

## NAME

EP 455 12/18/25.mp4

---

## DATE

December 19, 2025

## DURATION

1h 28m 6s

## 13 SPEAKERS

Del Bigtree

Jenn Sherry Parry, Executive Producer

Male Speaker

Female Speaker

Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report

Male News Correspondent

Female News Correspondent

Elon Musk, Business and Former Senior Advisor to the President of the United States

Ron Desantis, (R) Governor of Florida

Marcus Zervos, MD, Co-Director, Center for Emerging Infectious Diseases, Henry Ford Health

Nicolas Hulscher, MPH, Epidemiologist & Administrator, The McCullough Foundation

Michael Bautsch, Son suffered Injury after the Hep b vaccine

Sandy Bautsch, Son Injured by Hep B Vaccine in 2002

## START OF TRANSCRIPT

**[00:00:05] Del Bigtree**

Have you noticed that this show doesn't have any commercials? I'm not selling you diapers or vitamins or smoothies or gasoline. That's because I don't want any corporate sponsors telling me what I can investigate or what I can say. Instead, you are our sponsors. This is a production by our nonprofit, the Informed Consent Action Network. So if you want more investigations, if you want landmark legal wins, if you want hard hitting news, if you want the truth. Go ahead, ICANdecide.Org and donate now. Alright, everyone, we're ready. Yeah.

**[00:00:45] Jenn Sherry Parry, Executive Producer**

Yeah! Let's do this.

**[00:00:46] Del Bigtree**

Action!

**[00:01:01] Del Bigtree**

Good morning, good afternoon, good evening. Wherever you are out there in the world, it's time to step out onto the high wire. Well, I'm in an amazing mood. Got Christmas right around the corner. The holidays we're all going to get to spend time with family. Uh, we get to reflect on maybe one of the most amazing years we've ever been alive in watching ourselves recover from the stupidest event in history with the Covid pandemic, but all the eye opening that took place, all the people now looking for the truth, the advancement of new media across the world. It's really been incredible. And at the heart of this, and at the sort of ending moment, we got to put out this incredible film, An Inconvenient Study. Uh, I was just at a screening last night here in Austin, Texas, at the Sapiens Center. It was a blast packed room of really brilliant individuals And so many of whom had never seen the movie yet, which was sort of surprising to me. But these events are happening all across the country, and I love these these sort of community groups that are sprouting up like Sapiens Center.

**[00:02:11] Del Bigtree**

You can check them out if you're local. Sapienscenter.com. But where they're having these gatherings, I mean, you know, I think as we go into the new year, like, what do you want to do maybe become a part of that or start your own sort of community center, start having films like An Inconvenient Study and those, you know, that are out there to discuss the things that you care about and start building that community where you're at. Community is going to be more and more important as we move along. The film is doing great. We're now over 73 million views globally, and I've got an idea of how we're going to get that to 100 million over the holidays. We'll talk about that a little bit later in the show. But first, I think it's time, you know, we put out that film, but Robert Kennedy Jr seems to be getting in a few last licks against the the system, if you will. Going into the holidays and good on him because man has it got people in a tizzy. Take a look at this.

**[00:03:08] Male Speaker**

Something deeply concerning is happening in pediatrics right now.

**[00:03:12] Male Speaker**

Robert F Kennedy and his continuing campaign to undermine American safety and health has eliminated funding for the American Academy of Pediatrics.

**[00:03:22] Male Speaker**

So HHS says these grants no longer align with agency's priorities, citing things like identity based language references to racial disparities in pregnant people.

**[00:03:30] Female Speaker**

So as a nurse, let me be very clear that language is not political. That is how evidence based medicine works.

**[00:03:38] Male Speaker**

This is the same HHS, the same movement that says it's focused on protecting children's health and preventing chronic disease.

**[00:03:45] Male Speaker**

This is completely ridiculous. It seems vindictive. He is actively dismantling our public health infrastructure and our research endeavors.

**[00:03:55] Male Speaker**

And while questioning institutions and debating policy can be healthy, undermining science and defunding child health advocacy because of ideology puts our children in the crossfires. And that is not okay.

**[00:04:08] Del Bigtree**

Well, there you have it. The HHS is cutting funding to the American Academy of Pediatrics. Here it is. "Hhs cuts millions in grants to the American Academy of Pediatrics. The move is an escalation in the agency's clash with the medical group, which is suing Health Secretary Robert F Kennedy Jr over vaccine policy." Amazing, right, that you would sue you know, your someone that's funding you and then be surprised that they pull your funding. It really makes you question how intelligent the American Academy of Pediatrics actually is. I want to go through some of the headlines that I think make this one of the best decisions ever made, because remember, the American Academy of Pediatrics thought that these were good ideas. Aap calls for end to non-medical vaccine exemptions for school attendance. They want forced vaccines across the country so every doctor can forcibly inject you. There's nothing you can do about it. Let's go on. Face masks for children during Covid 19. Yeah, that was a great idea. Let's make them breathe their own CO2 all day long. When most people and I said this during Covid, we're going to their offices taking it off themselves once they're in the office. But our poor children were stuck in schools wearing it for eight, ten hours straight. God knows it didn't seem to do very much good for their education. "In break with current CDC recommendations, leading pediatrics group recommends Covid 19 shots for young children."

**[00:05:31] Del Bigtree**

How out of touch could you possibly be? Of all the things you could ever do, recommend a shot that we know killed children. Now, uh, as far as we know, was just released last week, killed at least ten kids of the 90 that we looked at. God knows how many of those poor kids falling over on soccer fields and in gymnasiums all across the world. We know it causes myocarditis and pericarditis, and we know that kids have zero risk from dying of this. I think 0.0002% risk, if I remember correctly. There it is, 0 to 19.0003% risk of dying. But AAP thinks you should go out and get that. And they're going to break with the CDC and continue those recommendations. And then "AAP reaffirms gender affirming care policy, authorizes systemic, systematic review of evidence to guide update," even though the rest of the world realizes that this has been a fraud and the science behind it is almost nonexistent, they're castrating children. So AAP wants to castrate children. Consider drugs and surgery early for obesity in kids, forget about fixing the food program or teaching them how to eat. Just drug them and then cut them apart. That's the AAP. Oh man, I am so sorry. Our government is no longer funding this great institution.

**[00:06:51] Del Bigtree**

But here's who still is. Abbott ex you know med. Johnson. Merck. Moderna. Pfizer. Sanofi. Seqirus. Now, I'll leave those up there for a second because I want to make a point here. All of these little logos should be sewn on the white jacket of your pediatrician. When they walk into the room, I want you to the next time you decide to go, if you really think you need a pediatrician and you're going to them for your advice, remember this is who they work for a drug company. All they are are the front line sales representatives for drug companies. That's what a pediatrician does. I'm sorry to the handful of you out there that are really wonderful and getting into functional health, then break free. Name yourself something else because I want to say this to you right now. You don't need a pediatrician. The entire purpose of a pediatrician is to begin a drug life for your kids. That's it. Go ahead and just consider vaccines or drugs they want to drug them on day one of life. And now that we're removing the recommendation of the hepatitis B vaccine, which also happened this week, they're going against that. No, no, no. Our policy starts drug kids on day one. Also, give them a vitamin K shot on day one. Drug them again in month three. Drug them again in six months.

**[00:08:09] Del Bigtree**

Drug them again. And if you think, oh, why are you calling them drugs? You are literally letting this industry alter the immune system, the health of your child for life by your pediatricians because they work for pharma. And that's now revealed. What just happened this week really is by pulling the government's, you know, curtain back and saying, no more government funding, it reveals, oh my God, you know, who's really always been funding the AAP are drug companies. And that this whole government connection to HHS, which is I don't know what is it, 5 to 20 million, a drop in the bucket, I'm sure for the ARP was never a huge part of it. It was a part of a facade to make you believe that your pediatricians are doing the will of the people, and for the government that we're aligned with HHS. No you're not. You're aligned with Sanofi Aventis, Merck and Pfizer. You work for them, and you were there to drug our children and then weigh them. But guess what? I have a scale at home. So I actually if I don't want to start drugging my kids, I don't need you. So if you really are concerned about the health of your child, don't walk to a drug pusher to ask them what you should do. Find a chiropractor. Find an acupuncturist, find a homeopath, and maybe find a nutritionist and feed that child well and make sure they're drinking water without fluoride and they're going to do just fine on their own.

**[00:09:30] Del Bigtree**

Stop letting the pharmaceutical industry through. Your pediatricians take away that body that God designed for them. You never get to meet it. They are infecting and changing that body. God designed it perfectly. And you are letting these people come in and ruin their lives and change them forever. I'm sure this is going to go viral. I'm sure I'm going to get called by the New York Times and Washington Post. I said it, there it is. Fire your pediatrician before they fire you. And definitely don't call me and complain that you got fired by your pediatrician because you asked them questions they couldn't answer. They're uneducated. They don't know what they're doing. They only know how to inject you with drugs. That's what they do. All right. That's my rant for the day. Let's get on with the rest of this fantastic show. I've got Nick Hoelscher joining me coming up. He's just done a re analysis of the Henry Ford Health study. Uh, some conversations on where were those autism numbers? He might have the answer to that. I'm really looking forward to that conversation. But first, it's time for the Jaxen report. Hey, Jefferey. How's it going today?

**[00:10:46] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report**

It's going pretty good. I'm enjoying your rant. Sitting by on standby here. It's nice to see the AAP. You know, they asked for it, so they asked. They did. And they received what they wanted.

