

NAME

EP 453 12/4/25.mp4

DATE

December 7, 2025

DURATION

2h 28m 3s

21 SPEAKERS

Del Bigtree

Jenn Sherry Parry, Executive Producer

Paul Offit, MD, Co-Inventor of the Rotavirus Vaccine, RotaTeg

Dr. Sanjay Gupta, Chief Medical Correspondence for CNN

Dr. Jon Poling, Neurologist & Father of a Vaccine Injured Child

Aaron Siri, ESQ. ICAN Lead Counsel

Kathryn Edwards, World Leading Vaccinologist, "The Godmother of Vaccines"

Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report

Female News Correspondent

Male News Correspondent

Dr. Robert Malone, mRNA Vaccine Technology Inventor

Cynthia Nevison, PHD, Presenter

Cody H. Meissner, MD

Mark Blaxill, MBA, Senior Advisor, CDC

Retsef Levi, PHD, Professor of Operations Management, MIT, Voting Member, ACIP

Tracey Beth Hoeg, MD, PHD, Acting Director of the FDA's Center for Drug Evaluation and Research

Evelyn Griffin, MD

Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

Gloria Dignazio, Daughter suffered serious injury after 18 month DPT vaccination

Carrie Bigford, Outreach Director, Texans for Vaccine Choice

Male Speaker

START OF TRANSCRIPT

[00:00:05] Del Bigtree

Have you noticed that this show doesn't have any commercials? I'm not selling you diapers or vitamins or smoothies or gasoline. That's because I don't want any corporate sponsors telling me what I can investigate or what I can say. Instead, you are our sponsors. This is a production by our nonprofit, the Informed Consent Action Network. So if you want more investigations, if you want landmark legal wins, if you want hard hitting news, if you want the truth. Go to ICANdecide.org and donate now. Alright everyone, we're ready. Yeah.

[00:00:45] Jenn Sherry Parry, Executive Producer

Yeah! Let's do this.

[00:00:46] Del Bigtree

Action!

[00:01:01] Del Bigtree

Good morning, good afternoon, good evening. Wherever you are out there in the world, it's time to step out onto the HighWire. As you can see, I think I have a rant coming on here in just a moment, but first, let's talk about the incredible day that we are sitting in right now as we speak. The Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices is in a meeting at the CDC. It's like no meeting that we have seen in decades, maybe ever. Real questions being asked, science being challenged. What were the studies? How long were they? What evidence do we have? How many kids are at risk? What proof do we have of the risk? Things that we've never seen take place, even though everyone in America believed that this was how these meetings were happening, but they weren't. Back in 2017, the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices at that point was a country club for pharma. This is where pharma went to celebrate the billions of dollars they were going to be made, as they just used a ship to pass through their lack of safety testing to rush a brand new vaccine onto the market, and they would run out as soon as it was approved by the ACIp. And the newspapers would write, you know, Pfizer going to make \$1 billion on their new vaccine. That's when Aaron Siri, our attorney for the Informed Consent Action Network, called me and said, del, um, you know, we're winning lawsuits against the government. We're beating the FDA, CDC, HHS, NIH, but we're never going to make a difference here if we don't go to the heart of the problem where these vaccines are being approved and put on the schedule, that's the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices.

[00:02:39] Del Bigtree

Why don't we go to those meetings? He said. They're actually public hearings, even though the public isn't going, and we might even be able to submit and have you make a statement on a microphone from the public. So back in 2017, Aaron and I went to that hearing. We were the only ones there, as far as I know. Maybe there's 1 or 2 others out there that weren't representing pharma. I got up and spoke about the dangers of the vaccine program, and a slight disgust for how I had seen things happen there. We came back from that and started broadcasting the live stream cuts that we'd made of the ridiculous conversations that were happening at ACIp. The next ACIp came around and we brought a bunch of people. Now we had a handful of people all showing up. The third ACIp after that, suddenly we had 50 people all getting on the microphone and talking about our issues. Parents of vaccine, injured children stepping up and telling their story, something that had never happened in the halls of this country club, ever. And then finally, when hundreds started showing up from our side, the intelligence side, the questioning side, the hesitant side, they suddenly changed the way the entire format worked.

[00:03:49] Del Bigtree

They put a giant rope across the room, whereas once we could sit amongst everyone else. Now we were pushed to the backs of the room like Rosa Parks on a bus pushed to the back of the room with a rope. We could not cross that sides. Pharma, the rest of you dirty people. You at the back. Still, we spoke on microphones and then moments after that, of course, Covid hit and they made it so that there was never a public hearing again. But today, the tables have completely turned. Today, live streaming on X. If you've been watching on The HighWire at HighWire Talk, we've been streaming these hearings from the very beginning. This morning. They'll be going all day. They're going right now as we're broadcasting on our own channel. Tomorrow we'll be broadcasting on every HighWire channel, including our website. And if everything sticks to schedule at 9:30 a.m. eastern time, our own Aaron Siri will be giving a entire discussion. 830 central, 930 Eastern Time. Um, Aaron Siri is going to be giving a presentation at the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices. So he's no longer sitting in the seat. He's definitely not out behind some ropes. He's going to be up in there. And by the way, if you really wanted to recognize the shift and the way things are going, Doctor Robert Malone has been the one overseeing these hearings because, uh, Kurt Milhorn, who is supposed to be the chair, um, couldn't make it.

[00:05:17] Del Bigtree

So the vice chair, um, is standing in his stead and running the hearings. Uh, it is clear now we live in a totally different world. A world that those of you that have been helping fund ICAN, the work that we're doing today should be one of the greatest celebrations you've ever had. You have literally proven that we can change the world. You know, never doubt that a small group of passionate citizens can change the world, because indeed, it's the only thing that ever has. This is who is going to be voting. We're expecting a vote today on hepatitis B, which is the conversation of the day. My understanding is there may be a discussion right now on whether they're going to push that back. They're terrified of that vote. You know pharma has got to be ringing the hooks ringing the phones of everyone that's on that panel, maybe even threatening jobs, I don't know. But this is a historic moment happening in the United States of America. It's a historic moment, certainly for health. Health and human services and science as we know it. The scientific method is alive and breathing and real questions are finally happening. But why am I sitting here in front of this? What is this all about? This is inspired by a video that Paul Offit was a part of. This is one of the great vaccine shills known to man. He is an author on the Bible, on vaccines. Plotkin's vaccines. He appears in court cases defending vaccines, supporting vaccines.

[00:06:44] Del Bigtree

There's the authors of the Bible on vaccines right there Stanley Plotkin, Walter Orenstein, Paul Offit, and Catherine Edwards. If you watched this show, you know all of these individuals. But Paul Offit, of course, who has made millions and millions of dollars off of his own rotavirus vaccine, did not, by the way, recuse himself from this very committee. The ACIP community, while he was making a rotavirus vaccine, did not recuse himself for a vote to add rotavirus vaccines to the childhood schedule. Just to show you how completely corrupt these people are. That's not a conflict of interest. You stand to make millions of dollars in the future when you're done making your vaccine, and you think it's appropriate for you to vote yes on adding a rotavirus vaccine to the schedule when you are making rotavirus vaccine. I mean, you can't make this stuff up. We've outed all of these things. And it's why, by the way, Robert Kennedy Jr is now HHS secretary, and the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices is now called ACIP. I want to add that little feature. They always wanted to call it ACIP. Sip. We change that. We think it should be called SIP. And it is. So anyway, here is the video that really lit a fuse in me this week. And not maybe for the reasons you might think when you first watch it. But let's see what you think of what he's saying here.

[00:08:01] Paul Offit, MD, Co-Inventor of the Rotavirus Vaccine, RotaTeq

If you alter the vaccine injury compensation program and make no doubt about the fact that that is Robert F Kennedy Jr. S interest, he's already hired a law firm out of Arizona that has an expertise in the vaccine injury compensation program with a goal towards altering it. And what I predict is going to happen. And so I'm going to make something you should never do on any sort of podcast. I'm going to make a prediction. Here's my prediction in the probably by no later than early next year, maybe the spring of next year, you are going to see Robert F Kennedy Jr holding up some paper that he's going to publish in a journal that is is, uh, a fringe journal. I'll put that nicely. Um, the journal that he uses called science, Public Health and the law, which can which has an editorial board that consists solely of anti-vaccine activists. So he's going to publish this this paper that says that, that aluminum adjuvants in vaccines are causing harm. Now, aluminum adjuvants are in seven different vaccines given to young children. Not like thimerosal where you can just go from multi-dose to single dose vials. There's no taking aluminum adjuvants out of vaccines. There are certain vaccines that just need adjuvants to get a better immune response. He's then what he's then going to do is he's going to say, see, it's causing autism, asthma, eczema, whatever. And he's going to either add that to the to the vaccine injury compensation program, which will break that program, or he will take vaccines out of the vaccine injury compensation program and say, I don't think they should get the protection of that program. Let's leave them open to the slings and arrows of outrageous civil litigation.

[00:09:31] Del Bigtree

Obviously, Paul Offit now finds himself in incredibly defensive position for many that were watching that video that was posted online, I think the obvious thing is essentially what's happening here is Paul Offit is looking to his little crystal ball and somehow psychically is going to predict what Robert Kennedy Jr is going to do. Essentially, this is the same thing as if The Wizard of Oz suddenly said, I am going to predict that Dorothy and a lion and a tin man are going to come and pull back a curtain and reveal that the Wizard of Oz is not a wizard at all, that the science has sucked all along. And instead of having real, robust science that has stood for the scientific community, all that is, there is a little guy in a chair and that little guy might just be me. That is what most people were talking about. Of course, he's predicting the very thing that he's been a part of covering up the entire time. But that is not what struck me in this statement. Not that he's obviously afraid of Robert Kennedy Jr. Undoing the entire lie that he's weaved for decades and made millions from. Now, instead, what I thought was the most important part of this statement is he has finally delivered something that everyone has asked me about. Why, Dell? What would possibly be the motive? Why would the government lie about vaccine injury? Why would it hide the science? Why would it take away liability and then never do any actual science? It doesn't make sense. Our doctors are just trying to kill people. Is Paul Offit really an evil person? Just wants to destroy children's lives? It doesn't make sense. There's no motive. Really? Well, Paul Offit just gave you the motive. Let's focus in on exactly what that motive is, not just for Paul Offit, but for maybe everyone in science. Everyone, certainly, that supports a vaccine program, including every government of the world. Listen to it closely. Here comes the motive for the crime.

[00:11:35] Paul Offit, MD, Co-Inventor of the Rotavirus Vaccine, RotaTeq

You are going to see Robert F Kennedy is holding up some paper. He's going to say, see, it's causing autism, asthma, eczema, whatever. And he's going to either add that to the to the vaccine injury compensation program, which will break that program.

[00:11:50] Del Bigtree

There you have it. Now he's going to say, Paul, I mean, what Robert Kennedy Jr is going to do, I predict, is he's going to connect aluminum adjuvants, which is in seven of the 16 vaccines, can't be taken out, never been tested for safety but cannot be removed. But he's going to take aluminum and he's going to say that it's connected to autism. He's going to attach vaccines and autism. And if he does that he's going to break the vaccine injury compensation program. Break it. Okay. So what is the motive for a cover up? He didn't say if science. And by the way, he's trying to make it about Robert Kennedy Jr. But listen to what he just said. Do you think he would be happy with any scientist in the world doing a study that connected vaccines and autism. If his belief is that will break the system. See, this is what they know. This is what they've been protecting. It doesn't matter if it's Robert Kennedy Jr, Del Bigtree, or, you know, Doctor Marcus Zervos at Henry Ford Health Systems at the heart of our film An Inconvenient Study, there can never be a connection between vaccines and autism, or the system will break. I posted about this video. This is what I wrote in my post this week. And by the way, if you're not following me at Bigtree, you should because I have rants too.

[00:13:08] Del Bigtree

In the middle of the night, like Donald Trump, doctor Paul Offit accidentally admits the real motivation behind the autism coverup. If aluminum is found to cause autism, it will break the vaccine program. He is correct. So never doubt why your government use shills like Offit to block any science that would link vaccines to autism. The cost would overwhelm the entire health system and thus the motivation for the crime. Now there's one little post, some guy commenting. I love this because this just shows when they really think they've got a gotcha, he said. It will break the vaccine injury compensation program, not the vaccine program. Huge difference. Geez. Do better. I wrote you obviously haven't done the math. Huge difference indeed. So why don't we talk about the math today? How big a motivation would this be? There's a motive for a crime, which is important for every single legal case, whether it's a murder or a fraud case. Why? Why did they do it? So let's go back to the 1986 vaccine injury Compensation program. This is the moment the United States of America, that the pharmaceutical industry said to Ronald Reagan and our Congress, we are losing so much money from death and injury cases, we cannot make a profit. Their statement, not mine. A product so bad we can't afford to make it anymore.

[00:14:32] Del Bigtree

Not like all the other drugs. Will we lie to you? We know we're lying. And you discover years later in courtrooms that, yeah, we always knew it caused heart attacks. We always knew it killed people. We always knew that talcum powder caused cervical cancer. But it took you 50 years to figure it out. And guess who made billions of dollars before that happened? See, that's the pharmaceutical industry we all know and love. But in this case, it had caught up with them. So many lawsuits they couldn't make a profit. Ronald Reagan hates the idea. He doesn't want to give liability protection. But what's he going to do? He doesn't want to see the end of the vaccine program, especially somewhere in the future. There's going to be a biological attack. How are we going to make vaccines to protect ourselves? And so, with his hands tied behind his back, he signs on to the 1986 Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, which takes all liability away from the pharmaceutical industry and puts it all on the government of the United States of America and specifically a vaccine injury compensation program. Vika. And in this case, every vaccine is going to have a little tax. That little tax will go to a fund where we'll pay off everybody being injured by vaccines, because what they admitted is we know vaccines injured people. That's why you're in court all the time.

[00:15:43] Del Bigtree

But instead of having a regular court system, we're going to have a no fault court where we admit it's injured your child. There's a list of the injuries we admit to, and we're just going to pay you and send you on your way. And guess what they were paying for autism. Autism was paying out. And the encephalopathy brain swelling, which they fully recognize, took place one of the most common injuries reported across the world from vaccinations. But every payout was about \$5 million. But then suddenly the government realized, oh, snap, we got a problem. No one bothered to ask Pfizer or Merck or any of the pharmaceutical industries exactly how many lawsuits do you have? How big a problem is this really? How insurmountable is it? I mean, I guess we should have thought about the fact that you wanted to walk away from the program altogether, but they didn't. And then suddenly, after 1986, by the year 2000, this is what they saw outside the courtroom, the vaccine court of the United States of America. Over 5000 cases of autism lined up to be paid their \$5 million for being injured by vaccines. And all of a sudden they realize, oh my God, we have a little bit of a problem here. How are we going to handle all these cases? What is that going to cost? What are we going to do? They had no idea the problem was this bad.

[00:17:09] Del Bigtree

So did they go and do better science? Did they fix the vaccine? Figure out why it's doing this. No no no no no no no no no. We've got to run some sort of court scam to kick all of these people out of court. So here's what they came up with. Let's have what will be called the omnibus proceeding. So in the omnibus proceeding they decided of the 5000. And by the way, just for visual reference, this is only 1000. Okay. Just going to put that out there. Here's what they decided they're going to do. We're going to take six. Select six. 12345 randomly selected six cases. And we are going to have a court. We're going to have we're going to bring these each one of these into court one at a time. If any one of these randomly selected cases ends up proving that vaccines can cause autism, then the 5000 will get their day in court. But if we get lucky enough that none of these six cases can prove a connection between vaccines and autism, this will be over. This will not be our problem. And so this is how it goes down. Case number one. Michelle Cedillo Michelle Cedillo ends up in this court case. They decide that because of the leading witness in the world, the leading authority on vaccines, Doctor Andrew Zimmerman, states unequivocally that there is no mechanism by which a vaccine could have caused Michelle Cedillo's autism.

