we've had case studies, a man with Parkinson's disease that was accelerated after the Covid shot. Just single studies. Obviously, people will say, well, it's obviously a case study, but now we're having these tabletop exercises, these bench work exercises, and this is one of them. So the researchers write this, "an increasing number of reports suggests an association between Covid 19 infection and initiation or acceleration of neurodegenerative diseases, including Alzheimer's disease and Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease. Both these diseases and several other neurological neurodegenerative diseases are caused by conversion of human proteins into a misfolded, aggregated amyloid fibrils state." So let's just pause there for a minute. What does that mean? We have an animation here. Just give the viewers an idea of this. So you have these fibrils there. There are these long strings, there's these pepote proteins and those fold they misfold and eventually they form like a tangleweed kind of thing. That's an amyloid fibril clump. And those get parked in the neurons of the brain causing this, causing it to misfire, basically damaging the brain and bring on these. This is the explanation of Alzheimer's that science knows bringing on Alzheimer's or these these neurodegenerative diseases. So just keeping that in mind there. So with the researchers did in this study is they created their own amyloid fiber chunks derived from the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. So they seeded it with the spike protein. And so they say this in the conversation in this paper, "we here provide evidence of significant spike amyloid fibrils seeded acceleration of amyloid formation in Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease associated human prion proteins using an in-vitro".

[00:44:17] Del Bigtree
You know that Creutzfeldt-Jakob and this human prion. Just remember mad cow disease, everybody or you know, cannibals when they eat each other, they get a brain disease. This is what we're talking about is this misfolding of cells that we now call prions or prion disease. This is what we're talking about, being accelerated by the spike protein
Jefferey Jaxen
Right. Those things should not be accelerating in development, these human prion proteins. So it said here "by seeding the human prion protein HUPRP conversion assay with other in vitro generated disease associated amyloid fibrils, we demonstrate that this is not a general effect but a specific feature of spike amyloid fibrils. We also showed that the amyloid fibril formation of Alzheimer’s disease associated amyloid beta 142 was accelerated by spike amyloid fibrils." So they found that the human prion proteins were the amyloid beta is associated with Alzheimer's disease, were associated, were sped up in these essentially these petri dishes. When they put these spike amyloids in there, it act as like a catalyst. So they the conclusion of these researchers say this, "albeit purely in vitro. Our data suggests."

Del Bigtree
meaning that folks that are new to our show outside the body. Right. So in a petri dish, not inside the body, this is a study done of blood and and cells and things outside of the body. Go ahead.

Jefferey Jaxen
Yes, correct. Correct. And these are just basic. We're just starting the science here. So you think to yourself, didn't the research, the regulatory agencies already have the science done? No, they didn't. So we're at the genesis, the beginning of just trying to understand this. They say, albeit in vitro. "Our data suggests that cross seeding by spike amyloid fibrils can be implicated in the increasing number of reports of CJD and AD that Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease and Alzheimer's disease and possibly other neurodevelopmental diseases in the wake of Covid 19." So they're saying this is this is plausible. This is obviously just a tabletop exercise in some test tubes, but it's beyond a case study at this point of individual case studies. And so they're saying that this this might be a plausible explanation, a mechanism, if you will, for for what's happening here. So this is obviously we're covering some really big studies here with the children and their immune systems and now this study here. But this is something that really people need. We're building upon the science as this vaccine, unfortunately, is is out now for fifth dose. If you're a child, eighth dose if you're immunocompromised adult. But it's building and this is important.

Del Bigtree
Yeah, it's super important the idea and look, some of these studies, to be clear, if you're reading correctly, they're talking about spike protein. This could also be in a natural infection, I'm sure, as along with the vaccine. The issue that we have Jefferey is that we are not catching Covid every six months, if you will. And for those of us that have immune systems or immune systems fighting it really fast, kicking it out, closing out of the system so it doesn't have time, these spike proteins aren't having time, hopefully in the unvaccinated. And those of us with healthy immune systems to find purchase where they might start affecting cell lines all over our body. But remember, the vaccine brags about the fact that it creates it's sending more spike protein into your body than any natural infection would. And they're bragging about then how it makes all of these antibodies. And there are studies now showing, the fact that it's lingering, that the way they've altered the spike protein, it lasts longer in the body. We've talked about the pseudo ursidane. So with the vaccine, you have the same problems with more of it in your body more often because you're injecting it every time these morons tell you to get a booster shot and then they've made it so that it lingers in the body and lasts longer than the natural spike protein or RNA would.

Del Bigtree
And so these are the issues with the vaccine. It does everything longer, harder, faster. And when that means problems, that means a problem for the people that keep getting these things. It's really amazing. I'm just wondering what our population looks like ten, 15, 20 years down the road when we look at these Edward Dowd numbers, when you look at what we now know, what you're talking about when we're destroying kids immune systems and making them vulnerable to all the usual household bacterias that are all over the place now, they're going to be the boy in the bubble, unable to walk down the street healthy and people dying. And what about we've talked about the cancers being increased. Swear to God, you would imagine. If a mastermind had developed a product that, when injected in the body, accelerates all the worst things in you, all of your cancer cells get accelerated to cancer. All of the little amyloid plaques get accelerated to mad cow disease or Kreuzjoch. Jacob Or however you say that one, I mean, it's really quite shocking and causing heart issues and anaphylaxis and all the other issues. Jefferey I just I hope people are sharing the news that they have to come here and get the science, but it's really, really important. You're doing such great work, really scary stuff.

Jefferey Jaxen
Thank you Del. And hopefully we'll have some some really positive news next week. But like you said, at the very least, it's reckless to keep this vaccination program going. So hopefully we can see some type of intervention very soon.

Del Bigtree
Yes. Well, thank you. I'll see you next week. Yeah, it's really negative news. The positive news is I'll actually, I'm going to be interviewed, by, Politico or one of these newspapers magazines later today that want to know. They want me to talk about the increase in the amount of you that have started watching our show since Covid, what Covid did to our bottom line and all of those things. And yes, you're sharing these stories. You're helping us bring lawsuits. You are the reason we know what's going on with the Pfizer trials. The FDA wanted to hold back the Pfizer data for 75 years because they love us that much and they're just that transparent with their science. They thought 75 years would be the perfect amount of time to just let the truth incubate before we get to see it. But because of the work that you do, we were able to get the V-safe data. Aaron Siri went out and got the Pfizer data, the Moderna data. Those are the things that we're doing. We also are fighting battles like bringing back the religious exemption to Mississippi so that even if they try to mandate this insane vaccine on your children and destroy their lives forever, you can now say, sorry, my God does not allow me to be that effing stupid. Sorry, I'm not playing a game here. I'm going to stick with a God who actually made my children's bodies capable of living on the planet as it exists because of all of that work, so many of you been crying out like what's happening with the rest of the states? Well, we just had a legal announcement that has gone out.
[00:51:06] Del Bigtree
Breaking news ICAN is supporting religious exemption lawsuits in every state that does not have one for K through 12 students. What this means and we're always working on this, but we have a lot of pots, there's a lot of different towers of places where we are fighting with the Informed Consent Action Network. And we spent the last week because I've said to you, we are raised exactly the same amount of money as we raised last year. That's awesome. Clearly, we are. These are difficult times, I get it for all of you that are hanging in there and supporting us, that's great. But because we want to grow and we have to decide what we can and can't do, we are making buckets for all these things. What are we going to be focused? One of the things that we said we definitely have to stay focused on is making sure that there is a religious exemption available for every state in the United States of America. We're picking and choosing Now, if more of you want to fund and help us out, we won't have to be so choosy. But this is one thing we can guarantee you.