**[00:10:57] Del Bigtree**

That's it. And now we know who they work for. It's obvious. It's obvious to the world. So, uh, that word. Pediatric pediatrics. Just attached to AAP. This is what they do. This is what they wanted. And they're going to stand their ground. Great. Now we know who you are.

**[00:11:11] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report**

That's right. And so I want to switch into, uh, we'll talk about an industry. Some call it a religion, a recession, but a major, probably the most in the largest federal priority for the Trump administration in 2025 and now moving into 2026 was artificial intelligence. The build out of AI and Trump so far has had now three executive orders. The fourth one looks like this in the news. It just happened. Take a look.

**[00:11:38] Male News Correspondent**

Okay. President Trump has signed an executive order to stop excessive state regulation of artificial intelligence.

**[00:11:45] Female News Correspondent**

Trump arguing a patchwork set of rules could hold the nation back from dominating the competition. The order will direct Attorney General Pam Bondi to challenge any state AI laws the administration finds too burdensome on the industry.

**[00:11:58] Male News Correspondent**

Specifically, it gives the Justice Department authority to block state laws if they do not support, quote, global dominance of AI.

**[00:12:06] Female News Correspondent**

The big factor here is the lobbying money from the big AI companies. They are pushing not just the president in person in Washington, but also lobbying federal lawmakers and state lawmakers to try to have an impact on the outcome of possible legislation that is passed or considered.

**[00:12:23] Male News Correspondent**

Silicon Valley and the tech industry, they have very deep pockets. And, you know, they are clearly wielding a lot of influence.

**[00:12:29] Male Speaker**

The attitude of the technology industry has always been, let us innovate and we'll figure it out later. They're also the envy of the world when it comes to the creation of these foundational models, and keeping that dominance going will keep us on top. The other side of the argument is you need to safeguard our kids, our jobs against the encroachment of this technology and some of the damage that we've seen.

**[00:12:54] Del Bigtree**

Man, I think I understand why this is happening, but on this one, I think Donald Trump is making a colossal error. So proving there's no such thing as a perfect leader, it's like, you know, tossing a coin sometimes. This is scary. And this is really scary. Go ahead.

**[00:13:11] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report**

Yeah. And that's why we have the US, the media, the fourth estate. And this is why we critique these things. This is this now fourth executive order, major executive order on artificial intelligence. The Genesis mission was in November of 2025. That was to unleash AI driven scientific discoveries in health, medicine, energy, which a lot of, you know, the public would say, okay, that makes sense. But this taking away burdensome regulations, I thought we were supposed to regulate AI because it was dangerous. In fact, Elon Musk told us that in 2018. Take a listen.

**[00:13:41] Del Bigtree**

Alright.

**[00:13:42] Elon Musk, Business and Former Senior Advisor to the President of the United States**

I'm not normally an advocate of regulation and oversight. I mean, I think one should generally err on the side of minimizing those things. But this is a case where you have a very serious danger to the public. And so therefore, there needs to be a public body that has insight and oversight on to confirm that everyone is developing AI safely. Um, this is extremely important. Um, I think danger of AI is much greater than the danger of nuclear warheads by a lot. Um, and nobody would suggest that we allow anyone to just build nuclear warheads if they want. That would be insane. And mark my words, AI is far more dangerous than nukes. It's far. So why do we have no regulatory oversight? This is insane.

**[00:14:37] Del Bigtree**

You know, it's a really terrifying statement he makes there. And am I to understand essentially what this law is going to do, that Donald Trump is essentially take power away from the states? Override my decision. Like my I might put my state I don't want a bunch of in this analogy, used nuclear power plants being built all over the state of Texas. I want some regulations, and the Trump administration is going to say you don't get any regulations. You got to roll with what the federal government says. And it seems like a real breakdown in the belief system, certainly of a libertarian perspective of conservatism, which is power in the state. This is somewhere where he seems to be really overriding that, and maybe one of the most dangerous moves of all times.

**[00:15:15] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report**

Yeah, yeah. And that's a time capsule statement, by the way, by Elon Musk 2018. That was before ChatGPT was basically thrown into our laps as we were coming out of the hardest lockdowns of Covid. Just kind of like here, deal with this humanity. And that was in 2022 again. But let's go to this executive order from Donald Trump, just like you said. This was to take away state regulation, to have a one guiding federal policy. So it says here, it literally says it's in there. "My administration must act with the Congress to ensure that there is a minimally burdensome national standard, not 50 discordant state ones." And then it goes on to say section two. This is the entire policy.

**[00:15:48] Del Bigtree**

This is the 50 discordant states, is what makes United States unique, is that we are just a group of free people that have organized ourselves in cities and counties and states, and we are united together to, you know, to come to, to work together when we need to. But this is I mean, this is really a step too far, I think, in this space. But go ahead. I mean, there it is. He's saying it.

**[00:16:16] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report**

Yeah. In the north. North star of this executive order. The goal, the target. He says this this is the policy is "the policy of the United States to sustain and enhance the US global AI dominance through a minimally burdensome national policy framework for AI." So basically, they're setting out a policy framework. There's going to be working groups that have been put together. Now 30 to 90 days are the time frames. We're going to start seeing some actual language and some teeth presumably at that point. But what this policy framework is going to be targeting states that may not fall in line with what the federal government claims is AI dominance, which is interesting. So just, you know, and you see the headlines now they're coming fast with AI. Here's one of them here. Trump launches AI tech force. This just happened to build AI and financial projects. And this brings this tech force is bringing engineers and managers from the private sector like Amazon, Apple, Palantir, Oracle and this you know, you could break this down and say this makes so much sense because when Trump says global AI dominance, you have to understand China, foreign adversaries, independent groups, everybody, meta Apple. They're all working for global AI dominance. Everyone wants to be that leader. So bringing these, uh, these other tech leaders in kind of bridging that gap from these private companies, which we don't really know what they're doing behind the scenes, maybe somewhat of a smart move in this, in this massive space that's moving fast.

**[00:17:38] Del Bigtree**

Well, I do know one thing they're doing Jefferey. I know that when we needed a vaccine passport and the ability to track me wherever I went and see how far away I'm standing from one another, all of those companies you just pointed out were a part of investing in that and building that and have never stopped. And now we're going to take government funding, and I get it. Look, we don't want to be behind in what is maybe the biggest, you know, uh, technical race that has ever happened. But this is like the international keeping up with the Joneses, right? This is we're going to lead this. We're going to rush to stupidity faster than anywhere else in the world. Every one of those companies. And by the way, Donald Trump might not believe in a vaccine passport. But once you've, you know, ensconced these industries and these corporations, which are going to be the only things running the planet, the world is about to be whittled down to six monopolies. It appears that control government agencies. How far are we away from then? Vaccine passports, tracking systems, social credit scores, you know, on top, and then an AI that's studying every part of it. I mean, it's just it's so I don't know how we stop it, though. I mean, he makes a point, right? If you're in his position, he's like, look good, bad, ugly or not, we don't want the other countries doing it to us first.

**[00:18:53] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report**

And there's there's three prime movers in this conversation. We just kind of went through industry, the industry, the build out, the rapid, you know, Manhattan style project to build this thing out. But the really the what's rising to the top is the safety concerns not being addressed really by these executive orders as they should be, and other, other agencies, other nonprofits, they're studying this with heads past and present of developers of AI, some of the leaders, the luminaries in this space. And here's one of the headlines that came out of there. The safety practices are failing to meet global standards. And this came from what was called the Future of Life Institute's AI Safety Index. This is their latest report, Winter 2025. And they give a report card you can see across here. Across the top you see meta. You see deep seek open AI and the overall grade across the board picture. This is school grade. Come home. Your kid has this C plus d D minus. What are you doing in school. But the major concern there right in the middle is existential safety. Totally failed D's and F's for most of those companies. And this is what led the report to say this "existential safety remains the industry's core structural weakness. All of the companies reviewed are racing towards AGI superintelligence, without presenting any explicit plans for controlling or aligning such smarter than human technology, thus leaving the most consequential risks effectively unaddressed." And that is a massive issue. That's the big red flag Elon Musk is talking about. And everyone's still talking about this. And so really these companies are not addressing that.

**[00:20:23] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report**

All they're doing is pouring massive amounts of money into that. And this gets to that third rail, that third pillar that we're going to talk about. We have meta. Meta is really entered the race hard here after it's been sidelined by by its own decisions, which is kind of ironic. But remember we were coming out of the pandemic's lockdowns around 2021, 2022 and people were starting, but we were forced into a complete virtual system. Zoom calls. Kids had to take classes online. Everyone was online. Meta. At the time it was Facebook. They changed meta because they bet the farm that we were just going to keep going that way. Just let's put them all in a complete virtual reality called the metaverse. Well that failed. So this is the headline here. This is fortune. Mark Zuckerberg rebranded Facebook for the metaverse. Four years and 70 billion in losses later he's moving on. So he's gone. So they're taking now this money. They took the losses. Ai is I'm sorry meta is under Apple there under Google and Amazon there about the fourth in line with market capitalization. There are about \$1.6 trillion. Massive prime movers. And what are they doing now? "Meta raises its spending forecasts on AI to above \$70 billion." And it says in this in 2025, their forecast and they're going to do \$72 billion. But now it says most of that will be spent on data centers. Here's the next conversation, which are computing facilities that power artificial intelligence development and compensation for AI researchers. Mehta added that capital expenditures would be notably larger in 2026 than 2025.