[00:18:49] Del Bigtree

Therefore, this case is thrown out. It loses. It's done. Okay. One down, five to go. Next up, Hannah Poling. Now Hannah Poling. Same thing. Regressed into autism right after vaccinations. Only one problem here. Hannah Poling's father is a neurologist. John Poling. And to take it one step further, John Poling works inside of the same health system as Doctor Andrew Zimmerman, the world's leading authority on autism and the primary witness for the Department of Justice. Yes, the government that is fighting these poor parents, the government's against them. You against the government of the United States. Try to beat us. Well, John Poling says, hey, Doctor Zimmerman, we are colleagues. I want you to look at the health records of my daughter because I'm a neurologist. I've tracked her all the way along. I can show you something. I never would have believed my own eyes. My daughter did regress into autism right after vaccinations. Obviously, I gave her vaccines because I went to the same med school you did, and I thought they were perfectly safe. But she regressed into autism before our very eyes. Here's videos. Here's proof. Andrew Zimmerman studies Hannah Poling, and he comes to a new conclusion. He says, oh my God, I've discovered why, for some kids, vaccines can cause autism.

[00:20:15] Del Bigtree

It's an underlying mitochondrial disorder. The energy of the cells. And somehow the energy is not productive enough that when the inflammatory event that is caused by vaccines happens, it was unable to quell that inflammation before it reached her brain, causing encephalopathy, a swelling of the brain, and resulted in a symptom called autism. So he rushes to the lawyers at the Department of Justice the night before. This is going to go, and he's going to be on the stand. And he says, the lawyers, hey, look, I've discovered it. I've discovered why many of these kids may be regressing into autism. I'm the leading authority in the world. And I've just studied Hannah Poling, and it's a mitochondrial disorder. And they say, great, doctor Andrew. That's fascinating. You're fired. What? You're fired. We don't want you in court tomorrow. That's how they handle their leading witness. And they send him home. And they take the Hannah Poling case, and they take it off to the side, and they settle it on its own. They move it out of the queue. And they end up paying what is believed to be over \$20 million over the life of Hannah Poling, not 5. \$20 million before John Poling was silenced. This was him on Sanjay Gupta and CNN. Take a look at this.

[00:21:35] Dr. Sanjay Gupta, Chief Medical Correspondence for CNN

We are here with Doctor John Poling. He is. First of all, he's a neurologist. He's also the father of Hannah Poling, as you just mentioned, her autism, her case of autism diagnosis was conceded by the federal government as having been contributed to by vaccines. That was a pretty startling thing, I think, for a lot of people to hear. Uh, we talked to a lot of experts about this. They say, look, vaccines in no way cause autism. You're a neurologist. You're also the father of Hannah. What do you say?

[00:21:59] Dr. Jon Poling, Neurologist & Father of a Vaccine Injured Child

Well, I think you bring up a really important point is that the government, actually the Department of Health and Human Services, conceded that my daughter's medical problems, which are autism, encephalopathy, seizures, were brought on by vaccination. You know.

[00:22:15] Dr. Sanjay Gupta, Chief Medical Correspondence for CNN

That's startling? I think for a lot of people to hear that, because we've been taught for so long. You're a doctor, I'm a doctor. We go to medical school, we hear this vaccines. Obviously, there's so many good things about vaccines. They prevent, you know, life threatening illnesses that we've heard about. But but in your daughter's case, it turned out to be to be a problem.

[00:22:32] Dr. Jon Poling, Neurologist & Father of a Vaccine Injured Child

I wouldn't have believed it until it happened to me. I, to be honest with you as a doctor, until it happened to me, until I saw the regression, until I saw a normal 18 month old toddler descend into autism, I wouldn't have believed it was possible.

[00:22:46] Dr. Sanjay Gupta, Chief Medical Correspondence for CNN

So what you believe is that that has to have some sort of predisposition. And then the vaccines tipped her over the edge into developing autism. What is your belief now?

[00:22:56] Dr. Jon Poling, Neurologist & Father of a Vaccine Injured Child

Well, I don't think that vaccines are the only way that you can tip over a child like Hannah to regress and have an encephalopathy and have a regress into autism. There are probably multiple triggers in in my daughter, clearly it was the vaccinations that was our experience as far as other families experiences, it may be different. The other cases that were at Johns Hopkins, there were only a few, like Hannah, and then others regressed for other reasons. It's not it's not really a known entity.

[00:23:26] Del Bigtree

I mean, I don't know if for those of you that may be new to the show, maybe that's the first time you've ever watched that. So anyone that tells you that it's never been proven that vaccines cause autism, it has been proven in court. And Sanjay Gupta knows it when he says this on television. Ever since.

[00:23:42] Dr. Sanjay Gupta, Chief Medical Correspondence for CNN

We don't know what causes autism. I mean, that's fair to say. We're not sure the scientific community what causes autism, but we know that vaccines do not.

[00:23:52] Del Bigtree

I wonder when he's saying that every time he says it, is it in the back of his head going, Hannah Poling, Hannah Poling. Don't think about Hannah Poling. Forget about John Poling, forget about John Poling. Forget about the science. Forget about winning in court. Just keep saying, just keep saying I don't know. I don't know what makes Sanjay Gupta tick. But I do know for a fact that is one doctor and one scientist that knows for a fact that this case right here was thrown out of court, hidden from the public, silenced after that video and settled for \$20 million. So next up Yaats Hazelhurst. This child does have a mitochondrial disorder autism. Right? After vaccinations, they end up running the case. And instead of Andrew Zimmerman showing up, what do they do? We can look at the case right here. They don't bring him onto the stand, but boy, do they quote him. They still quote Andrew Zimmerman in the testimony. There is no scientific basis for a connection between the MMR vaccine or mercury intoxication and autism. Despite well-intentioned and thoughtful hypotheses and widespread beliefs about an apparent connection with autism and regression, there is no sound evidence to support a causative relationship with exposure to both or either MMR and or HD mercury, all of these things. That was Zimmerman's statement. So they knew he'd evolved his statement. They took this case, fired him, took this case and settled it, but kept using his original statement total and complete fraud by the government of the United States.

[00:25:14] Del Bigtree

That is a fraud, hands down by the Department of Justice committed fraud. And then throughout this case and every one others not on their own using Zimmerman, but lying about what his understanding was because he had finally learned and woke up and they wouldn't let him into a courtroom. This is what took place and why did it take place? What is that motive Paul Offit's talking about? This is what Paul Offit's talking about. If it is ever determined that Hannah Poling was right, had this case ever gone, how it had, suddenly every one of these people are going to be lined up in this courtroom with the potential to win \$5 million. So let's do some math. When Paul Offit says it will break the system and some dork is telling me he just said the vaccine injury compensation program, not the whole the whole vaccine program. Del. Get real. Oh, yeah. You haven't done the math. So we'll do it, shall we? There are at this moment in 2007, in this case, there were 5000 cases. Right. Let's multiply that, shall we, by the average payout of \$5 million. What does that equal? \$25 billion is what was potentially at stake for our vaccine injury compensation program to date.

[00:26:40] Del Bigtree

Right now, as we understand it, there's somewhere between 4 and 5 billion. Complete, totally in the system. It's paid out five has roughly 4.5 billion in the trust to pay awards. Right now after 40 years of existence it has 4.5 billion. So it's going to come up really short. Back in 2007, if we are going to pay this out \$25 billion, I have news for you that doesn't just flatten the vaccine injury compensation program obviously doesn't have that much money. And this is just autism. How far does this go? This wipes out HHS. This wipes out the vaccine program. This potentially wipes out your entire health department. Hhs gone. What does it do with the government? And it made me think. And where I really started thinking about this was Jason Chaffetz was a congressman that was the head of the Ogre committee. He actually watched VAXXED and was really into VAXXED. Check out those numbers by 2009 to 2017. But he'd watch VAXXED and I had several meetings with him. In fact, I was joined by Mark Blaxill, who was actually at the ACIp meeting today reporting on behalf of the CDC. But Mark Blaxill and I were sitting in a meeting with Jason Chaffetz multiple times, and he was really curious about Doctor William Thompson. But he said something in the second or third meeting that I had with him over the course of many months, because we were trying to get him to bring Doctor William Thompson in front of the Congress to have a public hearing, to hear about the fraud that he was talking about.

[00:28:08] Del Bigtree

The center of VAXXED, of course, the cover up of the MMR autism vaccine study that clearly was manipulated. They kicked half the kids off. The study committed fraud at this exact time period, by the way, same time period. Remember the government is looking at \$25 billion bill that cannot pay. So we've got to do this. We've got to do the omnibus proceeding. We've got to do a fake study a fraudulent study inside the CDC. Oh, guess what else is happening over in England. They're destroying Andy Wakefield's connection to the MMR vaccine because England's got the same freaking problem. Billions and billions of dollars. You got to ruin this guy's career because he's on to something. He, even in this paper says, look, I'm looking at 12 kids. It looks like autism is connected to some bowel disease that they got while they got the MMR vaccine. He says this doesn't prove causation, but means we should study it further. That was the big smoking gun that destroyed Andy Wakefield's Job daring to say to someone like Paul Offit, just study it. Yo, bro, if we study it and we find out that it causes autism, we are effed.

[00:29:14] Del Bigtree

25 billion. So Jason Chaffetz and I'm sitting there across from him and he said something that took me a while to figure out. He said, Del, if we call, you know, William Thompson in front of America, what will happen? I mean, in all honesty, how big do you think this is? Where does this end? I didn't quite understand what he meant, but as I laid in bed that night and many after, I thought he's right. This is like the first time you divide atoms. You're going to blow up a nuclear bomb for the first time. There's a question. Do the atoms ever stop splitting? Do they ever stop? Does it kill everything in sight? Because certainly. Certainly at \$5 million a case, it's going to destroy the CDC and all the CDC credibility. If we discover that the government lied about the safety of this product, that it actually causes autism. Cdc is screwed 25 billion. No. What do we have, like a \$66 billion budget, I think for HHS. Screwed. Gone. All of the health departments. But then you got to start imagining Jason Chaffetz is sitting there going, but what about the government itself. What happens to the government of the United States? What happens about my job? What happens about the president? What happens about the greatest nation in the world? What does the \$25 billion bill do? Oh, wait a minute.

[00:30:33] Del Bigtree

Hold on a second. That was \$25 billion back in 2007. Where would we be at right now? Hey, how about we run those numbers? It is now believed. Let's go over to my calculator here that there are 73,100,000 approximately children in America as we speak. There's numbers all over the country, ranging at 31 now, 1 in 31. But in California, why don't we look at California, where the number has now gotten as high as 1 in 12 point five boys with girls included? Look at the bottom 53.1 California. That's 1 in 19, 1 in 19 children in California are being diagnosed with autism. And they're saying in California, it's not because there's anything wrong with our water or the fact that Gavin Newsom has one of the most, you know, authoritarian force vaccine programs the world has ever seen. It might weigh in a little bit, but what they're trying to say in California is we think this is the fate of the whole country. We just have better systems in place, better tracking systems than anywhere else in the world. So let's just take it on, because this is what you're going to do if you work for the government of the United States. Let's take on worst case scenario. So if we go ahead and say, all right, so 1 in 19 let's divide 73.1 3.1 million by 19. That means that there's potentially 3,847,000 cases of autism in America right now.

[00:32:07] Del Bigtree

Now, imagine if it comes out, whether it's Robert Kennedy Jr or just decent science that proves that vaccines actually can cause autism, which makes perfect sense. Certainly all these eyewitnesses can be wrong. And Hannah Poling wasn't wrong. Zimmerman wasn't wrong. John Poling wasn't wrong. Sanjay Gupta wasn't wrong for about 45 seconds of his life. And so what does that mean? Well, let's multiply that by the cost of raising a child with autism in America, including taking care of them once they're adults. Get ready for this. \$2,263,000. Dollars 19 trillion. Last I checked, the entire debt of America, which we're worried could sink us all, is 30 trillion. Let's go ahead and add another 20 trillion to that just for autism. So when Paul Offit says if anyone call it Robert Kennedy Jr. Ever gets as far as connecting vaccines to autism, we're finished. This program that I wrote a Bible on with Stanley Plotkin, Walter Orenstein and Catherine Edwards, this program that I built with my bare hands playing God for the world is over. In fact, I might have just bankrupted the United States of America. So, folks, if you're going to commit a crime, what would be the motive? Would With \$19 trillion make you think twice. I think it made Jason Chaffetz think twice. Almost. I think weeks after my final conversation with him where he asked me, how big is this? He quit, walked away from his job early.

[00:34:08] Del Bigtree

I've never seen anything like it. I can't say it's because of this conversation. Pretty sure his grandkids are not vaccinated though. We had really good conversations about VAXXED and he was very, very concerned about this issue. But think about it. Your class president, you believe in the government. You make it all the way to Congress or Senate or maybe president of the United States, and suddenly you're handed just a truth telling moment. Let's just do the science and show what everyone knows. Vaccines cause autism. Oh, wait a second. That's not just going to bankrupt our health care system. That might just bankrupt the greatest nation in the world. So, Yates Hazlehurst got another day in court. Years later. This time. Aaron Siri was working for ICAN, and we sent him down to that case. And in that case, which you've seen before, they brought in Kathryn Edwards, another shill, doing her job to make sure that no one ever connects vaccine to autism, because there's a mountain of evidence. There are mountains of science. Obviously, we've never been afraid of costing the government \$19 trillion. We rushed right in to do the best science you could possibly imagine to get to the bottom of that conversation. And here it is in court. Watch this once again.

[00:35:16] Aaron Siri, ESQ. ICAN Lead Counsel

In the expert disclosures for this case. It asserts that, among other things, you will testify that, quote, the issue of whether vaccines cause autism has been thoroughly researched and rejected. End quote. It's your testimony that MMR vaccine cannot cause autism.

[00:35:32] Kathryn Edwards, World Leading Vaccinologist, "The Godmother of Vaccines"

That's correct.

[00:35:33] Aaron Siri, ESQ. ICAN Lead Counsel

It's your testimony that Hepb vaccine cannot cause autism.

[00:35:36] Kathryn Edwards, World Leading Vaccinologist, "The Godmother of Vaccines"

That's correct.

[00:35:37] Aaron Siri, ESQ. ICAN Lead Counsel

It's your testimony that Ipol cannot cause autism.

[00:35:40] Kathryn Edwards, World Leading Vaccinologist, "The Godmother of Vaccines"

Yes.

[00:35:41] Aaron Siri, ESQ. ICAN Lead Counsel

It's your testimony that Hib vaccine cannot cause autism.

[00:35:44] Kathryn Edwards, World Leading Vaccinologist, "The Godmother of Vaccines"

Yes.

[00:35:44] Aaron Siri, ESQ. ICAN Lead Counsel

Your testimony that varicella vaccine cannot cause autism.

[00:35:47] Kathryn Edwards, World Leading Vaccinologist, "The Godmother of Vaccines"

Yes.

[00:35:48] Aaron Siri, ESQ. ICAN Lead Counsel

It's your testimony that Prevna vaccine cannot cause autism.

[00:35:51] Kathryn Edwards, World Leading Vaccinologist, “The Godmother of Vaccines”
Yes.

[00:35:52] Aaron Siri, ESQ. ICAN Lead Counsel
It's your testimony that DTaP vaccine cannot cause autism.

[00:35:55] Kathryn Edwards, World Leading Vaccinologist, “The Godmother of Vaccines”
Yes.

[00:35:56] Aaron Siri, ESQ. ICAN Lead Counsel
And do you have a study that supports a DTaP? Doesn't cause autism?

[00:36:00] Kathryn Edwards, World Leading Vaccinologist, “The Godmother of Vaccines”
I have I do not have a study that that DTaP causes autism. So I don't have either.

[00:36:07] Aaron Siri, ESQ. ICAN Lead Counsel
Do you have any study one way or another of whether it causes autism?

[00:36:15] Kathryn Edwards, World Leading Vaccinologist, “The Godmother of Vaccines”
I no, I do not, sir.