[00:52:03] Del Bigtree
We have already won back the religious exemption in Mississippi. We are currently bringing cases in West Virginia and New York. That leaves Connecticut and Maine and California that are hanging in the balance there. And you're all wondering, well, what's happening there? I want to explain something, especially California, because I come from California and I know a lot of you are itching just to run a case there. But you are behind in the World Series of scientific information and understanding. California is like way, way behind. In fact, if this is the World Series, you're at least, three points behind. There's three runs ahead for the CDC and against you, which means, sure, we could all rush over to California right now and run a case, but we're going to bring out our best hitter, Aaron Siri. The only one, by the way, the only one to ever return a religious exemption in this country. This guy is the best there is. Now, maybe other copycats out there that want to jump in and say, I want to try to do what Aaron did. I think I can hit it as far. Will you please stop all this bleeping right now? Please stop just for a second and hear me out. I don't want to bring Aaron Siri to bat and hit a one run home run when you need three runs in order to win this thing or four in California.

[00:53:17] Del Bigtree
So here's what we're doing. We need to load the bases. This is how this works. This is part of the strategy. I'm going to simplify it for you. We're loading the bases. We win in Mississippi. We win in West Virginia. If we can, we win in Connecticut. We win. We win in the other places because we have got to beat the big bad guy, the giant sea of morons that are running California. We need to come up and bring Aaron Siri to bat when we have everyone on base, when we have now got the ability to win and win with force. This is a part of the strategy. So though we are saying we are investing right now, our funding is going into the strategy, the legal teams are putting it all together. This is what we mean. Not to confuse it out, there's five holdout states that remain. One is down. We're in two more right now and we're coming for California. Everybody be patient. Hang in there. There are strategies. Believe it or not, we are strategizing how we're going to pull off the things we do. That's why we are one of the few non-profits in the world that has ever won lawsuits against the CDC, the NIH, the FDA, Health and Human Services. We have brought you Tony Fauci's emails. We have broken stories. We revealed the V-safe data, the Pfizer data, the Moderna data.

[00:54:34] Del Bigtree
We have got them on the run, the Highwire and Informed Consent Action Network have been more effective than any other nonprofit or news agency out there fighting for you, fighting for your medical freedom. And I'm telling you this I don't want to have to have meetings like I did last week and say, you know what? That's a great lawsuit, but let's just put that aside for the moment. Let's stay focused where we know we're winning. I don't want to branch off into a new space because frankly, there's a lot of people watching this show right now, but they just don't feel like it's necessary for them to donate. That's okay. Look, it's a free country. It's a free world to all of our international viewers. And by the way, if you're international, what we win here in America, as America goes, so goes the world. So whenever you're ready to start donating, we'll be ready to start launching even more cases. But I want you to tell you, we are using your money efficiently. We are going where we know it matters and we are coming after the religious exemption for every state in the United States of America. If you want to help us do that and make sure we win, and if you want to throw in a little extra for all the other things we'd really like to do for you, they want you to go to the top of the website TheHighWire.com.

[00:55:41] Del Bigtree
Hit the donate button right up there. It's that simple. Go right in there. Pick the amount that you want to be a recurring donor. A recurring donor is great because it helps us know what we can venture into and what we can't. We're going to have meetings to think about what we're going to do with everything you decide to donate today, $23 for 2023 would be great, but $1 would do something too. First of all, it would make you feel really good. Every time we tell you about the next state that is going to have to allow you to make your own decisions for your own children's bodies. Imagine that. And meanwhile, while we are going after those states, we're going to be fighting in every other state to make sure that they don't try to take your rights away. That's just a part of what we do. On the most interesting and unique news program in the world. Do you know another news program that takes your donations not from Exxon, not from Pampers, not from Tylenol, not from Pfizer or Moderna, just from you, our viewers. Half nearly half of everything that you bring here to make us, this beautiful set and to do our research that we bring to you is going to fighting in courtrooms for the things that we're uncovering with our research. It's a unique experiment. It's working.
I want to thank everybody that has made that possible. Alright. Moving on, when we look at children being vaccinated with a vaccine that clearly doesn't work and now we see that their immune systems are down and you see that, geez, there's a rise in children that are dying. There's more children dying this year than last and the year before that. We've been through all of that. But someone will say, well, that could just be a natural background occurrence. Who knows what it is? How do you know it's the vaccine or it's not? Well, one really easy way to have figured this out would have been to track a bunch of kids that didn't get the vaccine. Like, let's say, I don't know, 40,000 of them and then 40,000 that did get the vaccine and every booster with it. And let's just follow them for like ten years and let's see if the all cause mortality that's going like this is only happening in one of the groups or is it happening to both of them? Then we can say, well, certainly the vaccine wasn't the issue. It's called the Vax versus Unvax study. And there's a brand new book coming out, Who's it written by? Robert Kennedy Jr and Dr. Brian Hooker, who was the star of the movie that put me in the middle of this entire conversation. Vaxxed Here's just a clip for those of you that may have never seen it. Take a look.

Hello? Was sitting at my desk where I teach at Simpson University. My phone rings and it's Dr. William Thompson.

Dr. William Thompson

Brian, you and I don't know each other very well. I don't know how this is all going to play out. You have a son with autism, and I have great shame now when I meet families with kids with autism because I have been part of the problem.

My son Steven was born in February of 1998, two weeks after his 15 month vaccines. Then he lost all language. He lost all eye contact. This is a time where CDC was just starting to do these studies on vaccines and autism. As a scientist, I have over 60 technical and scientific publications in major international scientific journals, and I was contacting the CDC and was deeply critical of their studies. And so the CDC decided that the scientist who was going to interface with me at that time was Dr. William Thompson. Thompson said to me, Brian, if you listen to me and if you do what I tell you to do, I can guarantee you will be able to access a treasure trove of data. And I would like to guide you through these steps. When I originally got the MMR autism data, I looked, first of all at males versus females. Then I looked at black males. Those African-Americans that got the MMR on time were 2.64 times more likely to get an autism diagnosis than those African-Americans that received the MMR after three years of age, the analysis was the eight on the Richter scale earthquake that just shook through the CDC.

I actually think the most interesting results are the elevated ones for the isolated ones that don't have other co-morbid conditions. The effect is where you would think it would happen.

When Bill Thompson ran those numbers, he saw an astronomical effect. Some of the numbers were actually the relative risks were as high as 7 or 8 times higher with these kids that were diagnosed with just isolated autism. No other diagnosis versus those controls.

Literally everyone else got rid of all their documents. So the only documents that exist right now from that study are mine.

There was a dramatically different result presented before and after the time frame that Thompson alleged that these data were destroyed. I filed a formal complaint to the Office of Research Integrity and the Department of Health and Human Services. I heard back not long after the complaint was filed, and the letter basically stated that every coauthor except for Dr. Thompson denied that any material was destroyed or thrown away. They were calling Dr. Thompson a liar. It was their word, against his.

The senior people just do completely unethical, vile things and no one holds accountable.

These senior people just do vile, unethical things. True words have never been spoken. If you've been watching this entire show, for those of you that haven't seen Vaxxed, it's really simple. You can find it on our website. It's now free whenever you want. Just type in VAXXED From Cover-Up to Catastrophe and search. And there it is for free for you to watch. I recommend that you have everyone you know watch. People ask me, where should I start with this conversation? I think that movie is a great place to start. Just say, come on over, We got some popcorn. We have a movie for you to watch. Another thing you could do is maybe start with this brand new book, Vax-UnVax. Let the Science Speak. This is by Dr. Brian Hooker and Robert Kennedy Jr. It's already climbing the charts at The New York Times. New York Times has got it, I think, at number 11 now, which is amazing. I think it's one week out. And look at it, it's already skyrocketing up there, probably because of the guy that wrote the foreword, this guy named Del Bigtree. It's an incredible forward on this book. But I want to talk to the writer of the book, Doctor Brian Hooker. Brian, first of all, every time I watch that footage, I think I have you to blame for putting me in the middle of this incredible journey I'm in.