**[00:21:52] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report**

So they're going all in again. And that is now the conversation is energy. How where are we getting these this energy for data centers. So the Berkeley Labs put together a congressional report that was requested by Congress. It was an update from a previous report. This is a public report about the electricity needs by the US. And I want to there's one graph that tells the entire story here. This is if you look at that, that strong black dotted line at the bottom, 2010 to basically 2020, not much going on. Electricity needs were pretty much flatlined. We were doing good. And then kind of out of nowhere, this like alien electricity face sucker just drops into the planet right as we're coming out of the pandemic. And look at those forecasts. Each one of those dotted lines that is not shaded are individual studies that are showing forecasts of what we might need. This is terawatt hours and it just goes straight up exponential. How are we going to get there. Headlines like this Bloomberg nuclear industry to add 15 reactors next year after 2025 decline. Another one US's diesel generators to meet AI power demand. It says the US is looking to tap the nation's network of large industrial diesel generators use at data centers, big box stores and elsewhere to help curb rising electricity costs and support surge in power demand from artificial intelligence. That's the energy secretary, Chris Wright saying that he's like saying, hey, Walmart got some extra energy generators lying around. Fire them up because we need them for AI. Because right now the grid's not able to take it.

**[00:23:24] Del Bigtree**

You know what? This I remember when we were talking Covid where I said I think they had to jump the gun, we forced them to jump the gun to rush into a pandemic before they had a vaccine ready. Now we're going to watch. The sausage being made was a total mistake. I think this is another one of those mistakes. We were supposed to be locked inside of our 15 minute cities, or even better, inside of our apartments and living virtually through the metaverse. Before they started just building nuclear power plants and sucking the Earth's energy at 100 times what we've ever used before, so that they got away with their climate change disaster scenario that panicked us all into locking ourselves in our houses. But the problem is, they're not done with that process. And now all of a sudden they've got to launch this, but we're going to be awake saying, wait a minute, why are you telling me to drive an electric car? And you are sucking so much energy you are making. Any effort I'm doing here to save my planet. Absolutely useless. It's all absurd. We're about to start using so much more energy than we've ever imagined existed on this planet. Forget about, you know, the fact that, you know, it might be rare earth minerals or oil or they're going to need all of it. They're going to be wind. There's gonna be nuclear. Everything just to run a computer and Jefferey. Why do we need it? What what possible benefit is going to be brought to this world that I am not already have at my fingertips right now? I mean, what are you doing for us? Someone should explain that. Show me. What is this utopian world I'm going to get from you? Raping and pillaging the resources of this planet, now putting us all at risk for nuclear facilities popping up every other block so that you can run a superintelligence that's going to do what for us.

**[00:25:09] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report**

Now, let's let's talk about those 50 discordant states that Trump's executive order talked about. And one of them is Florida and Governor DeSantis there. He really front ran in Florida, the entire nation out of the pandemic with their their leading laws and legislation and statements and not not locking down, basically not forcing the vaccine. So we have a roundtable that DeSantis put together after Trump's executive order announcement, and this is what he had to say. Take a listen.

**[00:25:38] Ron Desantis, (R) Governor of Florida**

This rise of artificial intelligence and whether we should just turn over our destiny to these big tech companies or whether we, the people, should have something to say about how this develops and how you're protected from harms, and whether that's, you know, as a utility ratepayer, not having to pay for a data center or whether it's a parent knowing that there's going to be protections for children. I think a lot of people have a lot of concerns about some of the things that could be on the horizon, and so we want to approach this in the state of Florida, very intelligent way. So we recently proposed a bill of rights for Floridians vis a vis artificial intelligence. And, you know, some of the things that we've been trying to think about is just if we went back 15 or 20 years, there may have been some things that that policymakers probably could have done better about the rise of social media, about some of these other things. You know, they gave really significant protection in Congress to all these tech companies with this section. 230 you know, it's had implications. And, you know, you can argue that there were good things that came of that, but clearly there were bad things about that. But I think the one thing we learned is just say like, okay, you know, let them do their thing. And that's all that's going to matter. You know, that just that really hasn't worked. Right. And so, um, we're all, um, you know, about, uh, technologies that can enhance our experience as Floridians and Americans and as human beings. Uh, but but we don't want to do is be subsidizing or put a thumb on the scale for technologies that are going to supplant the human experience or to try to to take over things. That and you'll even hear some people say, oh, you know, the AI is going to run everything. We're not even going to be able to control it. No, that ain't working. You know, we the people are in control of our own destiny.

**[00:27:25] Del Bigtree**

I'm going to make a prediction right here. Jefferey. Uh, this is setting up for a showdown. There's a line that has just been drawn in the sand, I think, between JD Vance and Ron DeSantis. I think Ron DeSantis is making a very interesting play here, and I think he means it. I've I've watched this guy through Covid. I've watched him on the issues that we care about. I love where he's at. I love how he he has supported Joe Ladapo. But, you know, I love JD Vance too. He's been great in there and many things. But I think Ron sees this is going to be the line in the sand. He is going to in the Republican, you know, in the race for the next Republican to run for president. Obviously, we know JD Vance can be a front runner there, but I think Ron DeSantis is going to make a bet right here. I'm going to bet that America is not too psyched about Palantir and the companies that you have worked with, and your desire to have robots and AI running this world. I'm going to run for the natural people and the natural health of people. It's going to be a very interesting, I think, showdown on that. And I think a lot of us in this movement are going to feel very divided in that space. I'm putting that out there right now. We'll see if we're right.

**[00:28:31] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report**

Yeah, yeah. Let's look at this bill of rights from Florida that's happening. And, you know, you go into the Bill of rights. They talk about protecting children from the chat bots, giving them, you know, anything from medical advice to driving some of them to suicide, sadly, uh, keeping our our data from being taken copyright. But you go down to the data center piece, there's actually a data center piece in here and you can see it, it says, I'm just going to run through and protect ratepayers. Remember, a lot of these states that are bringing these data centers in are making the citizens pay for this electricity usage by upping their bills. Protect local control. Protect Florida's water resources. Data centers use massive amounts of water and those pull from the public as well. Protect natural resources in Florida. So that's something that, you know, it seems to make sense in every state that they should be having these these data centers are now really aggressively being put up, and the nuclear power plants to power them are also being put up, putting up alongside them. So it makes so much sense that we should have some type of safeguard mechanisms. Bill of rights seems like really just a common sense measure.

**[00:29:34] Del Bigtree**

Well, I mean, I feel like with Donald Trump right now, today, I have to say he's been amazing. You're going to be free to, you know, decide whether you want to vaccinate, be free to have sovereignty and control over your own body and the bodies of your children, all inside of a prison run by robots. That's that's great.

**[00:29:52] Del Bigtree**

One step forward like a thousand steps back. Uh, I'm going to be harsh on this one. I do not like where this is going. I have real concerns and we're going to keep in deep diving deep. I'm looking forward to next year. I'm just sitting here thinking, Jefferey, we're going to dive deep into this AI thing because it's obviously going to affect our health. It's going to take over our health system. It's going to be part of everything in our lives. Uh, I see the benefits. I've seen the benefits of a lot of things in this world. Unfortunately, governments and military rarely ever seem to make the benefits the best part of or the the greater majority or body of what they do with new technologies and ideas. It usually looks like it's designed to control us, and this one is going to be better at that than anything we've ever seen.

**[00:30:36] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report**

Yeah, I want to get into an investigation now. I've been working on it all week, sitting here looking across the pond to the UK, going, hmm, that's interesting. That's going on. And that probably has implications on what's maybe going to happen in America as well. And that's the flu season in the UK. But before we get to the flu season, I want to talk about a doctor's strike that's happening in the NHS, that's in the UK, that's their health system. Doctors are not happy, they're overworked, they're underpaid, and they're trying to strike. And it looks like they may start to do that. Doctors in England to strike after they reject government's offer. Government's basically saying we're not going to bend to your strike. We're not going to bend to your what you're calling us out for. So just keep working. So in the midst of all of these talks over the last couple of weeks, this happens. Take a look.

**[00:31:21] Del Bigtree**

Alright.

**[00:31:22] Male News Correspondent**

It's been called the fluNami.

**[00:31:23] Female News Correspondent**

The United Kingdom is being plagued by an unprecedeted wave of super flu.

**[00:31:27] Female News Correspondent**

Lockdown and mask madness is back with a vengeance. But this time it's over. Flu.

**[00:31:32] Male News Correspondent**

They've described the new mutated strain as a super flu, which is hitting people harder and lasting longer.

**[00:31:39] Male News Correspondent**

Nhs bosses say they're facing a, quote. Worst case scenario.

**[00:31:44] Male News Correspondent**

2660 cases of flu in England. Let's try and put that into some form of context. It is the highest that we have seen at any time this time of year at all.

**[00:31:58] Male News Correspondent**

The health secretary, Wes Streeting, said it's left the health service facing a challenge unlike any it has seen since the pandemic.

**[00:32:07] Female News Correspondent**

Health authorities are looking at introducing mandatory mask wearing in hospitals to help tackle the spread of the virus.

**[00:32:14] Female News Correspondent**

To help prevent the spread in many settings. It's a return to masks. I think it's.

**[00:32:20] Female News Correspondent**

A necessary thing to have to do.

**[00:32:22] Female News Correspondent**

Please do wash your hands, wear the mask because then you're going to stop the spread and hopefully reduce the pressure that is being put on services.

**[00:32:36] Del Bigtree**

You've got to be kidding me. Oh my God, we're so soon. So soon really? We got to do this again. What? How many thousands of lessons did we not learn the last time we did this? And the flu? Oh my God, I'm the headline should be, you know, rapid and massive drop in IQ points being discovered in the UK.