[00:36:17] Aaron Siri, ESQ. ICAN Lead Counsel
Do you have any study one way or another of whether engerex B causes autism?

[00:36:24] Kathryn Edwards, World Leading Vaccinologist, “The Godmother of Vaccines”
I do not have any evidence that it causes autism, nor that it does not.

[00:36:30] Aaron Siri, ESQ. ICAN Lead Counsel
And what about, um, Hib titers, vaccine? Any evidence one way or another of whether it causes autism?

[00:36:38] Kathryn Edwards, World Leading Vaccinologist, “The Godmother of Vaccines”
No

[00:36:38] Aaron Siri, ESQ. ICAN Lead Counsel
And what about Prevnar vaccine? Any evidence one way or another? No, sir. And how about varicella vaccine? Let me just finish. Are there any studies, one way or another, that support whether it does or doesn't cause autism.

[00:36:51] Kathryn Edwards, World Leading Vaccinologist, “The Godmother of Vaccines”
Part of MMR but but not as varicella by itself. No sir. No studies that say it does, or no studies that say it doesn't.

[00:37:00] Aaron Siri, ESQ. ICAN Lead Counsel
Right.

[00:37:01] Aaron Siri, ESQ. ICAN Lead Counsel
Um, now, there have been studies that have found an association between hepatitis B vaccine and autism. Correct?

[00:37:13] Kathryn Edwards, World Leading Vaccinologist, “The Godmother of Vaccines”
Um, not studies that I feel are credible.

[00:37:17] Aaron Siri, ESQ. ICAN Lead Counsel
Okay. Which study? Which study do you are you referring to when you say that?

[00:37:22] Kathryn Edwards, World Leading Vaccinologist, “The Godmother of Vaccines”
Well, why don't you show me the study and then I'll see whether I agree with it.

[00:37:26] Aaron Siri, ESQ. ICAN Lead Counsel
According to your profile, you have done most of the trials relied upon to license many of the vaccines correct on the market?

[00:37:34] Kathryn Edwards, World Leading Vaccinologist, “The Godmother of Vaccines”
Yes, sir.

[00:37:35] Aaron Siri, ESQ. ICAN Lead Counsel
Okay, so you're highly experienced in conducting clinical trials, correct?

[00:37:40] Kathryn Edwards, World Leading Vaccinologist, “The Godmother of Vaccines”

I'm highly experienced conducting clinical trials.

[00:37:43] Aaron Siri, ESQ. ICAN Lead Counsel

Right.

[00:37:44] Aaron Siri, ESQ. ICAN Lead Counsel

But and you're familiar with many of the clinical trials that relied upon to license many of the vaccines currently on the market. Correct?

[00:37:52] Kathryn Edwards, World Leading Vaccinologist, “The Godmother of Vaccines”

I am.

[00:37:53] Aaron Siri, ESQ. ICAN Lead Counsel

Okay.

[00:37:54] Aaron Siri, ESQ. ICAN Lead Counsel

In your opinion, did the clinical trials relied upon to license the vaccines that Yates received, many of which are still on the market today? Were they designed to rule out that the vaccine causes autism?

[00:38:20] Kathryn Edwards, World Leading Vaccinologist, “The Godmother of Vaccines”

No. You've badgered me into answering the question the way you want me to. But I think that, um, that that I that's probably the answer.

[00:38:30] Aaron Siri, ESQ. ICAN Lead Counsel

Is it is that your accurate and truthful testimony?

[00:38:38] Kathryn Edwards, World Leading Vaccinologist, “The Godmother of Vaccines”

Yes.

[00:38:42] Del Bigtree

Motive. What's her motive? Is it evil? Is Paul Offit evil? I mean, you have to ask yourself, what would you do? What would you do? How much do you care about the United States of America? How much do you care about our government? If you realize that doing a study which clearly we've proven over and over again, Kathryn Edwards has admitted we've never done any study because we're too afraid that if we found a connection, it would bankrupt this entire system. Forget about our egos. Forget about our dream of going down in history as the great, you know, gods that made us better than God created us himself. It's all over. In fact, this is what we're going to have to deal with now, as we think in these meetings that are happening right now. The same exact conversations hep B never tested five day safety trial. Is that enough? No one in medicine? No one in pharma. Merck. Nobody seems to care. No. That's plenty. Why would you take away a vaccine that's never been tested for safety? You know, what are the risks? We don't know the risks because you refuse to do any science because you're so afraid of these numbers as you should be. When people ask me, you know, why are you in this conversation? Because I will tell you, as a journalist, this is the biggest story in the world. This is the biggest fraud that has ever happened. We have evidence. They know vaccines causes autism. It's called Hannah Poling. We know that they've never done the science. They're so afraid of it. Would you do it, though? What will Donald Trump do? Honestly, I'm concerned about this because if Robert Kennedy Jr does do the science and of course, forma and all of its skills and everyone on TV and everyone has been a part of this fraud, and this lie will have to hold up that lie because there's so guilty of being a part of this fraud.

[00:40:34] Del Bigtree

But does Donald Trump know that we are talking about trillions of dollars of owed to everyone that's been injured. And I know I'm using big numbers. 19 trillion would have only half. Those cases are actually caused by the vaccine. And as Jon Poling said, you know, the other ones, it could be other reasons. Okay. So nine, \$10 trillion, we can afford that. That's only going to wipe out the vaccine injury compensation program. Folks. There is not a bigger fraud. There's not a bigger story that's ever happened in our lifetime. And that is what's happening. That's what's beginning to happen at the advisory committee meetings. But I will say to you this there are those that could honestly say that what Paul Offit is saying is true and whether or not vaccines do cause autism. He's trying to protect the United States of America. And frankly, every nation in the world that's been a part of this fraud, because they're all going to be bankrupted if they get sued for what they've done. There's an atonement. There's a gnashing of teeth. This is Armageddon, folks. That's what is at stake. So when Paul Offit tells you there's been science done, would you ever trust science done by someone that is hanging with a noose that should they discover what they don't want to find? It is going to destroy them and everything and the nation they live in.

[00:41:57] Del Bigtree

That is the motive. That is the motive for the greatest crime that's ever happened. It's why Paul Offit has been perfectly happy to say this to every parent of autism, and everyone that's ever been lined up for you. You don't exist in my world. I don't care about you. I will never do the studies because I have a lie to uphold. We have a huge show coming up. Obviously, I've just spent a lot of time just now, but I think it's important to know what's really going on here, to know what's happening, at what's at stake. Uh, coming up, I've got Bob Sears. We're going to be talking about him, about physicians for Informed Consent has created a great new document, a book for every doctor to know the truth about vaccines. We also have an expert coming in. Carrie Bigford is going to talk about how do you talk about this issue over Christmas with your family? She's got a course in how to do that. That's going to be amazing. But first, with the news that's happening and what's going on at the ACIP committee, it's time for the Jaxen report. Alright. Jefferey, I had to get that off my chest. Man, that has been. You should have seen my version yesterday in the in our war room. I was like, screaming and yelling and Jen was executive producer. I'm not going to take it down a notch.

[00:43:38] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report

Yeah, I'm not cutting any segments down. We got we got to talk about what's happening in the news here. We have another historic week, and we're here reporting live on the front end of this with our audience worldwide, watching as the evolution of of science and public health is happening right now. So I want to talk about the ACIP committee that's also happening as we speak right now in Atlanta, Georgia, at the CDC. And we're going to go into that in a moment. But moving into this week, into this ACIP committee hanging over that conversation that they're having on the hepatitis B vaccine, the FDA, the Food and Drug Administration had a memo that was leaked to the media and it looked like this.

[00:44:19] Female News Correspondent

The FDA's vaccine chief says the agency plans to tighten approval standards for Covid vaccines, citing concerns about potential risks for children.

[00:44:29] Female News Correspondent

Last week, a senior official sent out a memo linking the deaths of at least ten children to the shot.

[00:44:35] Female News Correspondent

Doctor Vinay Prasad says a review of records linked to ten children's deaths to the Covid vaccine, but he did not provide data to support that claim.

[00:44:43] Male News Correspondent

The memo did not include the children's ages or medical history, did not identify the vaccine manufacturer and these findings have not been published in a peer reviewed journal.

[00:44:53] Male News Correspondent

So why does Doctor Prasad say that the benefits of vaccinating children are unclear?

[00:44:57] Female News Correspondent

There's no science to back up that claim.

[00:45:00] Female News Correspondent

The American Academy of Pediatrics strongly recommends Covid shots for children between six months and two years old. While the Infectious Diseases Society of America recommends vaccine for everyone six months and older.

[00:45:16] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report

So ten deaths, ten deaths is what the CDC is now talking about. And I want to bring I want to go into this, obviously, but bring people's attention to historic, historic similarities. And I want to bring this chart up. This was a study by Peter McCullough and his team showing the drugs in the vaccines that were recalled and why they were recalled. And you can see there at the top the polio vaccine, the cutter incident. This was 1955 Cutter Laboratories. They found that they were shipping live polio that was going into children's arms, and it killed ten kids. They pulled that in less than a year. Underneath that, the swine flu vaccine, 1976, less than a year, it killed 53 people. They pulled it. And what happened after they pulled that? Well, they ended they literally ended the national immunization program. Federal health officials, they just they put a stop to it because of that. And so that's where the FDA is. Those are the waters they're treading in now with at least ten deaths from the Covid vaccine in in a group that was not susceptible to Covid, essentially that was the smallest risk factor in that group. So I want to unpack this story, because there's a lot of lies out there by our friends in the corporate media. So in September of this year, you had this headline "Trump officials to link Covid shots to child deaths, alarming career scientists" and again from from the corporate media, saying science is not political.

[00:46:33] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report

Every chance they get, they're beating this drum to make it political. I want to go into it, says "Tracy Beth Hoeg, one of Mckary's top deputies, who is a critic of broad childhood coronavirus vaccination before joining, the FDA has been one of the officials looking into vaccine safety data, according to five people familiar with the matter, who spoke on the condition of anonymity to describe private information." So you have some leakers there? Um, and so she Tracey Beth Hoeg, by the way, is now the director of the center for Drug Evaluation and Research. She's a physician, an epidemiologist. So this isn't some some off the wall anti-vax Trump official. This is a career scientist, a very strong career scientist. So at that montage on the top, they were attacking this. You saw the corporate media saying, well, well, Vinnie Prasad, he didn't release any type of study. There was no names. Well, he didn't do that because this was a leaked memo. This was an internal Food and Drug Administration email that was leaked to the Washington Post. And so let's go into this email because that's amazing.

[00:47:40] Del Bigtree

Because they're accusing him. Right. Like almost like a fear mongering. He's putting out this fear on a vaccine that we all know is great. Forget about the fact that we actually got it all wrong. We told you it was 95% effective. Was it 95% effective? Told you one shot and done two shots and done seven shots and done ten shots and done. We keep lying about it. I don't know how they have confidence Jefferey by the way, to stand up and put children at risk. That's what these news agencies are doing when they're saying this can't possibly be true, that ten children died. But the point is, is he didn't submit this to the press. This was an internal memo that they stole, that they went out with, and then they scared the public with it and then said, oh, by the way, but don't listen to it. Literally creating the problem and alleviating their own problem at the same exact time. It's such a giant pile of. Ridiculousness.

[00:48:24] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report

And Prasad did it. Did everything that a normal, functioning government official would do, going through the appropriate chain of command, and also to talk to his team, to communicate to his team. This is what's happening. This is what we found. Let's go into this memo that was leaked. And again, Vinay Prasad is the head of the center for Biologics Evaluation and Research. Remember our friend Doctor Peter Marks, who was the previous head, who hid the data, who listened to the vaccine injured on zoom calls and said, I'm sorry, we really can't help you, essentially. So now this is Vinay Prasad. He's speaking to his team at Cber and this is what he says I'm writing. These are this is a heads up. "I'm writing to report that OBPV" that's the Office of Biostatistics and Pharmacovigilance. "Career staff have found that at least ten children have died after and because of receiving Covid 19 vaccination. These deaths are, relative to vaccination, likely probable possible attribution made by staff. That number is certainly an understatement due to the underreporting and inherent bias in attribution. This safety signal has far reaching implications for Americans, the US pandemic response and the agency itself, which I wish to discuss here." It goes on. "In the summer of 2025, Doctor Hoag began investigating." Now, here he is trying to unpack the lies of the corporate media to his team. "Doctor Hoag began investigating Vaers reports of children who had died after administration of the Covid 19 vaccine. By late summer, she had concluded that there were, in fact deaths, a fact this agency has never publicly admitted." It goes on.

[00:49:56] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report

"Doctor Hoag organized a small meeting to discuss these deaths with OVRP." That's the Office of Vaccine Research and Review and again the OBV stakeholders. So those are the appropriate offices to discuss this. Doctor Hoag went to these cyber sub offices and said Office of Vaccine Research, Office of Pharmacovigilance. You need to hear what has been found. She says this "the slides she presented, emails she sent and distorted first hand reports were shared with media outlets. Some staff present present who leaked portrayed the incident as doctor Hope attempting to create a false fear regarding vaccines." This is Prasad now "I then ask the Office of Biostatistics and Pharmacovigilance" what she should do "to perform a detailed analysis of deaths voluntarily reported to the vaers system." So again, he's asking doctor Hoeg found this now doctor. Doctor Prasad as a leader of that organization said, thank you, Doctor Hoeg. I'm going to ask now my team under me, I have a whole team that can look into this now. They're going to do an independent study to see what you find to back this up or to debunk it.

[00:51:01] Del Bigtree

Yeah.

[00:51:01] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report

He goes on in the full interest of balance. He asked his team to do this. "The team has performed an initial analysis of 96 deaths between 2021 and 2024, and concluded that no fewer than ten are related. If anything, this represents conservative coding with vaccines Scenes are exculpated rather than indicated in cases of ambiguity. The real number is higher. This is a profound revelation. For the first time, the US FDA will acknowledge that Covid 19 vaccines have killed American children."

[00:51:28] Del Bigtree

Wow.

[00:51:29] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report

This is a heads up to his team. He's saying we are going to announce this. Prepare yourselves. We have the science. We've talked to the families. We've reached out. And again, from 2021 to 2024, the previous I mean, what's not being said? There is the previous leaders, doctor Peter Marks. No one cared to look. No one cared to call these families. No one cared to do anything. A new administration had to come in and look through, look back through the data. Do these parents even know that their kids died of the Covid shot? I don't know, but hopefully they're being hopefully they're being contacted at this point. Now this memo.

[00:52:02] Del Bigtree

Representing this, by the way, is like like an FDA cover up that, you know, they knew these deaths were there. I actually my biggest question for Tracy Beth Hoeg would be when you called these parents, when you decide to look through the various reports and call all of the reports of children who had died. How many of them said, oh, we've already spoken to the FDA? I bet you zero. I'll bet you she was the first time they called. I mean, that's a guess, but my guess is no one in our government ever even cared to look into the system for the exact reasons I just explained. Because as long as you don't do an investigation, as long as you don't do any science, you can say to your knowledge the vaccine is perfectly safe. This is literally ostrich with their head in the sand. So science is being done. And finally, we have an administration that doesn't just take one scientist's perspective, but runs it through an entire team. The world is changing. Finally, we're watching science happen. But unfortunately, uh, you know, what are you going to do with mainstream news that's owned by the pharmaceutical industry?

[00:53:00] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report

Right, right. And it works both ways here. And I'm reading a lot here. I'm reading from this is a historical document. It's a leaked memo. But this is how you want your government health agencies communicating. This is how you want them talking in backchannels. So it is great that we are able to read this to the public and show what is going on, how they're speaking to each other. So I want to go on because it doesn't just talk about the ten deaths, which is huge, which is bombshell as it should be. It goes on to talk about the path forward for the center for biologics, the Cber center that Vinay Prasad is and in general FDA. And he goes on to say this, "I want to outline a path forward. Our general approach in Cber will be to direct vaccine regulation towards evidence based medicine. This means we will take swift action regarding this new safety concern. We will not be granting market authorization to vaccines in pregnant women based on unproven surrogate endpoints." And he goes, "any any prior promises will be null and void."