Well, I'm so glad that you did. And it's Del. It's an honor to, be on your show always. Thank you so much. You just you know, I'm kind of getting misty, bringing back memories of of the time that we spent together. When you got that interview footage and you you and your film crew were so gracious to my family and my son is so appreciative. Boy, that's a blast from the past. That was that was like seven years ago.
Yeah. Isn't that amazing? It feels like 20. I think you look great. I turned silver in that time, but you still look fantastic. But look, this is something that we've been talking about for a really long time, right? It's one of the things that we keep saying to the CDC and the NIH. Why won't you just do a VAX versus UNVAX study? We know of the Mawson studies that have done polling of people. We know you worked with Dr. Paul and putting together the VAX versus on UNVAX studies there. Paul Thomas. But this is a little different. Tell me about what this is. How do you have a book full of the studies that we believe is almost never happening? What have you got inside of here?

Brian Hooker, PHD, Biologist and Chemist, Simpson University, Co-Author, “Vax-Unvax: Let the Science Speak”

Well, the story Del actually begins with me and a meeting that you had with Robert Kennedy Jr in 2017. You were joined by Aaron Siri. And Lynn Redwood. And you met with one Anthony Fauci and Francis Collins in the executive office at the National Institutes of Health. And you and your cohort, along with Mr. Kennedy, were asking, where are the studies? Where have you done unvaccinated controls? Where have you done a valid study where you look at those children following the vaccination schedule and those children who didn't get vaccinated? And of course, Fauci swore up and down. Yes, those studies were there. You know this better than I do. And he even brought in files, riffled through the files, could not find a vaxxed unvaxxed study among them, and exasperated, threw up his hands and said he would email them to you. And of course, he never got back with you. Neither did Francis Collins.

Well let's be clear. I want to be clear. Who is really running that meeting was Robert Kennedy Jr, who had been reached out. Donald Trump had reached out to him and said, hey, you're really into this subject. I'm fascinated by will you go have a meeting? So we put together a great group of people to go in there with a lot of questions. But he did. Ultimately, Robert Kennedy was the email that was supposed to land in. We were supposed to get all of this evidence. It never showed up. And so so then it leaves it comes back to the question then how what is this book filled with? If they couldn't provide it, what they couldn't provide it? What's in here?

Brian Hooker, PHD, Biologist and Chemist, Simpson University, Co-Author, “Vax-Unvax: Let the Science Speak”

Well, well, you know, Bobby Kennedy is undeterred. And so what he did was he contacted me in 2019 and said, let's start to look for vaxxed unvaxxed studies that are hiding in plain sight. So we started to look at PubMed, the National Library of Medicine, and lo and behold, we found the Mawson study. I'd known about the Mawson study before we found other studies. And and, you know, Mr. Kennedy want me to distill down these studies, produce graphics. And then he was featuring this on his Instagram and Facebook accounts between, I'm sorry, 2019 and 2021. At first I thought, oh, we'll find just a few studies. Well, 60 studies later, in two years later, we we had featured these Bobby had posted these on his Instagram account a lot he had found independently of me and he was deplatformed from Facebook and Instagram. And so we looked at each other at that particular point in time and said, this is a book we need to put this but needs to get out. And so we continued our search. We're now up above 100 vaccinated versus unvaccinated studies. Some for the entire schedule, some for individual vaccines, some for things like vaccines and pregnancy. And we have 11 chapters in the book, and 100 studies are featured in vax unvax. And really, it's a compendium. It's a handbook that has these really very, very user friendly graphics to really distill down the science so people can read it, they can understand it, and they can look for themselves at what are the outcomes when you're vaccinated and what are the outcomes when you're unvaccinated.

Absolutely incredible. And so just, give me the spoiler. What's the spoiler alert here? What do you find when you look at these studies? Because I know what I've seen in I've looked at a handful and a couple of them are unpublished by groups that just are so terrified of putting it out. But I've seen, many of the same things. Is there is there a similarity across the issues that you're looking at?

Brian Hooker, PHD, Biologist and Chemist, Simpson University, Co-Author, “Vax-Unvax: Let the Science Speak”

There is a consistent theme. I think similarity is a really, really good word to use because what we saw consistently, especially when we looked at children who those were following the vaccination schedule versus those who were completely unvaccinated, which are not that hard to find. And when we looked at those studies and the scientists who are primarily independent scientists that did this research, they found that unvaccinated children had much less incidence of chronic disorders and even less incidence of things like infectious disease, you know, three times I'm sorry, four times less ear infections, four times less pneumonia, respiratory infections, and then things like asthma allergies, ADHD, autism, developmental delays, gastrointestinal issues were all much, much higher in the vaccinated group than compared to the unvaccinated group. And these, you know, looking at these studies, you see that the children who their parents have made the choice not to vaccinate their children overall, they're healthier. It is really, really hard to get around that. And, you know, I'm a scientist and I want to make sure that I gave vaccines every benefit of the doubt that I could possibly give in my analysis and Mr. Kennedy as well. But, you know, again, it's very, very clear. And we both came to the same conclusion the unvaccinated are healthier, the unvaccinated are spared these maladies. And, you know, especially around the realm of chronic illness, where so much money is spent in the United States right now, specifically for chronic illnesses, we're in a chronic illness epidemic for children and adults. And and it's you know, it's really a crying shame.

Brian. It's really incredible. I want everyone to read this book, and it's a great book for your friends because this isn't filled with a bunch of studies by whack a dandies that wish they were scientists in many ways. These are studies done by the very people that swore that they hadn't done the studies. Major regulatory agencies across the world and scientists, scientific bodies from major universities. This is really a tour de force, Brian. I think it's a game changer. You keep being involved in game changers, you're involved in documentaries like Vaxxed and writing books. You are out there on the speaking tour. But if we want to follow the work that you're doing after we read this book, there's a lot of people are going to want to know, Well, how do I get a hold of this guy? What is he up to? What types of things are you doing that we can track right now?
Right now? Well, I'm the the senior director of science and research at Children's Health Defense.

[01:10:39] Del Bigtree
Awesome.

And so we're continuing to do epidemiology where we're looking at unvaccinated children versus vaccinated children. There is not enough literature. The government is not doing their job. And so we're continuing to do the work at Children's Health Defense and in conjunction with independent scientists across the nation. And so I'm very, very excited about that. I love, you know, I am the quintessential science nerd. So I love, you know, having my big supercomputer next to me and and just, you know, pumping out the science publishing in reputable peer reviewed journals, which is becoming more and more difficult because the pharmaceutical industry controls more and more journals. But I think it's important that we continue to get the word out that we continue to do good science and that that science gets disseminated in some way, shape or form. And so that's one of the reasons why, you know, I think it's really, really important to speak out. It's important to go to conferences. I just saw you in Kansas City, which was a real treat where we were both speaking at I forget the name of the health revival. The Freedom Revival.

[01:11:53] Del Bigtree
Freedom Revival in the Heartland.

And the heartland.

[01:11:56] Del Bigtree
There you go.

Yes. And so so continuing to do that. My work is featured at ChildrensHealthDefense.Org. And you can you can indeed follow us there. We're building a robust science contingent. I'm now have a staff of eight additional scientists and analysts that work directly with me and it is we we have a great time every day. And I feel like, you know, we're doing God's work. We are really you know, you know, there's there's just a sort of a calling of being able to do this, especially sort of I don't want to say the twilight of my career because I'm not that old. But but, you know, coming

[01:12:43] Del Bigtree
Pinnacle of your career.

Oh, my goodness. Oh, my goodness. That's what you said. I'm borrowing that word. So but yes, we continue to do good work. And and again, Bobby Kennedy is is just undeterred by any type of destructive force that raised up against him. So he's sending studies to me and he's saying we need to analyze these studies for the next edition of Vax UnVax Let the science speak.

Fantastic. I love that you have not given up. You are working with some of my favorite people in the world doing such great work. So definitely check out this book written by Brian Hooker, the pinnacle of his career and all the research that he's doing now. Check out what he's doing at ChildrensHealthDefense.Org. Brian, thank you for taking the time to join us today.

Thank you so much, Del. It was a pleasure. Good to see you.