**[00:33:00] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report**

Throughout the UK leadership. So yeah this is these are the headlines we're seeing. And this is what really brought us over to the UK going what's going on here. This is one from Telegraph. "Schools go into lockdown as flu sweeps nation." You go in here. It says "schools have gone into lockdown to stop a mutant strain of flu spreading throughout classrooms and a move reminiscent of the Covid pandemic. Teachers have ordered firebreak, school closures and canceled assemblies," but to the Telegraph's credit, they do in a couple of paragraphs down say this "the closures come weeks after the Covid inquiry found that closing schools during the lockdown brought ordinary childhood to a halt," also talked about mental health issues and all the other things that are brought about learning, learning difficulties, everything. Here's another one. "As flu cases spike, is it time to start wearing masks again?" So nothing was learned. As if in the UK the Covid pandemic was was sunshine and roses. And we're going to do that every time there's any type of flu situation. Let's talk about this, this flu outbreak, this this, uh, terrible, terrible situation that's going on there. Let's go right to the data so far, past the fear, past all of this, these issues that the media is going to tell you. And let's go to the UK Health Security Agency. This is their influenza tracking. This is their official numbers.

**[00:34:15] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report**

And I'm going to go to this chart here. These are the influenza positivity by seasons. And this is from what's called the respiratory datamart. This is their surveillance uh their surveillance network. All hospitals send in positive flu results to this. Some general practitioners do as well. So these are the actual numbers they're testing on the street. On the ground. You can see here that dark black line that is right now that is this year 2025, 2026. And, um, we're kind of doing what every other season did. We're moving up coming into January and February. And you can see those gray lines are what the other seasons were starting around 2019, 2020. You can see there's a long way to go. So that's interesting. But let's continue looking throughout this this data from the UK. Now here's another. This is from the Royal College of General Practitioners, the Rcgp. This is a chart. These are basically the consultations. So for the Americans watching that's when people go to their doctor, their primary care physician and say, I think I had something, I have something called an Ili, an influenza like illness. So it's not test confirm influenza. You just have the symptoms. Could be anything. Could be Covid, could be a flu. It could be just a head cold. Now let's look at those numbers. These are per 100,000 and that black line.

**[00:35:25] Del Bigtree**

So they're currently like if I'm looking at that it looks like they're at about 20 per 100,000. They're saying high was back in 2017 2018 where they're somewhere nearing 56 per 100,000. So certainly right now not a moment to be panicking. You're not even at your high level. Um.

**[00:35:46] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report**

Right. By their own grading scale, it's just peeking into medium. Just peeking into medium. Right. We're just past low, getting into medium. And so looking at that chart, can you see surge anywhere. Can you see racing towards records. Worst case scenario I don't see it. But let's keep going. Here's another chart. Here's the ICU the intensive care unit okay. Go to the hospital. You do have something pretty bad. Let's put you let's admit you to the ICU. How many people are having that happen to them? Well, we're still in the low category. There's the dark. There's the dark line again.

**[00:36:17] Del Bigtree**

We're in the extremely high end of low. Everybody panic. I mean, so let me understand this. These documents are being put out by the government. We didn't put these graphs together. This is actually what the government is saying. This is why you should be panicked. We're at the super extremely dangerous high side of low.

**[00:36:35] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report**

These are the government's own numbers. This is from directly from the report. Anybody it's gov. Anybody can go to this website and check these numbers out. Oh God. Let's read the main point from this paper. It literally says influenza activity increased like it always does and is circulating at medium levels, not surging, not racing towards a threshold medium levels. Those are the government's own words.

**[00:36:57] Del Bigtree**

Everybody get dive, run for cover. Mediocrity is on its way. A medium powered virus is coming around the corner.

**[00:37:06] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report**

Average is coming to get you this holiday season.

**[00:37:09] Del Bigtree**

We're gonna die of average. We're going down.

**[00:37:13] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report**

Are. Alright.

**[00:37:15] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report**

So I want to I want to also point to something so that in about 1998, according to this chart here, they changed the level of what is called an epidemic. Now notice in the media you never heard the word epidemic. They they purposely didn't use that word. Why? Well, because it hasn't reached epidemic. Not even close. So you can see this chart here from about 1988 to 1998, the epidemic activity level for the government to call an epidemic for a flu, it was over 400 cases per 100,000. And that's to the general practitioners, the Royal College of General Practitioners. Wow. And then you see around about 1998, 1999, they changed that. They halved it. Activity level is about 200 or more per 100,000. And remember that graph you pointed out. Right now in the UK they're not at 400. They're not at 200. They're at about 20 per 100,000.

**[00:38:09] Del Bigtree**

Wow. Now hold on a second. Hold on to this graph because this has just taught me something because I keep saying to people, I mean, epidemics happen like, you know, once every 50 years, you know, or and in this case, you see, that was the case. You had 1 in 1990, you could have called an epidemic. And then it was just like dead forever. Unless if we can lower the bar down to this, where it usually peaks, then we can make money off of an epidemic every year. And they can't even get this year to fit the lowest level they've ever had for an epidemic. Be terrified. We're in the upper ranges of low.

**[00:38:47] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report**

And so this brings us to how we started this conversation. The NHS, their health system. Well, it's it's swamped from mediocracy. Why would it be swamped from an average a medium flu season according to the government's own words. Well, it could be this. Let's look at the British Medical Association's own website. And they looked at medical staffing in the NHS. And what do they talk about? Do they talk about surging flu? Do they talk about this? They say the NHS has a chronic workforce crisis driven by years of inadequate workforce planning, lack of accountability for workforce issues and recurrent failure to value and invest in staff without action. To better train and retrain the doctors the NHS needs, staffing levels will continue to fall short of demand, further impacting working conditions and waiting lists and care quality. So this was a crisis that's been created by bad leadership, bad government policy. And you can see this this staffing report they show of all of those countries. If you look look at practicing doctors per ten per 1000 inhabitants in the UK and England. And you can look at the bottom there. United Kingdom, England. The second you say, I want to be a doctor and you start taking a paycheck, you're at a disadvantage. You're already swamped. Just by working as a doctor, you are swamped. That's the nature of medicine in the UK. And so what's the government doing? What are they? Are they listening to the the striking people, the doctors saying, you know, we need more doctors. No, they're doing this. Doctors strike during flu outbreak would be reckless, says Keir Starmer. That's BBC news. He's saying you shouldn't listen about you shouldn't worry about this strike because we have a flu, don't you know. And it's it's surging. So don't don't you strike. But to their.

**[00:40:32] Del Bigtree**

Credit like let's go to the conspiracy theory root for is it possible you're about to have the doctors all strike, you know, across your country. You know what? If we scream flu, lock our schools down, destroy our education system, make people wear masks. Maybe the doctors won't strike.

**[00:40:51] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report**

Well, the British Medical Association appears to be on that same beat. This is what they put out. A BMA calls on the health secretary to focus on securing a deal to stop the strikes, rather than scaremongering the public. Says this, quote, it is horrible for anyone to be suffering from with flu. We are not diminishing the impact of that. But Mr. Streeting should not be scaremongering the public into thinking that the NHS will not be able to look after them and their loved ones. So this is this is a divide that we like because the BMA is standing up for what it should be, its doctors. And I want to talk about America.

**[00:41:29] Del Bigtree**

Actually, in this moment. Let's just take a breath here. Jefferey Jaxen tell Bigtree are aligned with the BMA in the UK for the first time in history. I'm with you on that. Let's cut the scaremongering on the flu here, everybody.

**[00:41:44] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report**

And that could have been every year since we started reporting. We could say that same headline, let's stop the scaremongering in December here. Let's stop this. So typically that these things start to move over to the United States, we're seeing some hints of headlines in the United States. But I want to remind people that Vinay Prasad, he's the the head of the center at the FDA center for Biologics Evaluation and Research. Remember the memo that was leaked to the public? I want to remind people just a couple of weeks ago what that memo said about the flu and the flu vaccine, because when you see this scare mongering, the funneling is directly into the one stop shop of get your vaccine. This is what Vinay Prasad, the head, basically the head regulator over vaccinations, had to say about the flu shot. He said. "We will revise the annual flu vaccine framework, which is an evidence based catastrophe of low quality evidence, poor surrogate assays and uncertain vaccine effectiveness measured in case control studies. With poor methods. We will reappraise safety and be honest in vaccine labels." So he's basically saying our flu vaccination regime is a mess. It's a disaster. It's unscientific. The data is not there. Not only do we need to revise the vaccine labels to alert the public, we need to revise the whole scientific process. So if the flu comes knocking on the door in America, remember what Vinay Prasad said when they start really pushing this, this flu shot, we have to question these conversations. If this comes.

**[00:43:06] Del Bigtree**

You know, as I as I sit here and just just watch how that just all formed as a conversation. And I was just thinking to myself, what a breath of fresh air it is, that as soon as we see something going down in UK, we're used to as far as health, it's coming here. They're going to we're going to get the same scare tactics. It's going to be, you know, pharma has its its fingers in the entire globe and every country. And to see that we are just not reacting the same way that we actually have a breath of fresh air. We have new thinking, new minds, real questions, real science. Finally, inside the government of the United States of America, inside the HHS, FDA, CDC, and how important it is for a nation to have its sovereignty that it can break free of the global stupidity. And I think about what Donald Trump is doing with this AI thing. You know, when you think of the genius of us having sovereignty as a nation, also having sovereignty of the states, it's the same thing. Other states are allowed to make bad decisions. You can move. You can move somewhere else. But when the federal government decides to just rain what may be a stupid idea upon everyone, there's no escape. There's no getting out of it. You are stuck in the system. And right now it is clear that Silicon Valley and these power brokers of AI have taken, you know, have captured the mind of our government right now. And, uh, you know, it's it's disturbing. It's disturbing that we would ever make a choice to override states. Um, I see why he's doing it. He wants us to be, you know, how are we going to compete with China? That's going to be all aligned on AI.