[00:53:53] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report

"And we will demand pre-market randomized trials assessing clinical endpoints for most new products. Pneumonia vaccine makers will have to show their products reduce pneumonia, at least in the post-marketing setting, and not merely general antibody titers. Immunogenicity will no longer be used to expand indicated populations," he says. "We will revise annual flu vaccine framework, which is an evidence based catastrophe of low quality evidence, poor surrogate assays, and uncertain vaccine effectiveness measured in case control studies with poor methods. We will reappraise safety and be honest in vaccine labels." This is what we want in a public health organization the FDA, the CDC. We want them talking like this. And now will all this happen? We don't know. This is these are his hopes, his path forward, that it has to be put into policy. Staff have to probably be hired to do this because this is a whole new a whole new road for the FDA. But this is the hopes and dreams Vinay Prasad, his team, and I would I would assume much of the FDA at this point.

[00:54:52] Del Bigtree

Is the hopes and dreams of I can of you, of me, of Aaron Siri, of Robert Kennedy Jr. I mean, I had tears in my eyes this morning when I was sitting listening to the hearings and hearing people like Mark Blaxill, who I've stood side by side with when you watch this happening. Jefferey these were statements that were always being made from outside the echo chamber, outside the castle, outside the walls. It's coming from inside the walls now. Real science, real questions, real investigations. It's so incredible. No one believed we'd be here. Jefferey. That's what I keep thinking all day. No one would have ever believed if we would have stated this. You know, nine years ago when I was touring with VAXXED that we would be here, that we would be inside the government, that we would have real scientists finally asking real questions and doing exactly what we just said. We're no longer just going to assume safety. We're no longer going to say, oh, you have antibodies, therefore you should take the vaccine. Now, what if those antibodies have nothing to do with the circulating strain? Never again. Flu is going to have to prove it can stop the virus that's in circulation, or we're not putting it out there. I mean, finally, you know, no more 10% effective vaccines given to people, injuring them, making them sick, killing grandma for no reason whatsoever, and probably increasing the risk, as we see in so many studies, four times the risk of upper respiratory illness and infections if you get the flu vaccine. So all of this finally, finally, finally I just feel bad, right? I feel bad for everyone watching mainstream news that are still living in part of the lie. Don't realize that there's like a \$19 trillion incentive to lie to you.

[00:56:25] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report

They're missing some of the biggest stories of of really the century when it comes to public health. Because this evolution is real. It's happening. And you can see other states having formed a Western or Eastern vaccine alliance. Now, Illinois jumping in there with his governor, that they're sticking to the Covid shot for all children, all infants six months or older. So they're ignoring literally almost six years of new science on the Covid shot now, including deaths and the children. So I want to talk. You mentioned proof. I want to talk about proof because people watching again, mainstream corporate media, they're seeing headlines like this. We're going to go vaccine heavy because this is the conversation in America right now. As far as public health "scientists confirm HPV Vaccine does prevent cancer." Another one "HPV vaccine slashes cervical cancer risk with minimal side effects, major review finds." I want to unpack this because when we see these headlines, we think, wait a minute, we know some of the science here. We know how this this vaccine was brought to market. And when we see headlines like this, we have to start questioning this. So in order to really unpack this study that we're being told is a major study, we have to look at what HPV is. This is a human papilloma virus. And we go to the National Institutes of Health, their National cancer institute, the own their own website. I want to read this. "Researchers found that it can take 5 to 10 years for HPV infected cervical cells to develop into precancers, and about 20 years to develop into cancer." So the research, we're being told is saying that cancer that develops in 20 years, this HPV shot can stop it.

[00:57:58] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report

It's going to end it. So let's go to this this research. It's from the Cochrane Collaboration. We're told this is gold standard science. This is an independent research organization. And they they just look at the facts. So this is their headline here. This is the "human papilloma vaccination for Prevention of cervical Cancer." They looked at 60 studies. This is a meta analysis. And you go right in there the first couple paragraphs you read this in this in this study the researchers say "the studies weren't the 60 were not of sufficient duration for cancers to develop." Oh okay. Well, that's not what the headlines I just read said. They said it stops cervical cancer. But the researchers are okay. I'll take them from where the word is. So with any research as as Secretary HHS Kennedy said we're going to try to eliminate conflicts of interest. Why? Well, because conflicts of interests skew research results. We know that it's common sense. So now let's go into the paper again. This is a Cochrane collaboration. Let's look at their conflicts of interest. It says out of those 60 studies "44 studies were funded by vaccine developers." At 73% are GlaxoSmithKline, 25 studies, Merck, 18 studies Sanofi Pasteur. It goes on and on. "In 48 trials, that's 80%. Authors had conflicts of interest due to being affiliated with or employed by, and or owning stock or holding patents in the vaccine development or manufacturing company." Okay. Well, uh, there's a whole other side of the conversation.

[00:59:23] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report

They'll say conflicts of interest have nothing to do with it. All right. Great. So let's go to the actual results here for cervical cancer "in 15 to 25 year old females, there was a reduction in cin2+ irrespective of HPV vaccine type. The research is right after six years, moderate certainty and a large reduction in cin2+ from vaccine match HPV types after six years." Again, moderate certainty. So what are they talking about there? Cine+ cin2+. This is cervical intraepithelial neoplasia. These are abnormal cells. There's a grading system of these abnormal cells. And it can show you this image here. You have a normal cell. You have the membrane and then you have sin. One, two, three. And then after all of those abnormal cell grades, you have invasive cervical cancer forming. 20 years, according to the NIH, it could take to get those to form. Now I want to bring people's attention to the HPV vaccine on trial. This was a book written by three great authors. 2018 Dell. You interviewed them when the book came out and they wrote about this HPV vaccine, the safety of it, how it came to market, and really the HPV vaccine industry. And there's an image from this book looking at these, these grading systems, just to give people an idea. So here's this image. You have normal cell next in line to the right there you have HPV infection 90% clear spontaneously. Only 10% go to the CIN okay. So let's go to CIN one 5%. Only 5% of those. Now go to CIN 2 or 3. So now go to CIN two.

[01:00:57] Del Bigtree

So so 95%. So the 10% move on and go past HPV. The rest have cleared of the 10% remaining, 95% of them clear it by the time they're in Cin1. So now you're I mean, I don't even know how to do that math, but like, your tiny percentage is now moving forward. And then of that tiny percentage moving into Cin2 go ahead.

[01:01:17] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report

Yep. We have 50 to 60% spontaneously regress. The body can't fight this.

[01:01:22] Del Bigtree

Wow.

[01:01:23] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report

Cin3. 30 to 50% of those progress into cervical cancer. And you can see they're 0.18 18% of infections are cervical cancer. And that is from from that book. And so there's so cin1 typically most of those go away CIN two and three. If those are found it's an outpatient procedure removal. And there's screening that can be done for this. It's a pap smear. And it's recommended um every several years, every few years for women to have. And you go into the numbers on pap smears and you can look at this, this study right here. "Each year more than 50 million pap smears. And these are just looking for these abnormal cells." They look under a microscope, "are performed in the United States to screen for cervical cancer." 50 million. "Most of the abnormal cells found during a pap smear are the result of a cervical or vaginal infection, and are not cancerous. Of the 3 million women with abnormal pap tests each year, less than 1% 13,240 cases will be diagnosed with cervical cancer." Let's do the math. 50 more than 50 million pap smears, it says. But let's just say 50 million pap smears. Out of those, 3 million women have abnormal pap smears. And out of those, only 13,240 have actual cervical cancer. You do the math on that. That's 0.02% go into cervical cancer.

[01:02:44] Del Bigtree

Wow.

[01:02:44] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report

So that that's what we're talking about here. But the Cochrane Collaboration will the headlines being produced from that will say the shot eliminates cervical cancer. Period. Full stop. And I'm trying to show people here that there's a lot more to this story and a lot more to that study than we're actually.

[01:03:02] Del Bigtree

So just to be clear. So I understand this. They've said that we've proven that the HPV vaccine is reducing the risk of cancer. It's proven it's working. But they never went to cancer. It was still too short a period of time to do that. So they just said it's reducing cin2, which already is now a minimal percentage of people that will even progress to that point and then progress onwards. 50 to 60% of them of those will still spontaneously clear. They don't care about that. They're saying that that's the end point that matters to them. And therefore HPV is is going to stop cervical cancer. I mean, it's what we keep seeing over and over and over again. It's like three card monte man. Follow the ball. It's a shell game. Total and complete baloney. And really sad that Cochrane that was once, you know, a stand up institution that we celebrated has now regressed into clearly another form of shill, uh, company. Unbelievable.

[01:04:01] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report

And I want to go now to the story of the day. This is the CDC's ACIp committee, the advisory committee on Immunization Practices. They're meeting right now as we speak. The entire day today is voted, is devoted and will be voting on the hepatitis B recommendation, the first shot given to babies first day of life in this country. And I want to make some points here that you made right at the top. We have the previous chair, Martin Kulldorff. He has now left. So the chairman of the ACIp previously has now left for an HHS job. So he's becoming now the chief science officer within the HHS Planning and Evaluation Office. So that's kind of like the major think tank in HHS. So he's moved on to that senior position. So now we have Doctor Robert Malone as the vice chair. Doctor Kurt McMillan. He came in on a zoom call. So essentially Robert Malone you see from the video he's facilitating the entire meeting. Um, and I have to say, he seems like he's doing a great job. So I want to talk about the. The hepatitis B vaccine was on the docket for last ACIp, the last ACIp meeting months ago. And this is Robert Malone, Introing today's ACIp meeting just this morning to talk about that. Take a listen.

[01:05:09] Del Bigtree

Okay.

[01:05:10] Dr. Robert Malone, mRNA Vaccine Technology Inventor

Many of you will recall that in September, ACIp deferred a vote on proposed changes to the hepatitis B vaccination schedule. That decision was not about hesitation or reluctance. It was about standards. Several data elements the committee requested were incomplete, and the evidence did not yet meet the level of clarity required for a confident, evidence based recommendation. When gaps in the evidence emerge, the responsible action is not to push forward. It's to pause, review, ensure that we fully understand what the data can and cannot support, and then formulate independent advice to the CDC director. This is our charter.

[01:05:52] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report

So there you are. And the reason we're playing these clips. And again, to the audience, we're watching this in real time, making these clips, shooting these out so we can report in near real time on this meeting that just happened. This is the most up to date broadcast you're going to hear on this right now. This is this is ongoing. So he's saying we tried to vote on this and we didn't. We had incomplete evidence. Our our teams that were looking at this, they couldn't they don't have the data that we needed to make a vote on this. So the reason I'm showing that is it's not RFK Jr. It's not Trump trying to do this. This is a team of researchers and scientists at a federal government agency saying we don't have the data. We want to change this. We want to evolve this, this conversation, but we just don't have it. So we have to defer this vote. So now that brings us to today. So the discussion, which was really great to see publicly was back and forth. It was, as they would say, robust discussion. And one of the topics was about horizontal transmission now of hep B. Now that's not from a parent to a child, a mother to a child at birth. Horizontal transmission are the IV drug users, the unprotected sex, the exposure to infected blood. And so I want to bring in this conversation here and see if you can see if you can pick out some of the some of the pieces here. Take a listen.

[01:07:09] Del Bigtree

Okay.

[01:07:10] Cynthia Nevison, PHD, Presenter

Yes. It can occur among certain high risk immigrant communities. But, um, the evidence for horizontal transmission among most US children is very, very sparse. And really, all these years has been based on a fit to seropositivity data that really wasn't statistically significant. And a final point is that the 16,000 cases per year predicted by Armstrong et al. Are not supported by the surveillance data I'm showing here. Children aged 0 to 9 before the universal birth dose, which is the vertical red line. There were about 400 acute cases per year reported, 400 compared to the 16,000. And keep in mind also that of the 400, many are probably resulting from perinatal infection. So just, uh, just a final note on horizontal transmission in childhood, the Informed Consent Action Network, ICAN asked the CDC through their lawyers, and I believe one of them is testifying tomorrow here for documentation, uh, sufficient to to reflect a case of transmission of hepatitis B in a school setting. The CDC's response, a search of our records failed to reveal any documents pertaining to your request.

[01:08:31] Del Bigtree

It reminds me of the models that were projected during Covid. Right? It's what they do. They just explode these numbers. 16,000 potential infections. Actually, when we looked at it, it was 400. I mean, just a slight miscalculation. This is how they've done all their signs, all the fear porn that they push out there based on models that aren't anywhere close to the actual conversation that's happening. And finally, instead of just getting fear porn at ASAP, we are getting both sides. Like, actually that was a bloated number when we actually chopped it down. We're talking about maybe 400 cases. This is happening in all of the United States of America.

[01:09:04] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report

Right? We're knocking out assumptions and all of the people who helped make ICAN what it was. Everyone that donated. You have forced facts into the highest conversations in public health in this country right now. You've forced them to accept these facts through the legal action you funded. You heard her say the legal action of Informed Consent Action Network. We forced the CDC to admit this, that they don't have the studies on horizontal transmission. So that's a big hat tip to our audience. And I want to go on now.

[01:09:32] Del Bigtree

And people by the way, they'll say they'll say, Jefferey, what do you get from it? I mean, do you win money when you have these cases? Do you win money? No, we don't win anything except we win the opportunity. Like moments like this to be in a ACIP meeting. And when they try to say, oh, the science have been done or the evidence is there or there it is. Actually, no, it doesn't exist because Informed Consent Action Network spent money that nobody thought they would ever waste on a case like this. To prove you're all lying, you're lying. You don't have a single case of horizontal transmission in a school anywhere in America, which I guarantee you would have known about. It would have been a headline because it doesn't exist. It's an imaginary problem. And you've made a product making billions of dollars to solve an imaginary problem.

[01:10:16] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report

Absolutely. And that's the big conversation. Mothers are tested. We can figure that out if they have that so they don't give it to their child. There's precautions to be taken. So the horizontal transmission is the big the big question that I guess we're giving this shot to every child, every child that comes onto this earth. So it goes on. But this, this, this whole ACIP committee was back and forth because we're talking about is there is are the benefits outweighing the risks? What are the risks of the hep B vaccine, giving it on the first day of life to every infant? So these are the conversations that we're having. So the question of harm, the evidence where is the evidence of harm came up. Take a listen.

[01:10:50] Del Bigtree

Okay.

[01:10:51] Cody H. Meissner, MD

The question is is there any evidence of harm. There is clearly evidence of benefit. Is there any evidence of harm to giving the neonatal hepatitis B?

[01:11:01] Mark Blaxill, MBA, Senior Advisor, CDC

My basic answer would be that the safety evidence is very limited, and I wouldn't want to speculate on, uh, safety or harm that the IOM has concluded that we don't know, uh, any we have any reason to reject or, or claim causality, uh, on a wide range of conditions. Uh, there is concern for something that is as profound as, you know, uh, a universal immunization of every American infant at the moment of birth to, uh, to, uh, to address, um, a condition that many of them will not be at risk for. Um, the safety evidence should be rock solid.

[01:11:51] Retsef Levi, PHD, Professor of Operations Management, MIT, Voting Member, ACIP

With all due respect, I don't think that that's the right question. And I want to phrase another relevant question, which is, I think if we want to understand the quality of the evidence, then you need to ask hypothetically, suppose that there was a harm of that rate, of a certain rate. Would we be able to detect it with the level of monitoring and surveillance that we applied to the issue? And I think that given the data that was presented here, uh, very, very low numbers, uh, very low, uh, very short follow up time and um, not really comparing uh, to placebo. The answer is that could be major, uh, signals out there that our surveillance and the way we monitor and measured would not have detected them. So I think that the question is there evidence to harm cannot be untangled from what was actually measured. And I think that that's something we tend to do, not only in this particular question. We tend to do that more broadly when we think about safety. So the first other question is what do we believe given our monitoring system, given what we actually monitored and checked, we would be able to detect? And I think that the answer is is basically nothing.