[01:13:36] Del Bigtree
Good to see you, too. I'll see you soon. Well, he said we're doing God's work. We're doing God's work, which is something I think a lot about. He didn't say I am God. I don't think there is a God. I think I need to replace God. I think that God got it wrong. We've got to fix all the diseases on the planet. That seems to be the thinking and I think a lot of us are sort of waking up to this idea that it feels like there's a battle of light and dark, good and evil or maybe just intelligence and stupidity. They all can, I think, claim the same space. Well, when I look at this, when you think about the journey I've been on with VAXXED, that got me into the middle of this vaccine conversation and all the investigations I started doing. Well, that puts you the moment you realize you have to know this when you're stepping into this conversation, the moment you realize that I'm about to start pointing to science that everyone in science has told me and everyone at CBS said to me, doesn't exist. I'm like, But it does exist. It actually does. It's right here. There are studies I can show you right now that talk about what I mean. The moment you do that, you suddenly are attacked.
Del Bigtree

Now, all of a sudden you're not an Emmy Award winning producer of a medical talk show. You're a quack, you're a lunatic. You're going to get children killed. At the moment that happened to me, there was those that had come before me and gone through that gauntlet. There was Dr. Andrew Wakefield, who was the director of VAXXED and co-producer Barbara LOE Fisher, and Sherri Tenpenny, who's going through some issues right now. And I want to send my prayers to her also. But people that stood out on their own and they were attacked and it was easy to attack them because the body of science moved in and just had a chicken pecking party on the one person that just wasn't toeing the line. But slowly but surely, movies like Vaxxed come along. I come along, we start getting media attention. Now, all of a sudden vaccines is being talked about on mainstream news, and slowly but surely we start winning lawsuits and everything starts changing. And now what you find is the conversation is different. Now you have, I think we've reported over 30% of America believe that the Covid vaccine is killing people or somewhere in that neighborhood. 50% think, yeah, probably killing people. And so we have turned a corner. More and more people are questioning the childhood vaccine. And we keep showing you studies of the incredible amount of science being done around how dangerous the Covid vaccine.

Del Bigtree

And we're watching top scientists. Now, the vaccine issued isn't just one person you can attack, but we're able to go to Senator Ron Johnson's hearing and put up a panel of like 20 world renowned scientists, leading number one, most published heart doctor in the world, one of the most published ICU doctors in the world. Pathogen specialists, epidemiologists, the inventor of one of the vaccines we're talking about himself. All of this is happening, which means this conversation is changing. And now no longer do I have to worry about when I get on a plane, people going, there's that quack. In fact, last night when I was flying in, luckily to make this show, the stewardess said, I love the work that you do and I've been to all your rallies and you're getting on Facebook and saying having people say, I used to call you crazy, but I actually need some information right now. There it is. I was the king of the anti-vaxxers. That's the claim to fame photo could use some work, but that's how it goes when they hate you for telling the truth. I say all of this because there's a conversation we've been diving into that similarly is being railroaded and that and you're called a quack if you go near it.

Del Bigtree

And this is in the space of geo engineering or chem trails and things like that. Are we actually manipulating the weather? Oh, that's crazy talk. Before I even take one step further. I just want to ask you, you yourself and I hope I have a audience of logical people, I want you to be intelligent and logical. I don't want you to just buy into the next greatest fad. But let's just take a moment and ask ourselves a few questions, because this is how I think. This is how I work. And I thought about this show and I'm going to talk about chem trails. Am I going to talk about geoengineering? Here's what I go through. Okay. Do I think that it's possible that my government and the scientists in it the same mindset? As I said, these people that don't believe in God, believe they are God. They think they're going to actually be able to stop every virus and bacteria on the planet, no matter how bad the product fails that they make, they're going to force it on us and then make a bunch of products to fix the problem they've made because their God, they can do this better than nature, right? Those people. Do you not think. That there's a group of those people that are really fascinated by weather and are looking at the weather and saying, I'll bet we could control the weather.

Del Bigtree

I'll bet we control clouds and rain. What if we could start an earthquake? What if we could create a tidal wave? Do you really think of all the people and all the geeks that are really super smart coming through school and didn't have a lot of friends and don't jump off of cliffs wearing wing suits to get excited, but actually get excited by the idea of manipulating the weather. Do you think they don't exist? Come on. You know they exist. You know they're out there and you know they're being paid by who? Nations that tend to take great ideas and turn them into weapons of mass destruction. Oh, we've got this great little splitting of the atoms thing. Could make great energy. It'd be really freed if we could make it clean and safe. That'd be awesome. But no. How about we turn it into a bomb that kills people? This is how our nations think, is it not? Am I overstating it? Do we not go? Oh, you got to balance with nature. We've got immune systems. But what if you took a germ and you put it in a petri dish and you ran it through some penguins and some snakes and some bats, and then eventually start, like, sprinkling into some human cells, and then maybe you can get it to infect human cells.

Del Bigtree

Get really infectious. Wow. That's cool. That could kill everybody. Now, can I make something that stops it? An antidote, if you will? Then we could create it and then give ourselves the antidote. This is how people think, right? I think we're all on the same page here. Do you think that those same minds do not see the potential in warfare that uses the weather? And now let's go ahead to these freaks that think that the sun is getting too hot and that the globe is warming. And maybe it is, who knows what it's caused by? Dinosaurs had more CO2 than we have now. Maybe dinosaurs were building a bunch of cars and exhaust machines that somehow dissolve before we got here. I don't I don't know how that works. But what do I do know is that a lot of this doesn't make sense, but there is a common theme anyway. We can we want to control the earth. And now more and more people are talking about it. And those people out there that didn't make a lot of sense, they're starting to make it on to the news and we're starting to see things that look more like this.

Female News Correspondent

Cloud seeding is something that I studied in school for meteorology weather modification. Back when I went to school, it was like a poo pooed a little bit. It was too expensive. It's not efficient enough. Well, guess what? When you get desperate and then technology gets better 20 years later, here we are. Cloud seeding is ramping up so big. You see me there showing that flare on the plane. They send silver iodide into the clouds and then it makes it snow more. So they can't make a cloud, right? But they're taking storms that are already there and then giving it a little boost. Garrett Clemens heads up one of the largest cloud seeding companies in the US. Has the desperation in the mega drought made the interest in cloud seeding skyrocket?
Garrett Kammans, President, North American Weather Consultants

There are currently 42 cloud seeding projects across the American West like this one in Utah, where they take planes like this with flares attached. They fly right into the storm and send microscopic particles into the cloud. Particles that act like magnets for water droplets bonding together until they are heavy enough to fall to the ground as rain or snow. Clemens, whose pilot showed us a cloud seeding demonstration flight. Says that cloud seeding can make 3 to 15% more snowpack.

Garrett Kammans, President, North American Weather Consultants

That water could be priceless. It can make the difference of adding a couple of weeks to your irrigation cycle for your crops.

Dr. Michio Kaku, Theoretical Physicist

During the Vietnam War. There was something called Operation Popeye, a top secret program to seed the clouds over Vietnam during the monsoon season to wash the Vietcong out. And they actually tried that.

Dr. Michio Kaku, Theoretical Physicist

With laser beams?

Dr. Michio Kaku, Theoretical Physicist

Well, no, with silver iodide crystals to condense the water vapor to cause nuclei that would then cause raindrops and that would then accelerate the monsoon season. Okay

Dr. Michio Kaku, Theoretical Physicist

So. Are they doing this today. The US government?

Dr. Michio Kaku, Theoretical Physicist

Well, it turns out that eight states in the United States practice some form of weather modification. For example, seeding the clouds with silver iodide. And the Chinese famously at the Olympics in the year 2008, seeded the clouds in order to have it rain outside of the Olympics.

Dr. Michio Kaku, Theoretical Physicist

So did it work?

Dr. Michio Kaku, Theoretical Physicist

Apparently, yeah.

Dr. Michio Kaku, Theoretical Physicist

What's new is they have hundreds of these ground cloud seeders, so they're actually puffing the silver iodide with a flare. And you see, I think I have a picture of the actual ground cloud seeder into the air. That thing sits all over the Rocky Mountains, all over the American West. Ten states are doing this. My friend Russ is the state climatologist of Colorado. And he said, you got to see what's happening with cloud seeding. It is huge and it's only getting bigger by next year.