**[00:44:37] Del Bigtree**

How are we going to compete with socialist nations that do exactly this? They're going to have 100% of their, you know, tech geniuses all working towards the same goals together to rule the world. And Trump wants to be a part of that. But it could be to our detriment. It's going to be very interesting to watch and it's going to this thing now. We thought it was moving fast before it. It just got the warp speed. It just got Donald Trump's bonk. Let's warp speed this new brilliant idea. Jefferey. Great reporting. You've been amazing this year. Of course, you know all the time. But as I've said so many times, what a spectacular year. Uh, to see the changes that are going on. I mean, I want to celebrate without Donald Trump. We are not seeing all the changes in our space. Um, so freedom of choice, you know, real, real questions and science being done. Aape being outed for what it is. All dreams. We've had things we've said on our own, and instead of us just being a, you know, a little, you know, social media company blasting to the world as loud as we could to actually see it resounding now and reverberating inside the walls of the white House. And our government is a truly exciting thing. So I look forward to doing more of this work. It looks like it's having effects. So, you know, we're going to the holidays. We've got some upcoming shows that are pre-taped for the holidays. I'll see you on the other side.

**[00:46:00] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Journalist, The Jaxen Report**

Alright. Looking forward to it. See you soon.

**[00:46:02] Del Bigtree**

Take care.

**[00:46:04] Del Bigtree**

Well, look, this is. This is the holidays. We have had an amazing year. Uh, but we have so much to do. I've said it before. Next year is going to be the most important year of all of our lives. And we currently have. I think it's now nearly 100, uh, legal cases across this country that are in the queue and in action as we're speaking, fighting for exactly This medical freedom. There's hundreds of things that that Aaron Siri and his team are planning and dreaming of getting done next year. And when we think about empowering that force and look at what we've achieved, so much of what this government is doing wouldn't be here. Aaron Siri being at all of these things are part of winning on the legal front, too. And I'm telling you, the big case right now, hang in the balance, is West Virginia. We have been winning that fair and square all along the way. And they keep cheating and they keep stopping and they keep, you know, blocking us. So I Khan's legal team secures a preliminary injunction in West Virginia. That was in July 25th, 2025. Then we ended up, you know, Mississippi's religious exemption for school children is now permanently secured. We got Mississippi secured, but they don't want this to go down in West Virginia and West Virginia. As we've told you, we won just over a week ago. We won back the religious exemption for all of those kids.

**[00:47:27] Del Bigtree**

And then they immediately ran to mommy in the courts, to the appeals court, and they've cried. Do not let those kids go back to the school or will never be able to stop it. They put the appeals court into a panic, and now hundreds of kids are being blocked from an education in West Virginia that don't want to be forcibly vaccinated. West Virginia again bans religious reasons for school vaccine exemptions. The West Virginia Supreme Court has paused a ruling that allowed parents to use religious beliefs to opt out of required school vaccines for their children. I'll leave that headline up right now. I want you to read this headline if you are funding ICAN. This headline is you. This is what you are funding us to do. The West Virginia Supreme Court has paused a ruling that I can pulled off to celebrate the freedom of the right to choose if you're vaccinated, especially on religious reasons. If you do not want aborted fetal DNA injected into your babies, if you want to make sure that you always have a right to opt out of one, some or all of the vaccines. That is the work that ICAN is doing. And if we lose in West Virginia, it's a big one. And if we win, it's everything. They know it. This is the precedent setting moment. This is where the wind is at our backs.

**[00:48:39] Del Bigtree**

Sure, we could let up. What do you think that is costing us to do there? Just come to me right here. What do you think these cases actually cost? I'm just going to ask you millions of dollars ICAN is spending because you are supporting us with \$5 or \$10 or \$20 or for some of you angels out there, yes, we have donors that give us \$10,000, \$50,000, and it is all going into the most important cases that had ever been. And I'm telling you right now, we don't have enough to fund all we want to do next year. Now, we could back off. The show is always going to be here. We could say, you know, nice job. We almost had West Virginia forget about that case. It was rolling to the Supreme Court we didn't really want to overcome. You know, Jacobson versus Massachusetts. That is what is in our crosshairs right now. And I'm telling you, I need you, I need you, I need you to celebrate what we've achieved and then say, I am going to make it hurt this year. I am going to make donations instead of gifts and say, I've donated. I can for your Christmas present. It may not make sense to you right now, but when you are free in the future, when your grandchildren are not being forcibly vaccinated, you will know why we were here.

**[00:49:55] Del Bigtree**

You will know why we did this. So I am serious right now. There is so much to celebrate. But let's not let the celebration just be in vain and be futile and be a sand painting that blows away the next time the next administration comes in. Because we did not build enough foundations and buildings to stand the test of time. This is what is happening next year. And if you have anything left in your holiday giving, I want you to look at the track record of the ICAN and The HighWire and watch what Aaron Siri is capable of doing when he has all the funding he needs. Please, right now, go ahead and go to the top of the screen. I'll wait for you to do this. Thehighwire.com right at the top of the screen. Donate to ICAN become a recurring donor. Pick that amount that you like. You know what? For the next year, if this is the most important year of my life, I'm going to suspend saving the rhinoceros and the monarch butterflies. I'm going to save the children of this world from forced vaccination programs with a palantir, with an AI takeover that's coming, that is ensconced and in lockstep with the pharmaceutical industry. Do you realize what is coming our way? If we're going to stop that behemoth, we need to have stopped it in the courts.

**[00:51:15] Del Bigtree**

And with legislation, this is the most important moment in our lives, and I hope you will take it as seriously as we are and make a serious donation today to ICAN. If you have been well funded and done really well in life, you have a one of a private conversation about a large donation. Call us or write into us at info at icon org. We will get right back to you. We are ending strong but not strong enough to win tomorrow. This is about tomorrow. I hope you'll join us in this incredible battle so that at the end of next year we'll say, Holy cow, look what we just accomplished. You can do it by mail, by stock, by international transfer, crypto vehicles, gift cards, points, legacy giving. One of the great things that happened this year, beyond all the incredible legal wins and the legislative wins and the change in the government, we also delivered one of the most impactful films that has ever hit on this subject. I think it's the greatest tool. I even think more than VAXXED this film now an inconvenient study is affecting lives in the way we could only have dreamed. I've been on podcasts all over, flying all over to anyone that will talk about this issue. And I'm telling you, these were not podcasts we used to be allowed on. This is what that's been looking like.

**[00:52:34] Male Speaker**

The film is titled The Inconvenient Study.

**[00:52:36] Male Speaker**

You've got this new bombshell film, an Inconvenient Study.

**[00:52:39] Male Speaker**

An Inconvenient Study. See that as quick as you can.

**[00:52:43] Female Speaker**

Oh my goodness, what an important achievement. And I'm so glad that you're getting such a wide audience.

**[00:52:50] Female Speaker**

What you discovered and revealed in the documentary is mind blowing.

**[00:52:55] Male Speaker**

A study done by the Ford Medical Center Henry Ford Medical Center comparing vaccinated to unvaccinated kids.

**[00:53:03] Male Speaker**

Yeah, I read about that.

**[00:53:04] Male Speaker**

The film is mesmerizing. And not just that, folks. When you watch it, it's almost like you're watching a thriller. It's almost like you would go to the movies to see it. It's so tense.

**[00:53:12] Female News Correspondent**

It's a fabulous film. Um, really well done. It's kind of it's hard to watch in another sense because. Because it's like the whole truth is gradually dawning on me, you know, about just exactly how vast this is and how many years we've been lied to.

**[00:53:32] Male Speaker**

He was so confident that his research was going to find that vaccines led to better health outcomes for children, that he gave you a promise that no matter what it showed the study that he was going to, he was going to go ahead and print it and release it. Yet it showed the exact opposite.

**[00:53:50] Male Speaker**

I was still surprised at how strong the study was. And it asked. It asked a simple question. This is what this is what science should do. It should ask a simple question. All children, are they healthy or after vaccine or less healthy? That's it. Simple question. And then a statistical analysis afterwards.

**[00:54:06] Male Speaker**

I literally watched it with my head turned because you videotape him without his knowledge from hidden cameras from a hidden pen. Yeah. And you and you record the conversation in a restaurant.

**[00:54:18] Marcus Zervos, MD, Co-Director, Center for Emerging Infectious Diseases, Henry Ford Health**

I think it's a good study. I'm not going to do it because I don't want to. I don't want to end up like Didier or I don't want to suffer with McCullough did.

**[00:54:27] Male Speaker**

I've never been so angry. Um, listening to a man speak that he has. He's this cowardice.

**[00:54:34] Marcus Zervos, MD, Co-Director, Center for Emerging Infectious Diseases, Henry Ford Health**

It's actually the right thing to do. I don't want to say it's not the right thing to do. It's the right thing to do. But the, uh. I just don't want to. Um.

**[00:54:41] Female Speaker**

Why am I choked up? Why? Why, like I am I'm so mad.

**[00:54:46] Del Bigtree**

Yeah.

**[00:54:47] Female Speaker**

I feel like an idiot. I'm so mad because I trust it when I go to these doctors and you ask a question, then you feel stupid, so you just do it. They lie. They lied to us.

**[00:54:59] Male Speaker**

Your film is a Greek tragedy. It's filled with the hero's journey. It's filled with pathos. It's filled with peripeteia. It's filled with hubris and cowardice and courage and curiosity and hope. And it's making people angry.