[01:13:06] Del Bigtree

Thank God for Retsef Levy there. I mean, he makes the point we made on the show over and over again, the trials for HPV. I mean, hepatitis B vaccine, 154 children, uh, with five day safety review. So if this is a 1 in 1000 injury is 154, kids can pick it up. No. If it's an injury that only takes a month or maybe even a year to develop, is this going to capture that? No. So when we're talking about safety, what do we know about the safety? What will we have ever captured with the way the science has been done? He says basically, I would say not a god damn thing. That's what we would find out.

[01:13:47] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report

And we've been told we know this because we've watched all the committees. We've been to quite a few of them as well, that we've had the most robust safety monitoring system in the world that's been drilled into our heads, and now we're getting the truth. Finally, we have again, we're watching the evolution of public health and the evolution of, I would say, courage to have these discussions publicly. And what they're saying is we don't know because we don't have we don't have the robust safety monitoring system that we thought we had to catch these things. And this is what goes on to the point of the studying. You mentioned Tracy Beth Hoeg was at this meeting as well, and she made a comment regarding the evidence, the evidence of harm, the safety of the hep B vaccine. Take a listen to this.

[01:14:29] Del Bigtree

Alright.

[01:14:30] Dr. Robert Malone, mRNA Vaccine Technology Inventor

This is Doctor Hoeg, now acting director of the center for Drug Evaluation and Research. Over.

[01:14:37] Tracey Beth Hoeg, MD, PHD, Acting Director of the FDA's Center for Drug Evaluation and Research

Yes. That's right. Thank. Thank you. Robert, I just want to add to what doctor, doctor Levy just said that it's really important to keep in mind that the US is an outlier, as in, in recommending a universal birth dose of the hepatitis B vaccine compared to other high income nations. And the data that we used to to approve the hepatitis B vaccines, there's two um, Engerix-b and Recombivax vaccines for infants were based on studies that had very short term follow up and no control group. It wasn't like, you know, it wasn't like it was a randomized controlled trial against, you know, another another vaccine. No, there was not there was not even a control group. It was just an observational study. We would never approve a vaccine based on data like those today. So that's important to keep in mind. And then we have these five randomized controlled trials that were identified by the CDC. The last at the last ACIp meeting that we discussed. And these are also these are short term randomized controlled trials that where, um, the the hepatitis B vaccine Recombivax was given um and compared to other vaccines, so not compared to placebo. So we are working with very low, low, low level evidence here. And we have very limited confidence in what we say when we say these vaccines are safe.

[01:16:06] Del Bigtree

Wow.

[01:16:08] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report

And so this is these are the conversations that we're having. And I want to talk about one last one last clip from. There's so many clips I recommend everyone watch this. And tomorrow, 9:30 a.m. eastern Aaron Siri testifies against not against, but to look at the US vaccine schedule. The entire childhood schedule scheduled against what other countries are doing to talk about that, compare and contrast that. But when it comes to this hep B vaccine, we have really horizontal transmission kind of up in the air from the quote we just talked about. They said about 400 really, not the 16,000 that was projected.

[01:16:42] Del Bigtree

By the way. They make it really clear because we can't show every clip that that 400 sits really directly in an Asian immigration population. I think as specific as Hmong peoples, I think is how they refer to them. But that are coming into this country with high hepatitis B rates, living amongst each other, sleeping with, you know, in close proximity and eating that it does not represent at all any other population in this country. So it was so specific where those numbers are coming from. I just wanted to make that point. And, you know, I think it's important. Yeah.

[01:17:15] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report

And we're we're screening pregnant mothers probably could be doing a better job of that. That's what they mentioned at the ACIp committee. So so what's happening is instead of trying to look more at these at risk populations. The mother's better screening. Just like during Covid closing schools, vaccinating infants were putting the burden on babies, first born babies and saying, you know what? Just give it to everybody. Give it to every single person, every single infant coming in, because we're not doing a good job on the other aspects of public health here. So the babies have the burden. And this is exactly what someone mentioned, thank God at this meeting. And now it's in the public record. Take a listen.

[01:17:52] Del Bigtree

Okay.

[01:17:53] Evelyn Griffin, MD

Regarding, um, the opportunity to identify infants at risk in obstetrics, our American College of OBGYN gives us guidance for hepatitis B screening early in pregnancy. And so I find it very concerning to see the the statistics that we that we have reviewed in our workgroup, anywhere between 84 to 87% of women being tested, um, or results being issued before Afer delivery, which is even more concerning knowing that in 2002, the mMWR Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report reported that that we were very good at, uh, at testing women at a rate of 96.5%. So between 2000 and 2. And now what happened? You know, how did this screening gap widen? And so this speaks to an opportunity for us to close the gap in prenatal care. And should we be pushing this off to the babies? Uh, that is my concern. You know, the the term safety net that is being applied to this program that's being put on the babies. I mean, is it fair? You know, is it incumbent on the babies to, um, save us from this, when this really may be more of an adult problem? We could implement universal screening in pregnancy. Rather than asking the babies to kind of close that gap.

[01:19:29] Del Bigtree

Let me put this in terms because she's being really nice about this. How about how about instead of giving 99.95% of our babies that do not need this vaccine, a hepatitis B vaccine on day one of life? They're not planning on sharing heroin needles or sleeping with prostitutes for a very long time. Hopefully never. Why? Instead of putting that burden on our day one old babies and by the way, so serious that I get calls all the time, they're calling child Protective Services on me because I'm just trying to leave Cedars-Sinai, which is like Alcatraz right now, because I just don't need this vaccine. I don't want this vaccine. This is how serious this is. They have put the burden to the point where they are threatening to take your child away if you don't give them a vaccine they don't need. Instead, instead of threatening parents and putting the lives of infants at risk, how about how about. Here's my idea. How about you are going to lose your license as a doctor if you don't get the blood test of the mother that is giving birth? Just that simple. Instead of 88%, here's one thing. How about 100% blood testing? Not 100% vaccine uptake by a bunch of children that will never need it. This is her point. Why? I'll tell you why. Because Merck doesn't make billions of dollars on you, making sure that everyone is getting a blood test. They're making billions of dollars over this vaccine program. And this is where you can figure out where this whole scam is happening, who's been driving it, and why they're so shocked right now. Probably upholding this vote because pharma is freaking out. We're about to lose our stranglehold on a vaccine program that was making us billions that nobody needs.

[01:21:06] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report

That is why we're playing these clips. This isn't Trump, this isn't Kennedy. This isn't an anti-vax conspiracy theory. When someone hears it, they can't unhear these facts. This is common sense. And these are people, these are educated. Some of the top educated people in the country, sitting on this independent panel, asking questions and presenting facts that need to be heard by the American public. So let's bring it back up to current, present moment here. The hepatitis B vote was supposed to happen today. They're going to either keep the universal recommendation and put it in every arm of every infant coming into this world, or they're going to have no recommendation anymore, or they're going to do what they did with the Covid vaccine and say, you talk to your doctor. It's called an individual decision making process. It's between you and your doctor, as medicine probably always should have been. So that was delayed. That vote now has been delayed. It was deferred. It was voted to be deferred until tomorrow morning. Um, so we're going to keep an eye on that. Follow obviously our X channel, our Instagram, our our Facebook. We're going to be posting up to date results on that vote. That will happen tomorrow morning. So that is the latest on the ACIp committee.

[01:22:10] Del Bigtree

Amazing reporting. Jefferey. What an amazing moment this is. It was it was like two different worlds inside of there. The old vaccine shills spewing a total lack of science and real scientific questions that need to be answered for the future of this species and our children. Great reporting. Exciting moment. I'm heated just because of the ridiculousness of the other perspective right now. But I'll see you next week and I'm sure we'll have a lot to report on what actually happens in this meeting tomorrow. Take care. See you then.

[01:22:38] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report

Alright. Sounds great. Thank you.

[01:22:40] Del Bigtree

As if this week wasn't big enough, we've absolutely transformed the country club of ACIp into a real science organization that's happening this week. You're watching with your own eyes. You've made that possible with your work, with the Informed Consent Action Network. Every one of you that is sponsoring and donating the work that we've done, those lawsuits that you're now seeing appearing, the results of those lawsuits are at this ACIp meeting being discussed. You did that. I didn't do that. I simply asked for your help. We handed it over. Aaron Siri you funded it so that we could get and we could, you know, sue the government and start winning all, you know, cases like this against FDA, CDC, NIH, HHS. We won back the religious exemption for vaccinations in Minnesota. I mean, I mean, in Mississippi, we won back the religious exemption for the university system in California. We're working on the rest of the, you know, school programs in California. But as you know, we've been deeply entrenched in West Virginia in one of the most contested cases we have ever seen. This is proving to be much more difficult than Mississippi. Every time we win, they move the goalposts. Well, I have breaking news for you. Just a couple of days ago, we were excited to report breaking ICANN's legal team secures religious exemptions for West Virginia school children in a free the Five victory.

[01:23:52] Del Bigtree

So we dropped it down to free the for. West Virginia is now free every child what the decision that was made by the court was not only the cases that were funded by Informed Consent action Network. You these children that were fighting for their religious right to go to school without injecting themselves with products that include things like chopped up, aborted fetal DNA, baby parts. Um, not just for them, but the court said this is for every child that wants to opt out of the program. You're allowed to go back to school. I was so excited to be able to come to you today in the middle of the holidays and say, look what happens when you donate to us. If you think to bring your holiday giving, look what we can do. Well, within moments of that victory, we started hearing that there were calls going out from the pharmaceutical industry saying to the counties now, not just the state to the counties in the school systems, don't accept it, don't take it, don't let kids in. They were starting to push back. We were thinking, geez, what are we going to do? We're going to sue, um, you know, for contempt of court. I mean, what's going on here? What what's happening? And then within moments, the ACLU, in all the 20 lawyers we've been up against in this courtroom didn't matter that we won.

[01:25:06] Del Bigtree

They went to the appellate court and said, there's chaos here in West Virginia. Do something. The appellate court has just ruled a stay. None of the kids are allowed to go back to school. This is such an important. West Virginia again bans religious reasons for school vaccine exemptions. The "West Virginia Supreme Court has paused a ruling that allowed parents to use religious beliefs to opt out of required school vaccines for their children." So we're out of the court. We won. We won this case. We won it in court. And now it's going to the Supreme Court of West Virginia. And I guess we're going to have to do this all over again. So what I want to say to you right now is if you think this is over, this is a great day for celebration. Things happening at ACIp that we never believed would happen, victories in West Virginia. But it ain't over. They're stalling the vote as we speak. Pharma is everywhere and so is Silicon Valley with its tracking systems on your phones. They need to own you. They need to own your body. And they know if we win in West Virginia once and for all, This is over, man. If we win an ace. If hepatitis B finally moves to shared decision making where you get a choice, and now we can sue if that thing injures us, this is all over and they know it.

[01:26:17] Del Bigtree

We are in the fight of our lives, folks. This is real now. This is big now. This is the battle for the whole kahuna, the whole tuna. And by the way, not a moment to back away. We are ready to put our pedal to the metal. I want Aaron to have an army of lawyers around him as he walks in to fight this monolith we're against. I need your help. We cannot do this without your help. This is. You know, this is time. It's holiday giving. Will you please consider what we've done this year? What has happened this year transformed ACIp. We helped put Robert Kennedy Jr in place. We're the number one news agency discussing all these issues on Covid, on every single vaccine that's ever hurt you. We've been there first. We've been telling you the truth. But we're not just reporting it. We are suing. We're bringing the most important lawsuits in the world. If we fail, we all fail. When you think about giving a donation to us for the holidays, think about how selfish you actually get to be. This is not a donation to help a rhinoceros in Africa. This is actually going to benefit you. It's going to benefit you and the future of your children, your body sovereignty.

[01:27:26] Del Bigtree

And whether you want to go to jobs and get on airplanes and walk into schools wherever you want without being vaccinated. If that matters to you, please make your holiday giving this year right now. Please think about us. And I can think about Aaron Siri, who's standing right now in the front lines, needing your help, your funding so that he can finish this case once and for all. You can go to the top of the page, hit donate to ICAN. We're asking for you to become a recurring donor \$25 a month. You've only got a couple more weeks where that will make sense. It's going to go up a whole dollar next year to \$26, so get in while the getting's good. Or if you've done really well in life, if you've been, you know, made a just a great amount of money in this great nation. But you believe in God and you believe in the church, and you do not believe in having kids injected with aborted DNA, a product made with the bodies of dead babies. If you want to do something about that and you want to make a major contribution to make that change, then please, right now, just send us a message. Info@icandecide.org, and we will work out a way that you can donate any way, in any amount that you think matters for this issue.

[01:28:35] Del Bigtree

This is the fight of our time. This is your religious right in the most important place. If you cannot stop evil from being injected into you and your babies, then you have lost it all. Thank you to everybody that has made ICAN possible, that has made The HighWire possible so we can sit and celebrate the conversations that are happening inside the walls of Of government right now as we speak. Our people are on the inside now. The truth is happening. Science is changing, but they need our help. And they need our courts. And they need our wins. And that means we need you. Alright. Another hero that I met very, very early on before I ever even made facts and facts. Facts was just an idea. I was beginning to do the research. I just met Andrew Wakefield, but I was standing, you know, protesting Sb277 in California was going to take away these exact rights to forcibly vaccinate every child in California. And I was looking at that situation with my brand new baby, who was unvaccinated. And I thought, this is the end of the world as I know it. Who's going to speak for me? Who will stand for me? Well, there was one doctor that was doing that. His name was Bob Sears. And if you don't know who he is, watch this.

[01:29:52] Female News Correspondent

Doctor Bob Sears, doctor Bob Sears.

[01:29:54] Female News Correspondent

Doctor Bob Sears.

[01:29:57] Female News Correspondent

The most beloved and most hated pediatrician in the world. An expert who has been on the frontlines of pediatric care.

[01:30:03] Female News Correspondent

As a doctor and a firm believer in vaccine choice.

[01:30:07] Female News Correspondent

He is the best selling author of tons of books like The Vaccine Book Making an Educated Decision for Your Child.

[01:30:13] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

My dad was a pediatrician, so I watched him growing up and he really enjoyed his work, so I thought I would want to be a doctor as well. Back in the 90s, I actually discovered there was one vaccine that was actually pretty dangerous that they ended up taking off the market. So I decided to dig into the Georgetown library, and I uncovered so much research about this one vaccine. And so it made me realize the medical community sometimes is capable of covering something up when there's a problem. I kind of felt like my world was shattered. You know, how can the the ivory tower of the CDC and the FDA let this slip through the cracks? That basically opened my eyes in my office? Don, it's not so much about vaccines and autism as that. Parents just don't want to see their baby suffer any sort of bad vaccine reaction. Parents are afraid that their baby will be one of those statistics. Probably 99% of doctors in California are now scared to even write any medical excuses from vaccines for any patients, because they're seeing that the medical board is coming down on us. This bill will scare doctors away from writing medical exemptions for children who need them. Doctors are afraid of losing their practice and their livelihood. Over a medical board investigation, the California medical board tried to revoke my license to practice based on the type of vaccine advice I give in my office. I give them all the pros and cons, I give them great resources to read, and I happily accept them in my office if they choose to raise their kids without vaccines.

[01:31:50] Del Bigtree

Well, it's my honor and pleasure to be joined right now by my good friend, doctor Bob Sears. Bob, it's been a while. How are you doing?

[01:31:58] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

Hey, Del. I'm doing awesome out here in California. I'm in the same room right now as you saw on that clip.