Del Bigtree

If you really think back, it used to be known that we were seeding clouds. I grew up in Boulder, Colorado. I'm a skier. I love to ski. I've talked about that. And I know for a fact they would talk about their seeding the clouds so we could get more snow, which was a huge revenue for Colorado. Then all of a sudden it became like if you mentioned that you're absolutely crazy and now all of a sudden you're a conspiracy theorist if you talk about weather manipulation. But clearly there's people in the news now that are talking about it. And this headline happened just here in Austin where I live recently, "Austin based company wants to control the rain over Lake Travis." If you read this article by NBC, "after one of the hottest years on record, Rainwater Tech and Austin based company is hoping to bring weather controlling technology to the United States. The company has been using the tech in the Middle East and Australia for ten years." Other nations are doing it. Sure, imagine America would be doing this too. "The company produces rain by using large antenna or towers. What we do is basically produce an ion plume that goes up into the atmosphere, attaches to the cloud nuclei and enhances the rain."

Del Bigtree

We have been doing a lot of investigations in this space and just very quickly so that you understand it. Like why would you put these silver iodides or aluminum particles or the things that you hear? Actually, as it turns out, I didn't know this. You can't make a raindrop without a particle, a dust particle of some kind. That particle is what collects the condensation, turns into a raindrop and falls. So without particles in the air, no raindrops. This is what they do. By increasing the amount of particles. Now you can increase rain. That's just a basic understanding of it. But to get to the bottom of this and really to talk about how this conversation is advancing, I reached out to the guy that was all by himself at one point, a voice crying in the wilderness, trying to warn all of us that there's a bunch of lunatics that are doing things with science that could affect our health in this planet forever. I'm talking about Dane Wigington and he joins me now. Dane. Thank you. Thank you. Thanks for coming on today.

Dane Wigington, Lead Researcher for GeoEngineering Watch

Thank you for your continued attention to this issue.
[01:25:09] Del Bigtree
You're welcome. I want to ask you a question. This is something that, in the vaccine space, I feel like sometimes those who have been at it for a really long time, there's these blips that I get excited about and they're like, well, it's still a marathon Del. I'm not sure if we're advancing or not. I want to ask you, I feel like this conversation is actually starting to shift. You've been at this slogging it long before we got here, but do you have a sense that this conversation about whether manipulation about releasing toxic particles in the sky, do you feel like it's getting more traction?

[01:25:49] Dane Wigington, Lead Researcher for GeoEngineering Watch
It is because it can't not get more traction. This is something you can't put in the rear view mirror because the climate system is disintegrating. Climate engineering is not the only cause of that. And as you correctly stated, the carbon counts are escalating rapidly today. That has happened in Earth's past. But here's the difference. It's happening now hundreds of times faster than at any point in Earth's geologic past, and that makes this a very different scenario. We're losing the ozone layer, which was not the case in any previous past extinction with these higher levels. So that's a problem as well. And there's many forms of human damage to the planet that are readily acknowledges that to think that we haven't been bad stewards of the planet wouldn't be logical or rational at this point. That being said, climate intervention operations are disabling the planet's ability to respond to the damage already done while inflicting even more damage in the process. And if I could clarify this, the all the forms of weather modification just shown, although real, are simply used as a smokescreen to mask the exponentially larger and unimaginably more destructive climate intervention operations, aka weather warfare. So to make this clear as well, these programs are not benevolent in any way, shape or form. There's many layers, many objectives, many agendas masking the true severity of damage already done to the planet. As you correctly stated earlier, like the pharmaceutical approach to the human body trying to mask the damage done while inflicting even more damage in the process and using weather as a weapon, we see systematic targeting of agricultural producing regions. So again, I can't express with enough urgency the gravity and immediacy of what's unfolding in our skies, the threat it poses to all of us if we don't deal with this all other causes, all other concerns will very soon be moot points. And that's a mathematical statistical trajectory.

[01:27:44] Del Bigtree
Dill And I think that, and as I've spoken to you and we've had some deep, intense conversations right on the camera here and but looking at it, there's just things that my logical mind says, like, let's just take the story of the Olympics in China. They brag about the fact they manipulated the weather. What do they do as it was described by the scientists that I know that you've worked with and share many of the same thoughts about, but they created rain outside of the Olympic area, I think, to use up the precipitation. So they had good weather over the space where the Olympics was happening. So they were able to change the weather patterns around a space to achieve a goal. In that case, it was so everybody could have a happy go lucky time. But certainly when we look at the minds that we've talked about that run these nations that spend the military industrial complex, you would be insane to think that they don't look at that same thing and say, wait a minute, if I could keep it from raining on an Olympic event center, I could keep it from raining on farmland of my enemy. Or I could make it rain so much that you cannot see straight. You can't get out of your house is running down the road. You would imagine that that is part of what's going on.

[01:28:57] Del Bigtree
And so that is where I think I'm meeting you on this. And then and then I think there's another thing that's happening when we look at nuclear energy as I think is a very good comparator. We could create energy or we could create bombs. They want us to focus on the energy. There's a lot of talk right now. Why aren't we using nuclear energy? I'm always like, Well, because every time we mess that up, we destroy our ocean and everyone around it. And I'm waiting for the idea to be clean, but I don't want to get off the subject. That's what they focus on. But we don't talk about the nuclear weapons that are being used. Is it similar that many of these technologies we're now firing lasers up into the sky? You've talked about HAARP systems that are on a much grander scale. So they tell us it's almost like they're telling us what they're doing, but they're telling us the shiny, happy part of it, not what they can actually do on the as you say, more dangerous or manipulative or darker side. Is that what you're saying is, sure, we can create some rain. We're firing lasers up, but we can also create a drought that will be devastating to nations in this world and things like that as wages of war.

[01:30:05] Dane Wigington, Lead Researcher for GeoEngineering Watch
They can and are doing all the above. Of course, they're using climate as a weapon. Why wouldn't they use it as a weapon? It's the the crown jewel. Wmd of the controllers because they can bring populations to their knees without those populations ever even knowing they're under assault. And for those in the so-called science community that continue to claim we don't have the technology to carry out geoengineering operations, what utter absurd nonsense that is. We have film footage of patented aircraft spraying mechanisms 100 years ago, 1923, film footage of five of those aircraft flying in formations with the diagrams of the patents, the tanks, the pipes, the pumps inside, the planes dispersing exactly what we see in our skies today. And we have the so-called climate science community pretending they don't have the technology to do this and at the same time telling us we need to do it immediately. And if we did it, it would look exactly like what we see. But we're not really seeing what we're seeing. We live in an asylum. Del And to link the Covid scenario in with all this Covid 19 and everything that it involves is a response to the collapsing biosphere. And for those who don't believe it, whatever their view is on the climate is not relevant to the fact that it is undeniable that the planet's life support systems are virtually imploding. Climate engineering a big part of that, not the only part, but a major part because it's disabling the planet's ability to respond to the damage done. We see fisheries collapsing around the globe.
Tonight, a wildfire emergency in Louisiana. Several raging throughout the state.

90% collapse in global pelagic fish populations, the food fish crops collapsing around the globe. 37 countries today descending into chaos because there's not enough to eat. So again, for those that are pretending these problems are off somewhere else in the world and won't affect them, that's like pretending that you're part of the ship that hasn't gone underwater yet is safe because you're not on the part that is underwater. It's it's again, a very myopic view. So bottom line is we cannot escape what's happening in our skies, not only destroying the planet's life support systems, the ozone layer, it's ubiquitously contaminating everything on the planet. Every breath we take, we know there's not an uncontaminated drop of rain anywhere on the planet. Now that's peer reviewed science study all contaminated with PFAs forever Chemicals that's everywhere. North Pole, South Pole, Mount Everest and everywhere in between. It's contaminating our soils, soil, microbiome crops. And we're talking about elements like aluminum, barium, strontium, manganese and del, you know, from your work how toxic each of these elements is. And when you combine them, you get synergistic toxicity in the case of aluminum and mercury, and I know you know about those metals and how bad they are by themselves when you combine them based on peer reviewed science study, the toxicity can increase as much as 10,000%, 100 times worse. And we are literally inhaling these particles. We know that we have lab tests from all over the globe. Aluminum nanoparticles are raining down our air column. So it's it's a very lethal mix, though.