**[00:55:22] Female Speaker**

My dad has been one of the hardest people in my life to convince of this and other stuff, too, that we were lied to about, you know, in the medical complex. And he watched this film and he texted me afterwards and he said, oh my God, he's like, I'm going to send this to everyone I know. Everybody needs to know this.

**[00:55:38] Male Speaker**

I encourage people listening. Share this film. Get this information out to the people. This is information that needs to be heard, needs to be seen because the health of our children.

**[00:55:47] Female Speaker**

I have done thousands of interviews over the last 31 years. Thousands. None more important than this.

**[00:56:03] Del Bigtree**

Well, if you have not watched An Inconvenient Study, don't be one of those people that goes well, I know what that's about. You really don't know what it's about because you don't know what a tool this film is. This is more than just knowing. It's put together in a way that's never been done before. And we were getting so many people reaching out, as you saw some of those podcasts saying, my parents, my loved one, my child that's giving birth was not listening to me. I got them to watch An Inconvenient Study, and now they're listening. They're looking into it. And, you know, I was just on a cruise ship with my family for Thanksgiving, and I would see these families and pregnant moms, and I wanted to go up, and I tried. You tried to talk about it, but I just want to say you just need to see the film. But how do you make them remember an inconvenient study, you know, and it's a lot of letters. And I just thought, you know what I would love? I would love a business card. I would love a card that just has a little QR code and the website right on it, so that I could just walk up and say, you know, have you are you looking into the vaccine issue at all or wondering, you know, about vaccines? You should really check out this film An Inconvenient Study. Just check it out. Here you go. Um, I believe if you all do this, if we decide this Christmas right now, over the holidays, you can buy this.

**[00:57:13] Del Bigtree**

You just go to the store and buy. I think it's \$36 for a pack of 100. Everyone keeps asking me, what can I do? What can I do? Go to an inconvenience study.com. Scroll down just a little ways right there to spread the word shop. Now it takes you right there for \$36 in. I think it's two business days. You'll be delivered 100 cards. I would love it if you would all make your mission to get rid of those 100 cards. Either put it in the hand of relatives, stick it in a stocking stuffer if you get it in time, but certainly just have 5 or 6 in your purse or in your wallet. When you're walking around and you see that young family or that pregnant mother just walk up, you know you want to have that conversation. You don't know what to say and just say, hey, there's a really amazing movie. You should check it out. It has to do with vaccinating babies. It changed my life and walk away. Trust me, that question will work probably at least 10% of the time, if not 50% of the time. But if you go through your 100 cards, I guarantee you you will end this year having saved at least one life on this planet. Something many of you I know don't know what that feels like. You're going to save lives. So why don't you take part in this? I think it's going to be a lot of fun.

**[00:58:28] Del Bigtree**

And it's a great way to drop it into some Christmas cards or New Year's cards. It's a great way to start a conversation with anybody. Um, this is how the grassroots grows. One person, one contact at a time. And we're trying to make it as easy as we can let the film do the talking. All right, speaking of an inconvenient study, uh, you know, this was done by Henry Ford. I have said this was done by, you know, pro vaccine medical establishment. I'm supposed to be careful about how I say that because they're saying we're not pro-vaccine. Okay, whatever. Henry Ford, you celebrated newspapers in the film. You hear Doctor Marcus Zervos talking about? I'm the reason they forced vaccinate every single employee at Henry Ford Health. So they had a bias going into the study. And yet the study is one of the most damning of all times. But what if you went in with an unbiased perspective and just said, what does the data show? Well, that's exactly what epidemiologist Nick Hulscher has done. And he joins me right now. Nick, first of all, you're like this rising superstar overnight. I see you everywhere. I have no idea where you came from. Uh, and how, you know, you know, you've sort of jumped into this space. So where do you come from? What is your educational background? How did you get into this?

**[00:59:41] Nicolas Hulscher, MPH, Epidemiologist & Administrator, The McCullough Foundation**

Well, listen, I come from Michigan. I graduated from the University of Michigan School of Public Health, got my training in epidemiology. I actually graduated two years ago, and I was.

**[00:59:54] Del Bigtree**

A weird time to be in med school. Epidemiology. During one of the biggest epidemiologic crisis of all times.

**[01:00:02] Nicolas Hulscher, MPH, Epidemiologist & Administrator, The McCullough Foundation**

Well, listen, it's one of the reasons I went into epidemiology was because I saw the lockdowns. I saw the mRNA injections, I saw it all, and I wanted to make a difference. So I went into public health school. I got into contact with Doctor Peter McCullough. I asked every one of my professors for an internship. Only one said yes. I emailed all of them and so I'm so thankful for that. Or else I would have never have met doctor McCullough. And since then, we've published over 20 peer reviewed papers looking at the harms of vaccines.

**[01:00:32] Del Bigtree**

It's really phenomenal. We're going to get into some of that, but let's start out with this study at the center of our film, An Inconvenient Study, the Henry Ford Health Study. Um, you did a re-analysis, um, in that analysis, describe for me the difference between how Doctor Marcus Zervos analyzed the data and what you did.

**[01:00:52] Nicolas Hulscher, MPH, Epidemiologist & Administrator, The McCullough Foundation**

Yes. Well, first of all, what we did was a peer review. We had a peer reviewed peer review of The strongest vaccinated versus unvaccinated study ever done. That's what it is. And so we confirmed it was a great study. We confirmed it was already the results are fully valid. You know, the critics attack it for different follow up times. But you know what? They use something called Cox proportional models. And what that does is that takes into account time. And so taking into account different follow up times, that was still majority of chronic disease categories were still higher in the vaccinated regardless. But what we did and well, first of all, I'm very thankful for you, del and and your team for sparking this study in the first place. Given how important it is. I mean, you can't get a better study than this. And so what we did was we looked at the raw numbers, right. So the original study, they did so much modeling like statistical models, and we really didn't see just the raw proportions between the groups when we looked at the raw proportions it was every single, all 22 of the chronic disease categories were proportionally higher in the vaccinated, all 22, including cancer.

**[01:02:08] Del Bigtree**

Really? Because, I mean, they said in the study it kind of uses cancer as the control, saying there was no difference in cancer. And you're saying there was a difference in cancer?

**[01:02:16] Nicolas Hulscher, MPH, Epidemiologist & Administrator, The McCullough Foundation**

Yeah. So when it comes to rare outcomes, the modeling they use, it's not very reliable. And so they didn't do any basic proportional analysis. But when we did that and we looked cancer was 54% higher in the vaccinated group compared to the unvaccinated children. So they had 54% more cases. And you know, this is explained biologically. There is biological plausibility behind it.

**[01:02:44] Del Bigtree**

So am I to understand that as we imagine this Cox proportional average thing, it's kind of like it softened the blow on what this I mean, it was it was a way to obviously be as conservative as you could, right? To the benefit of the vaccine side, let's just really be conservative by putting this giant sort of pillow around it and, you know, take the edges off. And so what you're saying is, look, it's a great study. And that's probably what should have been done to just say, let's just let's just be as conservative as we possibly can. We still see this massive, gigantic signal as we do in the study, which is, you know, I always say, I think the biggest part is within ten years, 50% of 57% of the vaccinated would end up with chronic disease and only 17% of the unvaccinated. But what you did is you took the pillow off. Let's just let's just take the let's let's see this sharp edges for what they are. Let's just look at the raw ratios. And there you see that every single in every single instance the vaccinated are doing worse, even in cancer.

**[01:03:46] Nicolas Hulscher, MPH, Epidemiologist & Administrator, The McCullough Foundation**

Every single one. And nothing can explain that other than the fact that these vaccines are unleashing chronic disease on our children. It's undeniable. You can't really in my opinion. Yeah, you could, you know, get a bigger sample size and do all that. But this is the strongest study to date. The original study, Zervos and colleagues I mean, this is it. And your documentary, An Inconvenient Study. Um, everybody's got to watch that. I mean, this will change people's minds who have been hyper vaccinating their children, you know, now we're up to and we found this also in our paper, this peer reviewed paper, this re-analysis of the paper where we actually found that it's now up to 81 doses by age 18, really 81 doses. It can be more than that too. And so this hyper vaccination is unleashing chronic disease.

**[01:04:40] Del Bigtree**

Now in the film, I had to point out, and when I first was handed the study at the dinner table with Doctor Zervos, uh, it didn't show a result for autism. It just it was sort of inconclusive. It was or it wasn't. There weren't many kids. It was only like 23. I think it was in the vaccinated one in the unvaccinated group. But you came up with a different autism number. Tell me, tell me how you went about doing that and what you found.

**[01:05:06] Nicolas Hulscher, MPH, Epidemiologist & Administrator, The McCullough Foundation**

Yeah. So this part is very, very important. So when it comes to autism and you know, so they were looking at ICD codes. So the codes that the doctors were putting in as the diagnosis. But the thing is is in autism, all of those other chronic diseases outlined in that paper, including ADHD, tics, learning disabilities, speech disorders that all co-occurs in autism. And so what we did was we grouped these together into one autism related group, or clinical outcomes seen in autistic individuals. And when we group them together to derive an odds ratio, we found that these autism related conditions, or what's grouped in autism is actually about 500% more likely if you were vaccinated than not. And so that's very, very damning. And it's it corroborates all of the previous vaccinated versus unvaccinated studies showing similar risk profiles.