[01:32:06] Del Bigtree

That's fantastic. And I'm glad that you still have that room. I'm glad that you're still a doctor. There's been some, uh, some precarious times for you, and I've watched you have to navigate probably one of the most difficult places to be a pediatrician. At least an open minded pediatrician in the world. Um, and so good for you. Good for the way that you've stood. Um, I just want to say, you know, I worked with your brother Jim Sears on The Doctors for years. So our family is, in some ways are connected. We go way back. Um, but to take this moment in, I don't know if you've been watching these these ACIp, uh, meetings, but to watch what's happening today, from your perspective, when you think about when we were standing on the steps outside of the Capitol in Sacramento And on SB 277. Of course, when, you know, Richard Pan was taking away the rights of all children and then even worse, SB 276 that later then was going to threaten every doctor as we saw you testifying for anyone that wanted to write an exemption for these vaccine injured children that needed one, now you're going to be under review. You're under threat. What does it mean for you watching what's happening at the CDC today?

[01:33:19] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

It makes me so exciting Del just to see scientists openly discuss real science and discuss all the science. And that's the whole key. I especially love that part. The gentleman, I forget his name. Ready or Yeti or something.

[01:33:37] Del Bigtree

Retsef Levy.

[01:33:38] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

He made the point

[01:33:38] Del Bigtree

Retset Levy. Yeah.

[01:33:40] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

Yeah. When? When we look at the data we have, none of the studies are large enough to give us any answers. Any good answers on vaccine risk. And I think that's so awesome to see that that just that basic idea put out there in the public. And I also like how none of these doctors are saying anything really against vaccinating or they're not, you know, speaking out strongly about all the terrible possible vaccine side effects. And it's I enjoyed your little, you know, brief tirade a few minutes ago on the show. It's always fun to to hear you. But I mean, none of the scientists are talking like that. They're just talking straight science. Yeah. And that is so refreshing to see.

[01:34:28] Del Bigtree

It really is. And it's a very important question. Why give this to children that don't need it? Why a product for 99.95% of the kids that don't need it? No one is saying, let's not vaccinate these kids. Let's just test all the moms. Let's know who's at risk and let's just be focused. I mean, are we not at a place in the United States of America in the future with AI and technologies that can track my eyeballs, that know where I am anywhere in this world. Is it not possible to make sure that we have blood tests, that we're well aware of the positions that these children are in, and give them care that is specifically designed for them? Isn't that the heart of Do No Harm? Meaning I'm going to give you something that only you know that you need and not something you don't because of some kid you don't know.

[01:35:16] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

Exactly. And you know, you talk about, you know, about the potential harm of this vaccine. And they brought this up in the meeting. Well, what is the harm? I mean, you know, what's the harm in giving this vaccine that can only benefit babies? Well, you and I know that there definitely is potential harm from hep B vaccine. And there are serious neurological risks. There are serious autoimmune risks. One straightforward risk, though, that isn't even really that serious is newborn fever. The number of babies who get fever alone from the hep B vaccine, given the day they're born in the hospital, is it's it's a it's not a small number and I don't actually have the actual number. But I know we do have some research that shows, um, one hospital in Israel looked at this and they showed the year they started vaccinating babies with hep B vaccine as newborns. The number of babies admitted to their intensive care unit with fevers doubled in the hospital. So when you're a healthy newborn baby born in the hospital, nothing's wrong with you at all. You get hep B vaccine, you get a fever that buys you at least three days in the intensive care unit while they treat you for infection. They do all kinds of tests, and that alone is potential harm right up front for any baby with, as you and I would say, really no potential benefit in a low risk population and Tracy. But there is harm yet with no benefit.

[01:36:52] Del Bigtree

Right. And by the way, we're trying to develop harm where you've refused to do any proper science five day safety studies of 147 kids, you know, you know, sort of capture system or reporting system that anyone would say that they trust. That's totally underreported. I mean, the whole thing is such a mess. But I would just say, you know, when I look at this conversation, we're going to get into why we're having this conversation. But the one thing I would like to see said on the floor of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices, as the argument is, well, you know, what is the risk? What is the harm? I don't know the fact that there's 54% of our children have chronic disease. If you include obesity in America, the fact that we're the sickest nation in the industrialized world, the fact that this vaccine we're giving on day one of life, which is an anomaly, as Tracy Beth Hoeg pointed out, isn't being given anywhere else in the world, and they're not having hepatitis B outbreaks. So we're doing something no one else is. And by the way, we have the highest day. One old baby death rate in the entire industrialized world. More babies dying on the first day of life. Can we prove it's hepatitis B? No, because you won't do the science. But there's some signals there, man. There's some signals we should be worried about.

[01:37:59] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

Yeah, and I love how the the doctors at the CDC who are what I would like to call maybe entrenched doctors and researchers who have been there a long time. They're so quick to point out the underreporting of disease. And they say, okay, yeah, sure. We only have 400 children reportedly infected every year from EPI, but we're estimating we're missing so many of those, we're going to call it 20,000. You know, they used to call it 30,000. Then they called it 20,000. I think now they're calling it 16,000. They're so quick to say, hey, there's all this underreported disease that we're going to escalate in our findings. They're not willing to discuss the unreported and underreported vaccine reactions.

[01:38:46] Del Bigtree

Such a good point

[01:38:47] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

I think finally, we have a group that is actually willing to look at that.

[01:38:52] Del Bigtree

Well, I think what's critical and why I want to talk to you today, you're a member of one of the most important groups out there that has been doing work right alongside Informed Consent Action Network Physicians for Informed Consent. This is a body of doctors and scientists that have really risked your careers to come together to really bring evidence based science to this conversation. But as you're saying, for those entrenched doctors that just are struggling to understand what's happening here that got that, you know, that has it's been declared by the W.H.O., only got a half a day education on vaccines while they were in med school. Is that an I mean, I made that statement. Is that somewhat accurate? I mean, would you sort of yes. That's accurate.

[01:39:35] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

That's accurate.

[01:39:36] Del Bigtree

That's incredible man. I mean, every time I say it I know I've seen the W.H.O. state. I know it stated. That's outrageous that when I'm going to my, you know, pediatrician, they had a half a day education on one of the main things that they do in their office. But tell me about this book Over Your Shoulder. I'm talking about this one right here. Uh, the silver book, vaccines and the diseases they target. This has just been put out by physicians for Informed consent. I love that it's happening today. This is something we've been wanting to talk to you about for some time. It's been out for, I think, a couple of months now. But on the day of ACIp perfect moment, why this book? What is it and who is it targeting? What did you guys work on this book? Uh, what's it set out to achieve?

[01:40:23] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

Well, it's been in the works for ten years now. Del and some of the doctors and researchers in physicians for Informed Consent started collecting every single research study they could find on vaccines and the diseases they target. Whether the study is in favor of vaccines or shows potential vaccine side effects. They just gathered all the data. And this this book actually has 400 studies that look at disease information and vaccine safety. And what they did is they basically compiled it. I mean, you know, how you're you're talking to people, you're thinking about vaccines, you're doing research, and you wonder, where is the data, where is the information, where's the research? And you have to go find it. And that's not an easy task. Yeah. Well, this group finally put together all the data in one very little book. I keep looking at my book over here on the side. Yeah. The the back third of the book is all the all the research studies, right? Yeah. And the first two thirds of the book, what I love is just every disease is one page, Just like a one page overview of everything you need to know about the disease. And then every vaccine is one page, I guess two pages, you know? Yeah, both sides of the book. It's so simple. It takes the data that seems very complicated, and it simplifies it down to something that even a CDC researcher could understand, probably. And so I feel like this book is for everybody, but it's especially people who really want to take a really deep dive into the data.

[01:42:07] Del Bigtree

Well, you know, I mean, I want people to sort of look at what he's saying here because it is this is what makes this so brilliant is when you hear 400 studies, it can be really intimidating, but I can I can turn to any page though. I just want to show them, yeah, I can show you slides, but it's this simple. It's only a few paragraphs and it is what is so genius about this? Because this is the work, right? Anybody I can I could bury you in the 20 studies around tetanus and all that you need to know. But you've been really exceptional at. Let's just get what are the most important salient points? What are the most important things that we know about the vaccine? Are there issues? What is it? And then the disease itself. This is the part we really don't cover a lot, you know, not even at I can we talk a lot about the dangers of the vaccines, but what were the numbers of the dangers of the virus itself? Because if we're going to try and do some of, you know, risk reward, reward benefit ratio, you know, dive, how do we do that? This is the first book that I've ever seen on the left page. I can see what the vaccine is up to. I have that right or vice versa. And then on the other page I get to see see what the disease was all about and really make this, you know, help me make this, this, this decision. Right. So it's fantastic that way.

[01:43:27] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

Yeah. I think, uh, when I talk to patients in the office, one of the main things they want to know is like like they tell me, we know there's vaccine side effects. We know there's risk. I want to know what's the risk to my baby if I don't vaccinate them, right? What is the disease risk? They want numbers, and I usually skip to the end of the story when I'm talking to them. And I say, in my 28 years of being a pediatrician, I've never seen a single case, a single severe case of a vaccine, targeted illness. And so they say, okay, well, it sounds like the risk is pretty low, but what what pic has done with this book is they actually give you the exact numbers because the data is there. They have numbers from the CDC throughout the 19th century where the CDC was gathering data on every single case before vaccines. So they tell us, yeah, how many kids were harmed by diphtheria before vaccines, tetanus, hepatitis B, measles, everything. How many were harmed before there even was a vaccine and Del the numbers are staggeringly low.

[01:44:34] Del Bigtree

Yeah.

[01:44:35] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

It's amazing how low the numbers of kids who are harmed by infection before we even had vaccines. And the page you have right there kind of speaks to it, you know that.

[01:44:47] Del Bigtree

Yeah. Here you can see.

[01:44:49] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

Each of these infections.

[01:44:49] Del Bigtree

You can see the, you know, the risk compared to the vaccine and then the actual disease. And it's these tiny little numbers. And that's what pharma has so been so brilliant at. You know, I have to say and even watching um, uh, Doctor Meissner, some of these guys are just so pro-vaccine that are still and by the way, I'm glad they're still on the committee, right. I mean, I want to point that out to anyone that says that Robert Kennedy Jr has stacked this with anti-vaxxers. You got Doctor Meissner in there. There is a robust debate. Both sides are being represented. So even though my skin is crawling over some of the, you know, the blind folds that are appearing on some of these doctors. They're in there like Bobby didn't. He wants a role. It's what science should be. All sides should be represented. We should be having it out. Sure. Yell. Scream, cry. Let's do this. And so finally, it's happening. But what pharma has done so brilliantly through media. It's what I did on the doctors. I, you, me and your brother were really good at this. Let's just scare the hell out of you and make you personalize a super rare issue. All I have to do is show you someone covered with a rash. Doesn't matter that 1 in 1,000,000 people are ever going to get this thing. If you see it, you go, oh my God, I'll do anything to make sure I never get that. Well, you know, 999,000 of you would never have to do anything. But we can make you all say, well, if you take this product 100% effective at keeping you from getting covered with this, you know, and that's what they do. And so we go, we don't hear the 1 in 1,000,000. We just go. I will do anything to make sure I don't get that.

[01:46:31] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

Yes. Yeah, exactly. And they've done a great job. And how awesome is it now to realize we are now part of a movement where now half of our country or more now understand that that's probably not true.

[01:46:47] Del Bigtree

Isn't it amazing

[01:46:47] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

Been telling us all these years may not be true. Yeah, and. And something I also wanted to point out that I really love about this book is that the researchers, uh, the people that wrote this are really good friends of mine, and they're very honest in this book in that when they talk about vaccine risk, you look at any vaccine page and it basically, yeah, turn to the next polio vaccine page. I don't know if you have it there.

[01:47:15] Del Bigtree

If we have the next one.

[01:47:15] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

Basically tells you, they tell you what's the risk of catching polio?

[01:47:20] Del Bigtree

Yeah.

[01:47:20] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

They also tell you what's the risk of the polio Vaccine, but what they're honest about is they say the risks of these vaccines. Del are largely inconclusive, right, because of the lack of safety research. So they they estimate say, for example, polio vaccine, probably about 70 people for every 100,000 people in our population are going to have a severe injury from this vaccine.

[01:47:50] Del Bigtree

Yeah.

[01:47:50] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

Or the DTaP. Dtap vaccine on the DTaP page. 70 per 100,000 people. But they're honest. They say our data is inconclusive, though we're estimating about 70 per 100,000 are going to be injured by the vaccine. But we don't have really good research to show you whether or not that's actually true. So they have really good disease data. But again, the vaccine safety data safety data is lacking. And that was that was probably the take home message of this ACIP meeting.

[01:48:20] Del Bigtree

Yeah.

[01:48:20] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

Is that is there just a total lack of safety data? And now they're faced with a decision about a disease that the ACIP committee has now realized after much discussion poses very little risk to, uh, to American children who are not born into a high risk environment.

[01:48:40] Del Bigtree

So the big question part of what this book is there for, for doctors to have next to their pink book, the silver book here, um, you know, so that they can really have a quick look at something that they were never educated on in school. But let me ask you the hard question that I get from the media all the time. I'm sure you've fielded it. Uh, Bob, what are you going to do when polio comes back or when measles comes back? Because people aren't vaccinating?

[01:49:07] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

Yeah, well, the polio question is very interesting, one. And and this book covers it very, very well. The whole key to understanding the polio dilemma is the vaccine we use now Doesn't prevent infection and it doesn't prevent transmission. All it does is gives you some immunity in your bloodstream that will protect you from neurologic symptoms should you, as an individual, contract polio infection. But it does nothing for the public health. It doesn't protect your neighbor or your friend or your schoolmate. It's just individual protection. So we've managed to keep polio out of the US for 40 years now, using a vaccine that isn't even keeping it out of the US because it doesn't prevent infection.

[01:49:56] Del Bigtree

Wow, that's a good point.

[01:49:57] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

So we've done it through public health measures. We've done it through sanitation and hygiene and good health. And and you know, right now polio is confined to to just a few impoverished nations in other parts of the world. And it's been that way for very long time. So, so, I mean, I think that the polio debate, I think is very easy to answer, and that's why it pisses me off when I see polio vaccines mandated for school.

[01:50:27] Del Bigtree

Right? It's a really interesting point, Bob. I actually you said that in a way I hadn't thought about it because I am aware that it doesn't stop infection or transmission. But when you make the statement, we haven't had polio for 40 years, like, yeah, because we're vaccinating. No, actually, if it doesn't stop transmission and doesn't stop infection, we should be seeing it in the sewage systems all across this country. If it can be spread here, there's nothing stopping it spread. There's nothing stopping it coming from Africa or the Middle East, wherever they are, having these impoverished nations, which also there's some sense of chemicals and things that they're around that might be lowering their immune systems that are, you know, making that risky. But it would be here. It's just, you know, we're being protected from the severity of it, but we don't see it here at all. It's not here at all. And we're not using a vaccine that is a sterilizing vaccine that kills it dead and makes sure we never get it. We should see it here and we don't. And so there's not really a problem. Such an interesting point. And measles, you know, what do you what do you say about measles. I mean, I think we're going to have to grapple with this idea as we move forward. You know, I want my kids to have the measles when when the media is like, you're going to cause measles, like, great. I mean, tell me where I got to get my kids over there. I want them to catch the measles. I want the benefits that I know exist when a child has had the measles. But our doctors are going to have to retool. We're going to have to learn how to treat measles, because obviously it was a Brady Bunch episode years ago. Doctors weren't terrified. Your father wasn't terrified of dealing with the measles.

[01:52:00] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

No, no, I'm sure I'm sure he wasn't. Um, you know, measles is really interesting. And I've told people for a long time, you know, if, if any infection is possibly going to, you know, uh, make itself known once again. It's likely to be measles, as we're seeing in the last year and a half. And and what's interesting with measles, though, is the reason why it's coming back down. And you know, this, but I guess maybe I'm telling this to the audience is largely because our adult population is no longer immune.

[01:52:33] Del Bigtree

Yeah.

[01:52:34] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

You know, back when back when adults caught real measles, they were immune for life.

[01:52:38] Del Bigtree

Yeah.