Dane Wigington, Lead Researcher for GeoEngineering Watch

I want to just and just to clarify so that people know where we're coming from, like, well, what is your agenda? People ask me like, what is this agenda? Are you like a climate scientist or are you into the WEF? Things like that. I always state with my show. I agree with everything that you are saying in that we have toxic fish. I would love to be able to take my son out and fish in any river in America and take that home like Norman Rockwell over our shoulder and eat that fish. We don't live in that age anymore. I have to be very careful about what fish we eat. That's a problem. I just don't see that on on all sides of the political spectrum. We should all want clean rivers that we can swim in, clean food, that we can eat. We should want our farms to be clean. The animals, everything. Air that we breathe, we have asthma, all these things I just feel like. And so I say that I'm an environmentalist the way I used to be, which is I want all I want a clean earth, and I'm always going to work towards that. But and would you consider yourself an environmentalist? I just wanted just the background of like where you come from on that so that people get a sense of the base of your perspective.

I'm an environmentalist to the core. And I moved. I spent my entire adult life trying to get out of Southern California and the smog that I was hacking on that none of the adults seemed to be concerned about. And I moved to Northern California. I lived completely off grid wind, hydro and solar power, which is a better form of energy, but not renewable, as the green people are trying to make us believe. But yes, I'm an environmentalist to the core, was working on restoring habitat, managing a very large habitat preserve, supervising everything on that preserve. But I couldn't stay there, Del, knowing what I knew was being done in the skies above and that that would negate every bit of my work in the short term if it continued that these these toxic elements raining down on all of us, literally contaminating the entire web of life. And you brought up the fish scenario. Here's a recent science study of 500 locations throughout the US on wild caught fish within the borders of the US that are so toxic without exception, that eating one of those fish is equivalent to drinking a month's worth of toxic water. That's how bad it is. So again, we know these elements are being used. And to quantify that, we have taken hundreds of lab tests over a single US state. We're not disclosing the agency we're working with yet, but when we extrapolate the amount of material being dumped, Del on a global basis annually, if that dumping is uniform as it was in that state, that's about 40 to 60 million tons of toxic climate engineering nanoparticles being dumped into our skies annually, 40 to 60 million tons.

Del Bigtree

Alright. All of that is very alarming. I want to get into a subject that I think is bringing some attention to this topic again. And it's based on the wildfires that we're seeing, the most deadly fire in America that took place in Maui. I lost my home in Malibu to a crazy fire. That was my house right there, melted to the ground. And I'll tell you, I've heard of fires. And the interesting thing here are the fact that I had metal girders that were melted over. But right next door, houses that did fine. A very horrific event. But I don't mean to compare it in any way to those who have lost lives. Luckily, every one of us survived it. But this conversation is being sparked and it's brought up this headline that I was thinking about, which is coming right here. "Aluminum dust from Geoengineering Fueling Super Wildfires. According to the author. This just came. It just popped up. That's a 2018 study. But it's recirculating because of all these fires. And it made me look twice at how they're talking about the fires in the news. Now, it could be alarmist or is it matching what that headline is saying? Take a look at this.

Female News Correspondent

Canada's wildfire season is now officially the worst ever recorded.

Male News Correspondent

Raging off California's pristine coastline. It wasn't the size, but the sheer power of this volatile wildfire.

Male News Correspondent

Thousands of acres burning throughout Butte County.

Female News Correspondent

Urgent evacuations in a part of East Texas as a wildfire rages out of control.

Female News Correspondent

Tonight, A wildfire emergency in Louisiana. Several raging throughout the state.
In Maui, Hawaii, where at least 111 people have been killed in what is now the deadliest wildfire in modern American history.

In Spain, wildfires have broken out in the tinder dry conditions.

Fires threaten to engulf the airport in Palermo, Sicily.

On the Canary Island of La Palma, wildfires are ripping through a forest.

The fire on the outskirts of Athens ignited on Tuesday, forcing residents to evacuate their homes, some of whom now have nothing to come back to.

This fire is just far too large to extinguish. In fact, the area already burned is larger than most countries on the planet.

By the evening, we started having these fires that spread all over the island, and so we were just completely engulfed the whole island. There was nowhere to go. There's a five acre brush fire has grown exponentially throughout the day, has now reached 2000 acres and at this hour is now knocking on the door of this neighborhood.

Words cannot describe how devastating this destruction is as this fire continues to burn through Paradise. Basically, the entire city has burned to the ground.

We keep looking at this image of the 101 freeway that it looks like, oh, no, it jumped. So it's jumping.

Nobody alive in Louisiana has ever seen these conditions.

Yeah, fire was growing at this time at a rate of one football field a second. So an acre.

A second field a second. Yes. Five years ago, ten years ago, you know, fire like that might have grown to an acre, a couple acres. We'd gotten there very quickly. We're seeing spread in ways that we haven't before.

Now, obviously, we have alarmist reporting on everything, but this report does seem to I remember the Paradise Fire up above in Northern California, right. For the Woolsey fire that took my house in Malibu. It literally people died just sitting in their cars. It went so fast it sucked the oxygen out. And we're starting to get these conversations, a lot of them happening online that the geo engineering, these aluminum particles and dust and things like that are accelerating these fires. Do you believe that that in all your research is why I want to brought you in. Is this potentially another side effect of this sort of contamination that's happening from our climate manipulation.

It's not a matter of belief. It's indisputable science fact. Aluminum nanoparticles are used in demolitions like thermite. They're very, very volatile incendiaries. And we know we have this incendiary dust coating everything, forest foliage, structures. It's everywhere. Again, 40 to 60 million tons. I would encourage your listeners, though, to search our engineering wildfire section on the home page of dreamwatch.org and see what we have said for well over a decade. We said this was coming. You and I have known each other for quite a few years, Del And when you when your house burnt down. Certainly that was in an incident that we know we had geoengineering operations as a part of that equation. You showed the Paradise Fire in our groundbreaking documentary film The Dimming, posted on the home page of Geo Watchau available to view for free. We have time lapse footage of blanket aerosol spraying operations being conducted directly above the Paradise Fire smoke canopy. What possible reason would they have to do that? That's not solar radiation management, which is a stated purpose of climate engineering. Again, that's the cover with which these weather warfare operations are being carried out and they can and are creating short term, highly toxic cooldowns at the cost of an even worse overall warming. So here's the key question is not the ignition point of the fire, which can be many different factors arson, coordinated arson events in many forms. Certainly hard to argue at this point. There's yeah.
[01:41:07] Del Bigtree
We've seen that we have headlines just just to the point that I was going to bring that up. Let's just show a couple of these headlines that you're talking about. "Tiger Island fire was caused by arson." There's just lots of "man arrested on suspicion of starting Northern California fire that burned more than 100 homes." "Cal fire officers arrest man accused of starting the ridge ridge incident fire." "Suspect rearrested after six more brush fires set in Portland." Some of them even are eco terrorists, saying that they're doing it to bring awareness. There's all issues like that, fires popping up out of anywhere. And I want to just point this out. And we I don't know how to verify this completely, but what you see right there in the middle of the screen, there are no fires. And suddenly all at the same time, you see like 40 fires in Canada. This is Canada. Just take a second to look at that. If you go back, it's clear in the middle. Then all of a sudden, boom, boom, boom, boom. All those little smoke spots popping out of the forest. It's hard to explain what that is. It's not a lightning strike. That's not like one strike moving, something that may be a whole other conversation of what's really going on with these fires. But my question does come back to you. And you you talked about using as an explosive. We did a little bit of research just to say I said this morning is there proof that aluminum is like explosive? And we just pulled up a study that we found "fires and explosions associated with aluminum dust from finishing operations."