**[01:06:05] Del Bigtree**

It really does. The Mostyn study is right about there, I think 4.5 or 6 times the rate of autism amongst the homeschool kids. Paul Thomas saw a huge difference. Uh, and then, uh, here are all so I want to talk about this. Uh, you've been saying you've got another, um, bunch of articles out about 12 other vaccinated versus unvaccinated studies that have been done. Um, I think I stayed in the film, you know, 5 or 6. And so are there actually 12 studies? Because I say this on podcasts all the time. Am I clear in saying there are 12 now vaccinated versus unvaccinated studies that have been done?

**[01:06:45] Nicolas Hulscher, MPH, Epidemiologist & Administrator, The McCullough Foundation**

Yes. You're correct. There's 12. And we compiled these in our.

**[01:06:50] Del Bigtree**

List of them. Everybody, just so you know. I'll read through them. Enrique Enriquez, why don't you read them? Because you know these names probably better than I do.

**[01:06:57] Nicolas Hulscher, MPH, Epidemiologist & Administrator, The McCullough Foundation**

Yeah. We got Enriquez and colleagues Lyons-weiler and Thomas Mawson et al. Hooker and Miller. Brian Hooker has done great work on this. Joy Gardner. He's done a few surveys comparing vaccinated to unvaccinated children. And Hooker and Miller again and again. They've done so much work in this. But Mawson in 2025. And this one's really I think the big one. Um, below Lemaire and colleagues below an inconvenient study. The inconvenient study is the strongest at the bottom there, but Mawson 2025 is very key. They had about 50,000.

**[01:07:35] Del Bigtree**

Okay. How was this study done? Tell me about it.

**[01:07:37] Nicolas Hulscher, MPH, Epidemiologist & Administrator, The McCullough Foundation**

Yeah. Very important. They had about 50,000 Medicaid recipients. Um, these were nine year olds. And they basically looked at, you know who was experiencing autism and neurodevelopmental disorders and other chronic diseases. And they looked at, well, how many times did they go to a vaccination visit and what was their risk of developing these conditions? And so among the 50,000 children, those who went to get vaccines 11 times had about a four times increased risk of developing autism. And so again, very similar rates were seen here. But that was very important. They also found massive increases in other neurodevelopmental disorders, especially tics ADHD like disorders, all sorts of horrible things. And so when we have all of these data sets corroborate, we can start to hone in on causality. And I think the evidence is strong enough to understand that injecting your 81 toxic loads into developing children is causing central nervous system injury and it is causing chronic diseases, neurodevelopmental disorders. It's causing neuron loss. That's what is happening.

**[01:08:53] Del Bigtree**

Neuron loss. Why? What's the mechanism?

**[01:08:55] Nicolas Hulscher, MPH, Epidemiologist & Administrator, The McCullough Foundation**

So what happens is they get injected. It doesn't just stay in the arm like it's claimed. It does end up reaching the bloodstream at least some of these toxic excipients and killed pathogens. And they do enter the central nervous system. And once they're in there, and this was confirmed in the animal studies, when they inject them with things like aluminum, it literally deposits in neurons. And it causes neuronal damage or brain damage, in other words. And so that is unfortunately, what appears to be happening when injecting these children with all of these loads of toxins.

**[01:09:33] Del Bigtree**

It's really amazing. And so out of the 12 studies and this to you, I mean, you've looked all over the planet. Those are the only 12 that exist that we can tell so far that have done this comparison, and not one of them is showing benefits for the vaccinated side. Is that correct?

**[01:09:49] Nicolas Hulscher, MPH, Epidemiologist & Administrator, The McCullough Foundation**

Not one. All 12, all 12 studies. Every single one shows the vaccinated are far unhealthier and they have higher risks of every single disease you can think of, including autism, ADHD, tics, chronic diseases such as autoimmune diseases, particularly eczema. So skin disorders, chronic ear infections they're just riddled with disease. And it's undeniable at this point in time.

**[01:10:21] Del Bigtree**

It's incredible that an intelligent species would be doing this to their own kind. Um, alright. I want to move on to the flu. We just talked about it. They're trying to turn this into a pandemic with everything they've got. They're blowing so much of their propane and fuel into this park to try and get it to light in the UK, Um, I doubt with the administration we have, we're going to have to worry about that hitting here. But I did see Kathy Hochul, the governor of New York, getting her flu shot in front of the world like the true idiot she appears to be. But, um, what is the efficacy right now? I've seen you report on this a little bit. Um, I'm seeing some saying it's below zero. Where are we at with this current flu shot? Because it seems to fail every year. How's this one doing?

**[01:11:05] Nicolas Hulscher, MPH, Epidemiologist & Administrator, The McCullough Foundation**

This flu shot? Well, first, the latest study looking at flu vaccine efficacy was by Shrestha and colleagues. At least one of the biggest ones came out last year. They looked at 2024 to 2025 flu vaccine efficacy, and they got 50,000 Cleveland Clinic employees and they followed them for a few months. Um, about 80% got the flu shot. That season's flu shot, 20% didn't. But the 80% that got a flu shot suffered 27% higher risk of developing the flu during flu season. So instead of not only failing and not working, it was increasing the risk of flu doing the complete opposite of what it's supposed to do.

**[01:11:49] Del Bigtree**

Wow, 27% increased risk of getting the flu if you get the shot. Isn't it mind blowing that that's not a headline across every major news, you know, agency and what is supposed to be a country of free press?

**[01:12:04] Nicolas Hulscher, MPH, Epidemiologist & Administrator, The McCullough Foundation**

Yeah, this should be everywhere. Absolutely everywhere. But instead, what we see on the mainstream media is go get your flu shot. You need your flu shot. It's the only way you can protect yourself against flu. But that's all BS.

**[01:12:17] Del Bigtree**

Coming out of school. You're new, you've jumped into this. You've got a pretty strong social media following. Um, what's the what's the most shocking part of what you're doing for you? I mean, when you're like, is it how people are responding or not responding or what? You know. What do you expect to happen? And where are you being surprised?

**[01:12:39] Nicolas Hulscher, MPH, Epidemiologist & Administrator, The McCullough Foundation**

I'm surprised of the amount of people that use ad hominem attacks when presenting data against vaccines that are showing that vaccines can be dangerous. So some people are under a form of psychosis or a vaccine religion where when, when you start to mention, you know, oh, well, this vaccine, you know, it'll increase your risk of this or it's been linked to death here and in some people's minds, you know, they get triggered and then they go online and they begin to, um, just target and say, oh, you're stupid, you're an anti-vaxxer. Um, you know, you're not an epidemiologist, but so that's been, you know, I guess it hasn't been shocking. It's been expected based on where we're at today. But, um, yeah. Um, those those attacks do not affect me anymore because we have the evidence, right? I mean, we just went over the evidence. It's clear.

**[01:13:38] Del Bigtree**

Yeah. I mean, that's when people always ask me, like, how do you have so much courage? It's sort of like I've said, it's like poker to me. How are you still in the hand? You got Phil Ivey across from you. You've got the Prince of Arabia. They're all in there pushing so much cash. Why isn't it scaring you out of the pot? It's like. Because, I mean, I can still look up online, and royal flush is a royal flush. And I got this hands down. Worst case scenario, I'm splitting the pot, you know, so I'm all in. I don't know why I would walk away. How this has felt to me. Like I hear you yelling I hear you know what I mean. But I don't care what it is you think you have or whether you're bluffing. I actually we actually have the cards.

**[01:14:16] Nicolas Hulscher, MPH, Epidemiologist & Administrator, The McCullough Foundation**

Yeah, we have the cards, we have the cards. And it's simply undeniable. They don't have any cards.

**[01:14:22] Del Bigtree**

It's. That's the shocking part. Like, they can't even fake that. They have cards. They can't even turn get lucky with a bad hand. They have no hand.

**[01:14:29] Nicolas Hulscher, MPH, Epidemiologist & Administrator, The McCullough Foundation**

Yeah, yeah. It's really they don't have one vaccinated versus unvaccinated study showing that the vaccinated are healthier. Not one. They won't produce it because they know what they'll find. And obviously that's what Zervos found, right?

**[01:14:43] Del Bigtree**

So what's next? What are you the most excited about in your work?

**[01:14:48] Nicolas Hulscher, MPH, Epidemiologist & Administrator, The McCullough Foundation**

Uh, we're working on a lot. We have a lot of studies coming out very shortly, ones very shocking. And I'll break it here today. Where? Um, it's about the mRNA shots. Okay. And this is a case report. It's probably the strongest case report ever done on vaccine injury. Mrna vaccine injury. So what we found was in an individual 51 year old man, he developed myocarditis, pulmonary embolism, neurological disturbances, skin disturbances. So he has multisystem long vaccine syndrome. We found 3.6 years after his last shot circulating Pfizer mRNA in what's called his exosomes. These kind of bubbles circulate around the body, act as kind of messengers. So the mRNA is still in there, Pfizer mRNA as well as in his skin. You won't even believe it. We found plasmid DNA from the manufacturing process again 3.6 years after literally just placed in his skin. It was the Sv40 segment of it. It was the spike expression cassette of it, and it was the open reading frame region. So all components of the plasmids were in his skin, in what's called the Grover's disease area, where he developed this skin disease from the shot. And then we looked microscopically inside of this Grover's Disease area, and we literally stained it for SARS-CoV-2 spike or vaccine spike. And we found it. It stained 33.6 years after his shot, he still has spike protein in his skin. And so we found all these vaccine components, and it appears they last for multiple years. And we were completely lied to.

**[01:16:34] Del Bigtree**

Do you think, you know, you're looking at this and, you know, is your hypothesis now that that was on purpose? Is this vaccine this bad on purpose or is this just one of the dumbest scientific mistakes of all times?