[01:52:39] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

But now, since we've been vaccinating since what? Since the early, you know, 70s.

[01:52:44] Del Bigtree

Yeah. So 62. But it gets robust. Gets robust in the 70s. Yeah.

[01:52:49] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

So now everyone now is growing up. They have vaccine immunity for maybe ten, 1520 years. But then they lose that immunity. So the whole herd of adults that we have in the United States, a large part of our herd, doesn't have herd immunity for measles. And then, yes, when some children when the families elect to not vaccinate their children because they have concerns about the safety of the MMR vaccine. We're now going to have some children that don't have vaccine immunity either. So now we're seeing more measles in children, but we're seeing it in adults as well. And we've been seeing it every single year going back. You know, ever since we've had measles, we've never had a zero year. So I think we're going to see measles wax and wane as each, you know, kind of couple years go by. It's probably going to come in a couple of year cycles and it'll be low again, and then I'll come back again. And I think measles is going to become an ongoing part of our society now. I don't think it's going to make a comeback like like chickenpox and, and, uh, but it's largely because the vaccine wears off and it doesn't work in everybody. So measles is actually a disease that we can't eliminate from our world. The infection is just too smart and the vaccine just doesn't work good enough.

[01:54:11] Del Bigtree

So we're all really good points. Those are points obviously that are in this book. So for anyone that wants to buy this book, I can't recommend it enough. It's so simple, so easy. You can just turn right to the page of whatever vaccine or whatever disease you're curious about. Where do we find this book? I guess we have it up here.

[01:54:29] Del Bigtree

Yeah.

[01:54:30] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

I mean, you can order it on on Amazon anywhere. Anywhere. People sell books and probably everyone get on Amazon, you know, leave reviews. And that always helps, you know, help sales. I love tracking vaccine book sales on Amazon. I love I love looking it's been great to see Aaron's series book there as well. And it's fun. And my book usually hovers around, you know, number 5 or 6 on the on the vaccine. So I'd love to see the The Silver book hit number one.

[01:54:58] Del Bigtree

Yeah.

[01:54:59] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

You know, vaccines and the diseases they target because I think it touches disease information probably better than any other book.

[01:55:08] Del Bigtree

I agree.

[01:55:08] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

As well as the vaccine information.

[01:55:10] Del Bigtree

It's really, I think that is really the stellar part, the exciting part about this book and what hasn't really been done, certainly not this simply and clearly. So here's my recommendation. Sure, definitely buy one for yourself. You want one of these? It's easy. You got a friend over. You can look through it. But I would make this the Christmas gift to every doctor that you go to. Just go ahead. Bring it to your pediatrician. Wrapped up. Say, this should go alongside your pink book. It would really help you understand what's going on there. Don't worry about it. It's from me. Merry Christmas. Love you. You know, and, uh. And that will help you make sure that your doctor is better educated. Uh, Bob, you're a hero, man. Uh, you've been on the front lines. I'm so glad to see you've navigated it. You've you've caught slings and arrows from all sides of this. It's a precarious space to be in. You know, I know the you know, the people that have said he still gives vaccines. He's an evil person. And I remember I interviewed you a few years ago and. And you said something that has always stuck with me, del. There are parents in in California that are trying to spread them out, that are trying to do whatever they can to make this as safe for their kids, and they don't have a choice. If I'm not here, they really don't have a choice. I'm working with people wherever they're at. Um, I'm so glad you're there. I'm so glad the position you've held. It's been critical and important, and I know babies are healthier and are living and alive. And maybe we'll go on to be great scientists that can do better science, because you were there to make sure they survived. So thank you for that work.

[01:56:41] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

Well.

[01:56:42] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

Thank you, darlin. And I just want to say I appreciate your friendship. Del, you know, you kind of said at the beginning of the show, you and I met a long time ago, and and, uh, it's been great to to know you over these years and to see your journey as well and feel your passion. And nobody's more passionate than you. Del. So I appreciate your friendship. I'm glad that that you're out there and and yeah, it's fun to see what the ACIp is, is going to do with this. It looks like they're talking about making, uh, the hep B vaccine under the shared decision making category. Individual decision making category. It looks like they're probably not going to just take it off the whole schedule, right. But they're going to change it into something where the doctor will then kind of individually have this conversation patient to patient.

[01:57:28] Del Bigtree

Which I think is where most of this should be. I've said it from the beginning.

[01:57:32] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

I should all be there.

[01:57:33] Del Bigtree

I think it should all be there. I you know, we're smart people. We should be able to be educated. Your, you know, the nonprofit you're a part of. Physicians for Informed Consent, my nonprofit Informed Consent Action Network. Informed consent is not about erasing vaccines from the planet. It's not about erasing anything from the planet. What it's saying is inform me. And what is my risk if there's 400 kids that have a problem with this, of the millions being born, then I don't think this is a problem I need to worry about. I know I don't have hepatitis B, I've been informed. I recognize my baby has zero risk, and based on the information, I'm going to make a choice. But if I'm hepatitis B positive for whatever reason, got a bad blood transfusion, you name it, I should be able to have a kid. I should have a product available to me, and my doctor should be able to have an honest conversation with me and have a product that is there and available. That is the world that I think Robert Kennedy Jr is working towards. It may not be the eradication of the vaccine program as some people would dream, but that has never been what Bobby Kennedy has promised. It's never been what you've promised by the statement informed consent. We stand by informed consent. All we want is your right to choose, and you've been a big part of that. It's what I believe, and I pray, I pray that you're right, that we're going to finally see the first vaccine really, since Covid, but this is the one that is not protected by Prep, which is going to, you know, set a lot of other things in action. We're going to finally see real choice in a free country. Return to the people

[01:59:02] Del Bigtree

Alright. Bob.

[01:59:04] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

Amen. Amen.

[01:59:05] Del Bigtree

Absolutely. Take care. Everyone. Go out. Get yourself vaccines and the diseases they target. Bob, thank you for taking the time today. I look forward to catching up with you soon.

[01:59:14] Bob Sears, MD. Practicing Pediatrician for 28 years

Yeah. You too.

[01:59:15] Del Bigtree

Alright.

[01:59:17] Del Bigtree

Oh, man. There's so many great heroes that have made today possible that are out there. So many great groups coming together. Uh, but we need your help. As I've said before, we're the ones that are in the courtrooms. You know, there's a lot of writing. We. You know, part of me is like, God, I wish we'd have written that book. We've been talking about doing it. They did it. Physicians for Informed Consent have written a book that you should really have in your arsenal, along with Aaron Siri book, Vaccines amen, which lays out the whole story all around the court cases. But we can win, folks, and we have to win in West Virginia. We cannot let up. I always I don't know if you watch MMA fighting, but I do on occasion when I'm flipping through channels, it grabs your attention. But man, you can watch someone that just have someone almost look like they're dead across from them. And all of a sudden this haymaker comes out and knocks the guy that was winning out. We can't afford to look the other way. We can't afford to let up. We've got to continue the ground and pound. It's happening. We can win this. We've got to knock Goliath out. And we're the only ones in the room right now. I can be the only ones in the room in the courtrooms that are about to make that happen. Fund that, please make that happen. And one of the best ways you can do it is by a brick on our campus. So you can come and visit. You can actually put a piece of cement on our property that will stand the test of time and be here. As a testament to that. We were here. Our generation made the difference. We fought for truth. We stood for truth. And we brought a brick on the terrace. This is our favorite brick of the week, delivered by someone that was touched when she came to visit her own brick.

[02:00:52] Gloria Dignazio, Daughter suffered serious injury after 18 month DPT vaccination

This is my daughter, brick. This is Sarah, middle name Stevie. Sarah. Ignacio. Brave warrior. Love, mom xo. I've been on this journey for 31 years. My daughter's 33. She was injured from her 18 month DPT shot. She was completely normal, got the shot and eight days later had a terrible screaming. Episode was never the same. My family was involved in the second only vaccine litigation case in Canada. In 1995. We sued the pediatrician and Connaught Laboratories. Vaccine damage hasn't just occurred since Covid 19 experiments, gene therapy. It's been going on since the advent of these vaccines. So when VAX came out, it took me two years before I could sit down and watch it because I've lived it like I'm still living with her vaccine injury. My daughter doesn't. She's non-verbal. She's so beautiful. But I'm her voice now. So I say, for a girl who doesn't talk, she has a lot to say. She's helped so many people. Lots of people didn't vaccinate because of what happened to Sarah When VAXXED came out, I was blown away because finally, um, the moms were speaking out and I always say never f with a mom because I'm in it for over 30 years and I'm just getting going. And it's because of shows like The HighWire. It's an important message to get out. And when del came out about the brick, I just knew I had to get a brick for my Sarah, and I knew when I bought it, I actually had tears in my eyes because I'm like, one day I'm going to be here in Austin, Texas, and I'm I'm going to see her brick. So for me to see it like it's a miracle. So I'm very, very grateful.

[02:02:37] Del Bigtree

Alright. We're almost ending this campaign. We have so many of you have purchased bricks. It's amazing. But you don't want to miss this opportunity. Everyone that missed the last time when we put in the walkway here, uh, was reaching out. How do I get in? This is your moment. It's also a great Christmas gift for someone you love. You can send them a picture. Hey, look. This brick is now at ICAN. You should go check it out. Also, look, if you want another way that you can contribute and, you know, get something out of it. How about a Christmas gift? Go to our store right now. And we have all sorts of things that you can buy for Christmas. And we have a special Christmas gift for everyone that buys over \$75 worth of stuff at The HighWire Dot shop, we're going to give you a gift bag that has valued over \$100 of extra stuff for extra gifts. And I would say this. You know that that person in your family, you're a little afraid of having the conversation with, you know, if you're back during Christmas, you haven't been getting along very well. You definitely can't talk about that issue and you don't know what am I going to get them for Christmas? How about a Highwire shirt? How about a Highwire hat? How about a Highwire mug? That would do the job.

[02:03:45] Del Bigtree

Be a lot of fun. Anyway, these are great gifts. From shirts to hats to Faraday bags to protect, you know, your loved ones if they're using cell phones. Great stocking stuffer. Also a great conversation piece. And if you go there right now and spend more than \$75, do it now. You only have enough time to really make Christmas. You're going to get over \$100 worth of free stuff sent to you. Uh, additional bag full of all sorts of Christmas gifts for you or those that you love. So this is a great opportunity for you, a great opportunity for us to celebrate the holidays and continue to fund this incredible work that we're doing. Uh, this is an amazing show today because we have the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices where we're watching, finally, science being discussed as Bob Sears just celebrated. We're celebrating a book that everybody, the silver book that you can buy, that doctors can buy, that really simplifies this conversation. But whether you bought that book, whether you're watching these meetings or you're watching The HighWire, the big question is, how am I going to have that conversation at the Christmas table or the Hanukkah table.

[02:04:50] Del Bigtree

We're all going home for the holidays, but you don't want to show up with this shirt. Not vaccinated and ready to talk politics. You know, I don't think I don't think that Thanksgiving I don't think that was the way to do it. But, you know, nice try anyway. You know what I mean? This is these are sensitive topics, but it's the most important thing we're going to do right now because we have a vote that's coming up. We have things. We have a, you know, an electoral season coming that will decide how much Robert Kennedy Jr is going to be able to do, how far down the road are we going to get? We're only going to get there if we keep enrolling more and more people. That's why I want everyone sharing an inconvenient study. Just go to their study.com, share the film. But how are you going to talk to your friends and loved ones? Well, I'm joined now by Kari Bigford that that is exactly what you are doing. You've got a program to help people talk about this somewhat complicated issue.

[02:05:45] Carrie Bigford, Outreach Director, Texans for Vaccine Choice

It's not somewhat complicated. It's very complicated, and we absolutely have to talk about it because we won't succeed if we can't have this conversation. And fear is one of the biggest barriers. I know that you've moved for a long time, just like me. Have you ever had one of your well-intentioned, thoughtful conversations go unexpectedly sideways with someone?

[02:06:05] Del Bigtree

Never. Well, no. But I know a lot of people that have. Absolutely. Of course. I think we've all, you know, especially when you're starting out. When I first was, you know, traveling with VAXXED, every once in a while, I would get myself into a position where I, you know, brought up a point that then gets used against me in a way I hadn't imagined. You don't do that twice, but it'd be great if someone had, like, walked that road and had said, you know what? Here's the mistake I've made. You don't want to make that mistake.

[02:06:31] Carrie Bigford, Outreach Director, Texans for Vaccine Choice

Well, I failed forward, and through lots of years of bad outreach, I developed excellent outreach. And, you know, my background is mental health. So one of the reasons why those conversations can go sideways on a difficult, polarizing, emotionally driven topic like medical liberty. It's actually biological. You know, humans have a two story brain.

[02:06:49] Del Bigtree

Okay.

[02:06:49] Carrie Bigford, Outreach Director, Texans for Vaccine Choice

We have an upstairs brain, which is slow, deliberative, logical. It is the excellent upstairs outreach partner brain for us. And then we have a downstairs brain, which is fast, instinctive, and a little bit always running amok based on our feelings. Okay. And the fun thing about.

[02:07:05] Del Bigtree

The emotional brain is moving faster than the the.

[02:07:08] Carrie Bigford, Outreach Director, Texans for Vaccine Choice

Far faster and even more fun the emotional brain.

[02:07:11] Del Bigtree

That's the only brain I'm using. No.

[02:07:13] Carrie Bigford, Outreach Director, Texans for Vaccine Choice

Most people are actually downstairs brain dominant, which is part of the problem. Yeah. And so we come in trying to bring with logic and the only way to get upstairs, there's no external staircase. The only way to access someone's slow, deliberative, logical, good outreach partner for us upstairs brain is through the staircase downstairs. So having an awareness of what's happening in your partner and how that communication works neurologically can help you frame. So rather than trying to come in first with hard logic and facts, which won't work.

[02:07:43] Del Bigtree

I've watched. I've said that my own way.

[02:07:45] Carrie Bigford, Outreach Director, Texans for Vaccine Choice

Which flames?

[02:07:46] Del Bigtree

Go ahead and just bury someone in science and you will get nowhere. They're going.

[02:07:50] Carrie Bigford, Outreach Director, Texans for Vaccine Choice

You'll get nowhere

[02:07:51] Del Bigtree

You just watch the door close.

[02:07:52] Carrie Bigford, Outreach Director, Texans for Vaccine Choice

Absolutely right. Yeah. So what we do in our approach is we actually go downstairs up. Okay. So we focus on establishing safety and trust first. We have a like four basic steps. I mean, there's a lot of tips and tricks that we have in our program to help you with communicate. But basically we've distilled into four main steps. It is prepare yourself to do the work. And when you're preparing yourself to do the work, you are coming up with some idea of what you're going to inform with. And you want that something to be not nuanced. Yeah. Objective reality. So not your opinions, beliefs, choices, ideas. Whatever. Right.

[02:08:28] Del Bigtree

Yeah.

[02:08:29] Carrie Bigford, Outreach Director, Texans for Vaccine Choice

And you also want to make sure that you are coming from a place that is centered enough, where you are no longer vulnerable to your partner's emotional winds. Right? You need to be able to be centered enough to stay upstairs in your upstairs brain and not go downstairs and fight. Okay, so first step is you prepare yourself, do the work.

[02:08:49] Del Bigtree

It's a really good point, right? Because that's where I think we get off, right? We start out, we go home. It's been a big raging fight. I'm not going to talk to my sister again for another six months. Yeah. And, you know, you're kicking yourself. Why did I get emotional? I was the one that had the upper hand. I had the information. Yes, it was that close mindedness that was I was running against. And then I got emotional and the thing just went. Absolutely.