[01:42:30] Del Bigtree
This is just when it comes to working with it inside of factories. "Aluminum dust produced by finishing operations is an explosive dust which can burn or explode if not properly controlled." I also want to point out one of the things that you talk about, we talked about in Vietnam, we manipulated the weather. We bragged about being able to do it. And as you're saying, there's no way. When I look at the amount of technology where we've progressed since 1969 and 70 and the Vietnam War, certainly we came a long way from like a dial phone to a cell phone that I can practically fly satellites with. I would imagine if we were manipulating weather for Vietnam, we've gotten better at it. This is something we found from our government thing about using fire as a weapon. One of the things you're talking about, this is "forest fire as a military weapon." Final report. This is, I think, back in the 1970s "when considering forest fires as a potential weapon, certain advantages and limitations must be kept clearly in mind. The greatest singles superiority factor of fire as opposed to other damaging causing agents is that under the proper circumstances, fire is self-propagating A relatively large area can be covered with a minimum expenditure of ordnance." And so if we're making forests more flammable, that's the question. Are we making forests more flammable?

[01:43:54] Dane Wigington, Lead Researcher for GeoEngineering Watch
Yes that document, that 140 page document I actually sent to your staff, that's what came from our watermarks on it. We found it on military archives. And that's exactly what that document describes. So back to what I mentioned earlier, the source of ignition, separate subject. And certainly governments might blame all of these ignitions on eco terrorists. The governments themselves are certainly involved in these operations. There's no question, because they are setting the template for these fires to burn with such ferocity. And that is the key core question What's established in the conditions for scenarios like Lahina or Canada, where there is still right now, today at this moment, over a thousand fires burning. Canada has lost about 62,000mi² of forest since May. That's bigger than the state of Georgia, and yet we hear nothing about it on us Matrix Media. So again, the bottom line is what is setting the template for these fires to burn with such ferocity given the fact that it must rain more overall on a warming planet, not less? The laws of physics make this crystal clear 7% more moisture is held in the atmosphere for every degree c of warming. Based on unfilted frontline data, we are likely past three and a half degrees C right now. That's one of the purposes of climate engineering operations to mask the severity of what's unfolding from the public.

[01:45:13] Dane Wigington, Lead Researcher for GeoEngineering Watch
So, Del, the snowmageddon that we had in California this winter from chemical ice nucleation for weather modification, that's an artificial form of chemically nucleating cloud moisture and creating frozen precipitation events out of what it should have been liquid. And that skews people's perception. They think, how could it be warming when there's so much snow falling and geoengineering? Watchaug is the only organization that I know of that's addressing that key part of climate engineering, chemical ice, nucleation for weather modification to engineer winter events. So we have to look at all these factors and back to the fires themselves. Again, we can see on satellite imagery and we have stated this during out for well over a decade, that they are cutting off precipitation to key areas of northern latitude forest, the Pacific northwest part of Canada. And they are setting the stage for these fires to burn with such ferocity. Next question is why would they do that? We have peer reviewed science study advocating for the intentional burning of northern Latitude forest to put enough particulate matter into the air to mimic the short term cooling effects of a volcano. Why? To mask the severity of the warming just a bit longer, at the cost of sacrificing the Earth's remaining life support systems. So that's how desperate and dangerous the controllers are at this point.
[01:46:28] Del Bigtree
Alright. Let me just ask you a final space of conversation with you, because as I said, you’re an environmentalist. I used to use that word. Now I’m afraid to. And here’s what I want to understand. What do we do? I feel like, a lot of my audience, obviously, we I’m not down with the WEF, World Economic Forum. I’m not down with carbon credit scores. It seems like slavery. I am down with a cleaner planet, but I feel like many of these problems that we are now dealing with, whether it’s climate science, these are people that consider themselves environmentalists. They are saying we have got to cool the earth in order to live on it. Bill Gates is like considered now. If I talk to most of my friends from Boulder, Colorado, and that are, we’re into the climate or into the environment, when I was he was like regarded as this hero. Yet as we reported today, he wants to cut down trees to stop CO2 release. He’s one of the ones that speaks openly about something you’re very connected to, which is blocking the sun, spreading particulates so that we can block the sun. There’s this God complex and they’ve commandeered, they’ve commandeered this idea of being an environmentalist, wanting a clean planet. And they’re telling us they’re doing all of these things to make the earth a safer, healthier place. And I don’t know how to move forward. I’m honest. Dane. I don’t I can’t call myself an environmentalist anymore because these people are lunatics. And I think they may be probably starting fires to prove a point. Look at how bad it is. See? Don’t we need to, cool the earth? Are you do you have a sense of this conflict or am I alone on this?

Of course, I’m opposed to every single environmental group in existence because they refuse to address the climate engineering operations and there is no legitimate discussion about climate, anything from any perspective without first and foremost addressing that issue, which is the most destructive form of human activity of all. So everyone has their own definition of terms, just like the term conservative. And how many consider themselves conservative as a conservative to build enough nuclear weapons to destroy the human race thousands of times over or go to war at the drop of a hat for resources in pursuit of empire all over the globe? Is that, quote, conservative? Again, everybody has been programed to think a certain definition of a certain term, but the the dictionary definition would mean to care about the environment on which all of our lives completely, utterly and totally depend. And so that’s why our focus is on this issue. It is the priority issue. It is the biggest hole in the bottom of the boat, period. If we don’t deal with it, the planet won’t support life anymore soon. And that’s not to back up AOC or Al Gore. And here’s the rub, Del. Everything that those people have said is wrong, but in the opposite direction of what most would like to believe. What we face is exponentially worse than anything any of those people have said.

[01:49:27] Dane Wigington, Lead Researcher for GeoEngineering Watch
And they have not acknowledged the climate engineering part in this equation. And and I know many will roll their eyes and walk away and think that can’t be true. I asked them to look at statistics again. Planets imploding. 80 to 90% of the insects are gone today. Del If the insects can’t make it, how long are we going to be here? Plankton populations crashing in Atlantic down 90% already from peer reviewed study. No plankton, no people, no trees, no people. We’re down 60% in the trees. And of course, burying them like Gates proposed gate gates is simply a prop in the play. He simply there to aggravate, divide people and keep their eyes off the horizon. But all we’re asking is for people to look at the data, view the dimming. Consider that yes, people are making money off catastrophe. Al Gore and the rest. Yes, they’re disaster capitalists. But I ask people to consider, do we not have the same entities making money off of war, off of conflict, off of indeed both sides of the conflict in many cases. Does that mean the conflict didn’t occur? Does that mean people didn’t die? No. So we all need to not think dichotomously clear the lens through which we see the world. Try to comprehend what the biggest hole in the bottom of the boat is.

[01:50:36] Dane Wigington, Lead Researcher for GeoEngineering Watch
And in the case of climate engineering and their aerosol dispersions and I’ll leave it with this. They have the means right now to distribute pathogens that could level the playing field overnight. Marburg, Ebola, hemorrhagic fevers. And since we know that the world’s second most recognized geo engineer, Dr. Ken Caldeira, former DOD scientist, Department of Defense scientist. And as I’ve stated before, we have an audio of him stating what he did for the DOD was to design ways of spraying pathogens into clouds to infect the population below. We had 85 countries in three days infected by Covid. We had Italian researchers state. They found Covid attached to airborne particles. Do not all these dots connect and final statement. We know we have polymer fibers and graphene in our rain. Now, both of those elements are used militarily in biological warfare to carry a pathogen from the cloud to the ground. Why in the world would we think they wouldn’t do this when they’ve been doing it for decades? And that’s a matter of record biological testing without public knowledge or consent. So we face a very dark horizon. We can’t hide from what’s happening in our skies. We don’t deal with it. We’re done in the short term period.