**[01:16:48] Nicolas Hulscher, MPH, Epidemiologist & Administrator, The McCullough Foundation**

When we look at the evidence and the casualty counts that we've been estimating, it's very high. We now have three independent estimates, actually, of deaths. That ranges from 470,000 from Pfizer alone to.

**[01:17:03] Del Bigtree**

Is that worldwide?

**[01:17:04] Nicolas Hulscher, MPH, Epidemiologist & Administrator, The McCullough Foundation**

No, no. This is just in.

**[01:17:05] Del Bigtree**

Just in America.

**[01:17:06] Nicolas Hulscher, MPH, Epidemiologist & Administrator, The McCullough Foundation**

This is just in America. And that was extrapolating from a study by Florida Surgeon General Joel Adepo, who found Pfizer recipients face 36%, 36% higher all cause mortality compared to Moderna recipients. So just extrapolating that to the US, you get at least 470,000 deaths from Pfizer alone, but it ranges up to about 840,000 American deaths. So based on that, I don't know. You know, there may be some intentionality behind this very dangerous and deadly operation.

**[01:17:41] Del Bigtree**

It certainly wiped out, you know, or what I would say the pension class. You know, I mean, I mean, I guess all I still haven't. I always say, you know, I'm, I'm looking at it, but you just look at the features right now. If you were going bankrupt, if you didn't want to pay off, if you didn't want your elderly that had pensions that pay off and you wanted that money back in your system or you'd already stolen it, how do you get rid of them? It was really weird that this virus, in a way, targeted elderly in a way we've never seen and left children all alone. Usually the children are the carriers, in this case, the elderly really, you know, got wiped out hard. Nick, you're doing incredible work. It's great watching. I love that you're teamed up with doctor McAuliffe. You know, what's he like? What's it like? Give me a little bit. Just a behind the scenes. What's it like to work with him? Because he is. What we see on the outside is this would be a super genius that can recite names and titles and things like this. You know, this incredible photographic memory, almost the most published heart doctor in the world. But what's it like working with him? Is he a micromanager or is he a you know, what's he like.

**[01:18:50] Nicolas Hulscher, MPH, Epidemiologist & Administrator, The McCullough Foundation**

Doctor McCullough I wouldn't want to be working for any other doctor in the world. I mean, he's a pleasure to work with. He's so smart. And, you know, we kind of just bang ideas back and forth, and we should do this study. We should do that study. And and, you know, we should do this and look at that. And we we've become such an efficient team at the McCullough Foundation where we really are just cranking out the investigations left and right. And we're trying to uncover really what is happening to the population since 2020. And you know it's not good. But regardless. Um, yeah. Doctor McCullough, he's a great guy. Very smart man, very smart doctor. And I wouldn't want to be with anybody else.

**[01:19:33] Del Bigtree**

Oh, wonderful. Alright, so where do we track all that you're doing? What are your social media handles and and the work you're doing?

**[01:19:39] Nicolas Hulscher, MPH, Epidemiologist & Administrator, The McCullough Foundation**

Yeah. You can follow me on X @NicHulscher, but you can also follow me on Substack. It's actually a shared Substack called the Focal Points. Com and as Doctor Peter McCullough, John Leek and myself, we post there every day on all the breaking public health news and all the new studies. So those are the two main points. You can follow me on Facebook if you want, as well as LinkedIn where I get attacked by the trolls on the daily.

**[01:20:04] Del Bigtree**

Alright, Nick, thank you for your work. Keep up the good work, man. Thank you for your courage. Thank you. Welcome to the team. Glad you studied and got out just in time. Another way that you can donate to the work that we're doing here at ICAN and The HighWire for next year. Next year we're going to be building the terrace. It's officially going in. We've had people visiting that put bricks on the walkway that leads us from our offices over to the studio every single day. It's an inspiration, but it also will stand the test of time. This campus is going to be here forever to tell the story of what happened at this time when we were fighting for medical freedom, which I believe was the tip of the spear of all freedom. If you were not medically free. If you don't control your own body and the bodies of your children, then you have the same rights as farm animals. We're working and doing our best to make sure that that is not the future for our children and our grandchildren. So if you want to be a part of the terrorists, I definitely hope you'll do that. It's going to be coming to a close here very soon. A few bricks left, a few benches, but this is the brick of the week.

**[01:21:09] Michael Bautsch, Son suffered Injury after the Hep b vaccine**

This is our brick. It's the Bouch family fighting for health freedom. Michael, Sandy, David and Adam. We purchased the brick because we'd been in this fight for 23 years. Our son was injured by the hepatitis B vaccine shortly after he was born. Took us up to two years to figure out exactly what it was that actually caused his life threatening food allergies and other gut issues. And we've been trying like heck for everybody to know what's been going on with the vaccine system. And ever since.

**[01:21:39] Sandy Bautsch, Son Injured by Hep B Vaccine in 2002**

I wasn't expecting to have the nurse come in and give me a sheet that the hepatitis B vaccine and we had already decided not to vaccinate, I thought he must need it. They must be in danger. And I made the mistake of signing that form and that changed his life forever. With an allergy like that. That's just one wrong bite and could be gone.

**[01:22:09] Michael Bautsch, Son suffered Injury after the Hep b vaccine**

When I found out shortly after I had watched VAXXED and then obviously 2017, that's when I started The HighWire. He was talking about everything that we already knew. It was awesome to see somebody validate everything we understood gave us the power to start speaking. We did buy another brick for the terrace. Put a little more on it in this case, but again, I think this is the best donated money that anyone could ever do because you actually get returns. The fight we're taking to ACIP and CDC and HHS, that dollar for dollar, you get a better return than anything you've ever bought in my life.

**[01:22:44] Sandy Bautsch, Son Injured by Hep B Vaccine in 2002**

We're happy that I can is here to help families and give them the information they need so they can make smart decisions about their children.

**[01:22:58] Del Bigtree**

Well, saving lives is what The HighWire is all about. We're not just reporting on the problem, we are fixing the problem, and you are making that possible. So I really one last time, this is my last time in this live experience. We're going to have some great shows coming up and showing some Jefferey Jaxen great documentary work over the holidays, but right now, I really hope you will consider digging deep and helping us get prepared to build a war chest for next year. I am telling you, we do not have enough bows and arrows at the moment. We do not have enough armor. We need more to be going into this. If we're going to really pull this off and win it. For reals, this is the time. Um, I keep trying to help you experience what it's like to live like Nick or Peter McCullough, or these warriors and heroes like Robert Kennedy Jr that stand up and fight for us. I know it's easy to think that, you know, we're different than you, or that you see us on television or you see us on screens, or we're standing at movie theaters. But the truth is, is everyone's just a human being. And there are human beings that decide, you know what? I really want to approach that woman that's pregnant.

**[01:24:09] Del Bigtree**

I want, but I just don't have the courage to be weird. It's going to be awkward, or I really want to talk to my politician, but I don't know how to do that. Uh, the only difference between you sitting there and I used to be one of you. I never my mom would drag me in to talk to politicians like, I'll never do this. This woman's crazy. Uh, but in the end, you realize that's how we change the world, one person at a time. And we keep giving you things you can do. I really think this one is the easiest one we've ever come up with. Uh, so please, if you do nothing else, uh, click on that QR code or go to an inconvenient Study.com and just scroll down the page and grab yourself a little stack of inconvenient study cards. I guarantee you, it will be a great way to enter into next year. Learning how to be an advocate. You want to be a warrior. You want to know what it feels like to be a warrior. Walk up to someone you've never met before and say hi. Hey, I see that you know you have a family come in or you're with a family. Have you really looked into the vaccine issue? Because it was something that I didn't fully understand.

**[01:25:12] Del Bigtree**

And I think this movie lays it out in a way that is incredibly compelling. You can just click on the QR code or go to the website right here. Just take this, see what that feels like, and then see if you get bolder and bolder by card. Maybe like 50, maybe you actually try to have a little bit longer conversation. Trust me, you'll start finding, you know what I actually think I want to know what this person thinks. And eventually you'll have a podcast like The High Wire, and then you'll be standing on courthouse steps. Who knows? But all I know is the world has changed by your next right step. By saying yes to that little voice inside of you that is guiding you right now to make the world a better place. I think it's in all of us. It's moving through all of us. But we're not all saying yes. Here in The HighWire we celebrate the yes. We celebrate the power of going through the open door, even though we don't know what's on the other side, Because we're adventurous, we're optimistic, and we are curious. Stay curious. Be curious. In all of your conversations with your family members over the holidays.

**[01:26:20] Del Bigtree**

Don't get into a place of teaching. Get into a place of curiosity. Why is it you feel I'm really curious. What do you think? What's upsetting you about Donald Trump? What is it about Robert Kennedy Jr. Okay, so you don't want, you know, someone removing chemical dyes from your food. You don't. You wanted to leave lead and arsenic in the baby food and the baby formula. That's that's what you wanted. You're against a guy that is trying to clean up your food supply. That is getting regenerative farming, maybe getting less chemicals sprayed on our food. Are you against that? You know, those are the types of questions. Trust me, you will find yourself in a very interesting conversation. Go have those conversations. Get bold, get brave. It's the holidays, and if we want to have more in the future that we are having in a free world. It's incumbent upon you to take up the charge. I love you. Happy holidays, merry Christmas, Happy Hanukkah or whatever you're celebrating. We're celebrating you. We're celebrating an incredible year of freedom. And I'll see you next week. Pushing on Jefferey Jaxen's new documentary show on Christmas Day. And then in the New year. Wait till you see we've got coming. Alright. I'll see you then. On The HighWire.

END OF TRANSCRIPT

THEHIGHWIRE