[02:09:11] Carrie Bigford, Outreach Director, Texans for Vaccine Choice

People get upset with one another. Rational thinking goes out the window, right? I mean, emotional miscommunication and emotional feelings are the main thing that derail communication. Yeah. All across the board, all cultures, all humans, everywhere. It's emotions that derail communication. Yeah. So being able to have some way to get yourself regulated emotionally so that you can stay centered enough to be on task and on topic and mainly not vulnerable to your partner's emotional wins is a really big deal. And also being able to replace your judgment with curiosity, right? Being able to identify your partner's position and empathize with it and empathy is not agreement. Empathy is understanding your partner's position. It's not agreement. And so after you have prepared yourself because it's a real thing you're doing that you need to prepare for, or you will go in flames and get an emotional reaction.

[02:10:04] Del Bigtree

It's designed for that. It's designed for we're designed for that.

[02:10:07] Carrie Bigford, Outreach Director, Texans for Vaccine Choice

We are designed for that. We are designed to be very dominant. We are designed to have that wild card, irrational nature of humans, right. And we see that everywhere, right? I experienced this medical liberty all the time. And so after you've prepared yourself and you're centered and you're ready to go, and you have some idea of what non nuanced objective reality fact you're going to share, when that point comes along, then you connect with your partner. And connection is really the step that you spend the most time on. Hymen. And you never, never inform before you connect. You have to connect and manage that downstairs brain and establish trust and safety first, because you have to be invited upstairs. You got to remember you're entering someone's downstairs. Ground floor level. Yeah. You have to be invited upstairs. You don't just show up upstairs. You're invited upstairs. And you're invited upstairs. By establishing trust, safety and connection through connection work. Okay. Yeah, absolutely. Um, we have lots and lots.

[02:11:07] Del Bigtree

So? So tell me. So, do you have a course I can take? How is it? Like, how do you work? Because I look, I think this is really super important. Again, I agree, something I wish I'd had the time to develop some teaching.

[02:11:18] Carrie Bigford, Outreach Director, Texans for Vaccine Choice

I wish I'd had me 25 years ago.

[02:11:20] Del Bigtree

But you've done it. And so where do people go? How do they connect with this? Because look, we've got, you know, three weeks right now to go get ourselves prepared for what I think is going to be. Oh, here we go. Uh, I guess it's up right there. Texas vaccine choice. But I can click on the promo code. So there go the promo code. But is there a website

[02:11:39] Carrie Bigford, Outreach Director, Texans for Vaccine Choice

Texans for Vaccine Choice.com

[02:11:41] Del Bigtree

Texans for Vaccine Choice.

[02:11:43] Carrie Bigford, Outreach Director, Texans for Vaccine Choice

And you can find it there under train with team TFC okay. So you'll be informed if you're in Texas you can volunteer for us and take the class for free. If you're out of Texas, you can buy a ticket. It comes with this handy dandy workbook that has all kinds of exercises that walk you through and help you internalize and apply the information. But going back to connect, another piece I really want your audience to understand was really not my idea, but it's one of the lenses that we use to help with our outreach work. And it's called the seven 3,855% rule.

[02:12:13] Del Bigtree

Okay.

[02:12:13] Carrie Bigford, Outreach Director, Texans for Vaccine Choice

It was founded in the 70s by a UCLA psychology professor, Albert McBryan. Okay. And it's very important and very true. And what it says is it's not what you say, it's how you sound and what you look like when you say it. Only 7% of your partner's perceived meaning of you actually comes from the word spoken. I spent sad, literal years trying to find perfect magical words and I mean advocates all the time that are obsessing about perfect magical words. If I could find the perfect words. Well, you know what? The perfect words get you? 7% of the communication puzzle. That's it.

[02:12:51] Del Bigtree

Interesting.

[02:12:52] Carrie Bigford, Outreach Director, Texans for Vaccine Choice

38%, however, comes from your tone of voice alone. So rather than taking all that energy, trying to find perfect words that don't exist and don't get you very far, focus on developing an awareness of how you sound to your partner. Do I sound aggressive? Do I sound approachable? Do I sound calm? Right?

[02:13:14] Del Bigtree

Do I sound condescending? Do I.

[02:13:16] Carrie Bigford, Outreach Director, Texans for Vaccine Choice

Absolutely. Do I sound judgmental?

[02:13:18] Del Bigtree

Right.

[02:13:19] Carrie Bigford, Outreach Director, Texans for Vaccine Choice

All of those things develop an awareness of your sound. And then 55%, 55%, more than half comes from your body language and facial expressions alone. Am I closed off to you and I turned in your direction. Do I seem welcoming and approachable? Because those two things, your tone of voice and your body language, provide the contextual cues that give the meaning to the words to your partner. I use very clear and direct language in all of my interactions, and it is my voice tone and my body language that conveys my love and care for you. And there is nothing, I mean nothing more powerful and magical than you and your ability to connect with the person in front of you. So connection is a very important step that should not be missed or rushed. And during that connection step, you're looking for three things in your discovery process with your partner. What my partner's position is why that position makes sense to them, and what they need to shift into a different space. Okay, yeah, you discover that. And when you discover that and you've gained trust and establish safety.

[02:14:30] Carrie Bigford, Outreach Director, Texans for Vaccine Choice

You've. Your prize for connecting well is gaining the influence to inform your partner. And when you inform, definitely choose something not nuanced. Don't go in the weeds, okay? You're asking your partner to elicit a worldview shift, right? Barring trauma, as most people in medical liberty came here through trauma. But barring trauma, there is a lot of resistance to that worldview shift. And the dominant worldview is that vaccines are not safe and effective. Safe and effective. Right. And to pierce that right is a big ask for someone. So don't just be surprised by resistance, but expect it and help your partner shift by giving them things that are not nuanced, that are objective reality, and easily verifiable so that they can push back and openly resist that reality and be proven wrong. Right? That helps your partner shift so much. And while you're doing that, make sure that you're staying regulated. You're staying open to them. Be mindful of your body language and your voice tone. And then after you've informed your partner and they're marinating in it, the last step is allow.

[02:15:43] Del Bigtree

Right.

[02:15:44] Carrie Bigford, Outreach Director, Texans for Vaccine Choice

I meet advocates that struggle with allowing all the time, and I struggled greatly too. I was coming from a fear based place. I did not want those people to be harmed. I was frightened that they would be harmed. And I got too attached to their outcome. But the truth is, just like you and I know we are not at the decision making table for anyone else but ourselves.

[02:16:04] Del Bigtree

Not and I will not. I'll say this. I've said it before. The odds are you're not going to see that shift in that that sitting right. This is like you're planting a seed. This is a television series. You're not in episode ten. It's going to get ten to get there. What you want is for them to tune back in. You want them to have this conversation with you. You know what? I really appreciate that conversation yesterday. I'd like to. I wanted to follow up with a couple more questions. That's what you were trying to do? Absolutely.

[02:16:30] Carrie Bigford, Outreach Director, Texans for Vaccine Choice

You want them

[02:16:30] Del Bigtree

you know.

[02:16:31] Carrie Bigford, Outreach Director, Texans for Vaccine Choice

Assimilate and internalize what you shared and be willing to continue the relationship in the future so that you can have more opportunities to help them shift. Because, again, you're eliciting a worldview shift in your partner, which is a very tall order for humans to do. And it's important to recognize that there's no two minutes that's going to shift anyone unless they were about to shift anyway. Yeah. Right.

[02:16:54] Del Bigtree

Yeah.

[02:16:54] Carrie Bigford, Outreach Director, Texans for Vaccine Choice

Which you don't know when you like talking to random people is one of my absolute favorite activities. I mean, it makes me sound a little odd, but I love it. I love talking to people that I don't know and getting to see how far I can get with them and where they are on this spectrum of understanding. Because regardless of your individual choices, you want medical liberty laws in your life. You do not want bureaucratic government people to decide what happens to your body ever.

[02:17:20] Del Bigtree

This is the most important issue. It is freedom if you care about what this country represents, if you want it to last for your children and the generations to come. I do think this is the tip of the spear. I think you make a good point. I would also say what's great? They should take this course right now. You can go to Texans for Vaccine Choice.

[02:17:37] Carrie Bigford, Outreach Director, Texans for Vaccine Choice

Highwire specific class.

[02:17:38] Del Bigtree

Okay.

[02:17:39] Carrie Bigford, Outreach Director, Texans for Vaccine Choice

On December 9th. So Tuesday, December 9th you can do that QR code. There's a promo code to take 20% off. You'll get long class with me that's live where you can ask all the questions that you want. You'll also get a workbook mailed to you so that you can have tons and tons and tons of information to help you internalize and apply those tenants. Because again, I want you to be successful. We must be successful or we're not going to succeed. We will lose our liberty.

[02:18:07] Del Bigtree

We will lose our liberty, and.

[02:18:09] Carrie Bigford, Outreach Director, Texans for Vaccine Choice

We have to get the hearts and minds. We have to have this conversation with the people that we love and the people that we don't know that we love yet, right?

[02:18:16] Del Bigtree

I just had this image. I know, like I'm one of the world's worst dog trainers. We have like these feral dogs in our house. But have you ever had a dog like that? Right. That gets outside of the yard and they're running towards the road and you eventually, like, get over here. You clap and then they look. And then now is it a game? And then you yell. And now they start going more and more, and eventually you just like I found, like I just dropped out of my back and, like, just lay there and I have to act like I don't care if you run to that traffic and just see if you'll come to me because all the attention is just driving them away. It's a lot of what this game is with someone you love well, and you have to just, you know, approach in a way where you're not pushing them away.

[02:18:51] Carrie Bigford, Outreach Director, Texans for Vaccine Choice

Humans have a natural, inborn defense mechanism against being controlled. It's called reactance, okay? And it's a real thing. And part of allowing is what I call the paradox of outreach, which is when you, as the advocate, can let go of your need to control their choice, because that's what you're doing when you're too attached to their choice, that's your need to work through. When you can let go of your need to control their choice. That is the exact moment when you gain the type of influence that truly can help them shift that choice. People can feel when you're not attached. My own personal growth marker of my success as an advocate has been the enhanced ease with which I can allow. I am not attached anymore to anyone else's choice but mine. And because of that, I shift people faster and more effectively than I ever did when I clung to my need to have their choice be what I needed it to be. And that's hard to hear sometimes, right?

[02:19:54] Del Bigtree

Well, you know what? Let's get into your personal story right after the show on offer. Absolutely. I want to hear how that got you there. Yeah. Take advantage of this Texans for vaccine choice. You can click on the QR code. Let's bring it up one more time. This is really important. I mean, you should really take this on. I want to do better over the holidays. It's time man. We've got the momentum at our back. The winds are back. The science is with us. Go in. Be happy. Look, there's no reason to get defensive. We're in the offensive position. It's really easy to get nice and friendly right now, but starting rolling, people, right? Start using honey to catch those bees and not vinegar. Um, you know, we were hoping today that somehow we would break from this show and go to this incredible vote on hepatitis B and watch history being made. I pray when hepatitis B is removed from recommendation for all children. Um, that is now being delayed because apparently, even though everyone in the news reported that vote was happening today, some doctors didn't think they were prepared. All they were prepared to do was bitch. This is one of them.

[02:20:59] Cody H. Meissner, MD

Let me begin by saying that, um, I take strong positions against each of the three presentations that have been made, many statements that I don't agree with, that, uh, it's hard to be succinct, but let me begin with, uh, Doctor Cynthia Nevison. First of all, I think you conflated serology. That is, antibodies with protection against infection. I don't think there has been a single case of hepatitis B that has occurred in a person who was otherwise healthy or neonate, who was otherwise healthy, who received the recommended schedule. This is a this disease has gone down, uh, in the United States thanks to the effectiveness of our current immunization program. Um, the next point, in your opinion?

[02:22:07] Dr. Robert Malone, mRNA Vaccine Technology Inventor

In your opinion, is that fair?

[02:22:09] Cody H. Meissner, MD

In my opinion. Uh, well, okay. Um, these are facts. Uh, Robert.

[02:22:18] Del Bigtree

Well, there was, uh, Doctor Cody Meissner, who is, you know, and I pointed out, um, look, I'm glad he's there proving Robert Kennedy Jr didn't get people that believed in pharma and didn't care that this vaccine only had a five day safety trial. We need those types in there, too. Uh, but what kind of signs is he talking about? Do you know how rare this issue is? Do you know how rare hepatitis B is? Do you know how hard it would be determined if vaccinating everybody on the planet that didn't need this was actually making a difference at all? He calls those facts. They all call things facts. Just like Tony Fauci said, it was a fact that six foot distancing would make a difference. It was science. And if you question him, you question the science. Except when they were under oath, just like Kathryn Edwards. Suddenly all that science disappears. You realize there is none. But despite the fact, even though Cody showed up today, he had a whole dossier that had been sent to him saying, today we're going to discuss it at the end, we're going to vote. Apparently he was the one saying, I don't think we're ready to vote. This is the moment that we've missed while we're doing the show.

[02:23:17] Cody H. Meissner, MD

You could put it up once again. So, I mean, it would be much easier if we could have a hard copy of this and think about it a little bit. I would like to make a motion that we have an opportunity to look at a hard copy of the votes and, uh, hopefully defer until tomorrow, if that's possible.

[02:23:39] Dr. Robert Malone, mRNA Vaccine Technology Inventor

Do we have a second on that motion? Second. Okay. That second.

[02:23:45] Male Speaker

Motion. This is doctor, and I second that motion.

[02:23:50] Del Bigtree

Well, there you have it. I can't imagine what's going to happen tonight. I mean, are the lobbies of the pharmaceutical industry going to reach out to the individuals on this committee? Maybe. Are they going to reach out to the establishments where they work, the hospitals, the clinics? Is there going to be pressure there? What do they what does Cody really think is going to happen overnight? Does he think we're just going to go home and read and that's going to make a difference. I doubt that that is all that's taking place. But then again, that's my opinion. That's my perspective. I guess they need some moment, some way to regroup and figure out can we pressure these people? Because I think they feel like the writing's on the wall. They thought they were going to win this vote. There was no problem. We're going to keep vaccinating 99.95% of the babies that never needed this vaccine, and continue to make billions of dollars off of a product that was totally unnecessary. I guess they want one more shot at it. They need another night's sleep. Some more pillow talk, if you will. We are up against close minded individuals. We were up against a religion, not science. We are fighting against billionaires. And frankly, trillionaires this industry is the most powerful in the world.

[02:24:59] Del Bigtree

We have one in West Virginia and it didn't matter. They've driven to another court and they're going to keep pushing in everywhere. And they want us to walk away. They want us to throw up our hands and give up. They wanted the same thing in Covid. Just roll over, get the damn vaccine, get your vaccine passport or you're never getting on a bus again. And we said, no, no, I'm not doing it. I'm standing up. We're standing up for real right now. We're standing up inside of government. Robert Kennedy, junior, standing up. Doctor Robert Malone is running Real Science where everyone is allowed at the table. We're having these conversations for the first time. We're standing in courtrooms for you, 90 courtrooms we're in right now with cases with ICAN all across this country. And the most important that have ever been fought, especially West Virginia right now. And a case in New York that we believe will go to the Supreme Court. You can do something. You can tell your friends, you can learn how to talk to your friends. Super important, but do not take this moment lightly. We are not going to get another chance at this. We are not going to find ourselves in this position for a very, very long time.

[02:26:03] Del Bigtree

Unless we win, unless we take this opportunity to win this battle and then win this war. This is a war for our children. This is a war for our species. This is our war for sovereignty. And most importantly, it is the war for freedom. Do not take it lightly. Be responsible. Act accordingly and do everything you can right now to make sure that when you have that last moment in your life, when you're lying on your deathbed, I assure you it will not be about whether you meet your maker and God tells you how you've done. If your children are not free because somehow you shirk your duty and just thought Del Bigtree has got this handled, or Aaron Siri can do it without me, or Robert Malone is on this. Well, if you think that's going to alleviate your guilt in that moment, I think you're wrong. Wouldn't it be better on the last days of our lives to look at our children and say, you're welcome? We are free because we cared. We did what was right. That's what's happening today. Be a part of it. I'll see you next week on The HighWire.

END OF TRANSCRIPT

THE HIGHWIRE