[01:51:45] Del Bigtree
Really compelling. And so many of those things do cross over. Now I agree. And what we’ve just been reporting this entire show, when you have regulatory agencies recommending a product that they now know really only causes autoimmune disease is destructive to the lives of children. We see rising mortality rates in every country. The more vaccinated, the higher the rate of all cause mortality. All of these things people will say, well, it’s not causation. It certainly should be a headline in a newspaper somewhere. And when it’s not, we better get nervous that we’re being lied to by a very large system. Dane I love the work that you’re doing out there. It’s really important. We are crossing over. We are looking at things. You’re talking about aerosolized vaccines and that insanity on its way. I think a lot of these people have a God complex. I don’t know if they’re just out to kill people or they’re just completely ignorant. But those of us that are looking at these things have got to sort of rise up and have our voices heard. And you’ve been out there all alone on the front lines. So I want to congratulate you today because I do think this conversation is changing. We’re happy to be helping you in some of those investigations and getting the word out there. But for your work, tell us once again if we want to donate to the work that you do and help you continue your fight, how do we find you?
It's Files you decided it was. We're the largest most visited site in the subject in the world or nonpolitical. We do not advertise. Our sole purpose is to make what's happening in our skies stop. And on a final note, Covid everything. Vaccinations included are the controller response to unfolding biosphere collapse. They are certainly trying to cull the herd. And as dire as that may sound to some, I hope they will keep that conclusion in mind as we head into the coming weeks and months, it will become increasingly clear.

Del Bigtree

Alright, Dane, take care. I look forward to speaking with you again soon. Thanks for taking the time.

Dane Wigington, Lead Researcher for GeoEngineering Watch

Thank you Del.

Del Bigtree

All right. All right. Well, look, we share a bunch of perspectives with you on this show. I'm bringing you science from a lot of different places. You have to do your own research. That's the case. All that we can do here is we can open up I can show you where these questions are coming from, the headlines where they're coming from. I said this morning, is aluminum explosive we can find that? Has the government ever thought about starting fires? Yes, they have. There's documents. So what I'm trying to show you is before you decide to call someone a quack or say, that's crazy, why don't you actually do an investigation, just do some simple research and say, is it possible to do what they're talking about? Is it possible to manipulate the weather? Yes, it is. Then ask yourself, then we really not doing that. And if we could do it, would we only do it for good based on what we see from our government and the governments of the world right now? I think all of this needs more of our attention. I think we've got to stop being allergic to alarmist or strong, focused energy from people that have been fighting for a very long time on these issues.

Del Bigtree

I think it's time to wake up. It's starting to sprinkle into the news. Usually by the time it's in the news, I think it's almost too late. We've got a lot of work to do. I want you to spread the word. Children are being murdered. Children are being murdered by government agencies. Now, that clearly must know the truth and why they're moving forward. I don't know what the agenda is. All I know is the result. A rise in all cause mortality with everybody. All of these are the types of conversations that we're having here on the highway. We're looking at all the ways that we can affect change. We're bringing you the lawsuits and things like that. We are also starting new programming. One of the things that we want to invest in is bringing you more materials, more interviews, maybe even develop a couple new shows or two with some new voices. We're working on a special thing with Jefferey. Jefferey Jaxen. Sort of a trial of that was the Freedom Files, something that we recorded. We got a bunch of interviews. We were at Freedom Fest this year.

Del Bigtree

It was an amazing experience. So this Monday we're dropping two new Freedom files. This is them, Mark Skousen, the founder of Freedom Fest and Zhou Fengsuo. I think that's how you say it. Survivor of Tiananmen Square. He was there when his government decided that the will of the people didn't matter and you weren't allowed to protest. This is a fascinating set of interviews. You're not gonna want to miss it and you won't miss it. If you are on the Highwire and you just type in your name there, just go to the bottom of the Highwire where you will get all the evidence. We just talked to Dana about that little article about the military using forest fires. You want that in your hands or you just want to be able to talk about it with no proof. That's the type of thing you get when you sign up to our newsletter. You should be taking part in one of the most important tools that we give you for free just for watching. For those of you that donate to make all of this research possible, I want to thank you. Here's a little taste of what's happening on the Freedom Files on Monday.

Zhou Fengsuo, Chinese Human Rights Activist

I'm a survivor of the Tiananmen massacre. I was someone who was there first and last to leave. It's my duty to tell the world what happened.

Jefferey Jaxen

Yes,

Zhou Fengsuo, Chinese Human Rights Activist

It's in two weeks of the protest, Deng Xiaoping decided to use military force. Many people killed when we were leaving Tiananmen Square while the world was watching. This is why, you know, today's China is so important to understand, because the ability of controlling people, the extent it can do it, it's never seen before. It's beyond the worst imagination because of technology.
Zhou Fengsuo is was literally on the top five lists of fugitives in China. He was the top five. They wanted that guy bad. Imagine living that life and imagine asking yourself, what type of courage does it take to be that person? Are those courageous people just a small few? Or do you ask yourself, Do I have that in me? Do I have the ability? Would I would I stand up if it really mattered? What would I do to protect my kids, right? What would I do? What would I do to protect my country? Right. We ask ourselves that. And I think we come up with really heroic moments like, well, if it gets bad enough or they kicked in my door, I certainly would be protecting my kids. But, we all put on masks. We all bent down. We took a knife when we were asked to by the government, we watched people turn in our neighbors. We stopped having parties. We ran out of buildings before we sneezed. So we didn’t freak everybody out, made our lives totally insane. And then we ask ourselves, well, how courageous was that? The issue is not whether or not you’re courageous. It’s whether or not you’re going to deep down, reach into that courage that’s inside of you and make it happen right? Inside of everyone is a fight or flight. That moment you fight because you realize there’s a car on top of your child or you do something outrageous. Every story we hear about that, that something clicks in, something triggers and human beings become super heroes in the instant. That instant that they realize that there is no reality that stands in their way.

I have to save my child. Why is that instant not happening in everyone in the world right now? We have shown you the evidence. I have shown you the evidence. It is crystal clear now, and I can say it and I know that they’re going to try and figure out how to shut this show down if they ever do this again. I am sure we have bull's eyes on this place and our Internet and how we’re coming to you. And we are going to continue to try and build technologies so that we can protect the truth. But while I am still here in America and America is still America and we have free speech, I’m going to tell you this. They’re murdering you. They’re killing your children. The United States of America is the FDA and the CDC are now taking part, whether it was accidental to begin with or they just had no idea the vaccine was that bad. They know it now. All cause mortality up in our children. That should be the final straw for all of us. That’s it. You’re not asking any questions. That means you need to be fired right away. This entire government needs to be taken out. We need to put in new government. I mean, taken out with our votes. And yes, they would love for you to believe that our voting system doesn’t work. They want you to believe that you are powerless. They want you complaining all day long that there’s nothing you can do because they have just corrupted the system that much. That’s what they want you to believe. So if you think you’re a genius sitting there complaining that they’re doing it and they’re so corrupt and there’s nothing you can do about it, you’re doing exactly what they want you to do.

Because if you actually said, You know what, I’m going to tell everyone I know, I don’t care that they’re going to try and say I’ll be humiliated for doing it, or that I will look like an outsider, or maybe I will lose my Facebook page. They want you to care about those things. The moment you don’t is the moment you become a hero is the moment that you don’t care if you’re on a list because the only list you care about is that list of people that stood up for humanity and the children of this world and be a hero. It is time to lift that car off of your kids and your neighbor’s kids because your neighbor is asleep. You got to wake them up. Your house is burning down. Get up. I’m going to tell you the truth. Oh, I might be you know, I don’t know what they’d say if I told them their house is burning down. They really love that house. They’re going to die. If you don’t talk to them. They’re going to kill their kids and they don’t mean it. Figure out a way to wake them up. Be nice, be courteous. Bring some science, bring a cake, bring Vaxxed, the movie, whatever it takes. You’re needed. Now you need to be a hero. This is our time. I know we’re going to do this. It’s why I have hope. I have hope because we keep growing and you keep making that happen. And I look forward to an even bigger audience next week.

END OF TRANSCRIPT