

NAME

EP 459 1/15/26.mp4

DATE

January 18, 2026

DURATION

1h 26m 11s

18 SPEAKERS

Del Bigtree

Jenn Sherry Parry, Executive Producer

Female News Correspondent

Dr. Zeke Emanuel, Former Obama White House Health Officer

Male Speaker

Female Speaker

Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

Male News Correspondent

Joseph Ladapo, Florida Surgeon General ,

Ron DeSantis, Florida Governor (R)

Yuval Harari, Professor in the Department of History at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israeli Medievalist and Military Historian

Liz James, Founder and President, BlessedByHisBlood.com

Joanne Shofner, Texas House Representative (District 11)

Tanya Lair, PA-C, Mother of 2 Adopted Children with Rare Blood Disorder

Faith Lair, Born with Rare Blood Disorder

Meili Lair, Born with Rare Blood Disorder

Barbara Bryant, MD, President and CEO, Carter Blood Care

Robert F. Kennedy Jr. United States Secretary of Health and Human Services

START OF TRANSCRIPT

[00:00:05] Del Bigtree

Have you noticed that this show doesn't have any commercials? I'm not selling you diapers or vitamins or smoothies or gasoline. That's because I don't want any corporate sponsors telling me what I can investigate or what I can say. Instead, you are our sponsors. This is a production by our nonprofit, the Informed Consent Action Network. So if you want more investigations, if you want landmark legal wins, if you want hard hitting news, if you want the truth. Go to ICANdecide.Org and donate now. Alright everyone, we're ready.

[00:00:45] Jenn Sherry Parry, Executive Producer

Yeah! Let's do this.

[00:00:46] Del Bigtree

Action. Good morning, good afternoon, good evening. Wherever you are out there in the world, it's time to step out onto the Highwire. Well, last week, we're obviously bathing in all the success that we've had on the conversations around freedom mandates, vaccinations. But the pundits keep going and the news keeps going, and they keep screaming and yelling about how dangerous it is that we should have choice. Over six of the vaccines that were just moved into shared decision making, meaning between me and my doctor. It's kind of amazing to watch it. But in case for some reason you've been under a rock, this is what that's looked like.

[00:01:41] Female News Correspondent

Big new changes for the childhood immunization schedule this dropped yesterday. The CDC is dropping the recommended 18 vaccinations down to 11 instead. This is a big change, and I think it's going to potentially cause a lot of confusion.

[00:01:53] Dr. Zeke Emanuel, Former Obama White House Health Officer

What they have done is shown a lot of uncertainty, doubt, fear. And so a lot of parents are going to be confused.

[00:02:01] Male Speaker

It's going to decrease vaccine confidence. More burden on providers, not what we need right now.

[00:02:07] Male Speaker

The American Academy of Pediatrics calls today's recommendations dangerous and says it can continue to give their own recommendations.

[00:02:14] Female News Correspondent

Changing the pediatric vaccine schedule based on no scientific input on safety risks and little transparency, will cause unnecessary fear for patients and doctors and will make Americans sicker.

[00:02:27] Female Speaker

These sorts of things sow distrust in vaccines. And the minute that you have a question and somebody says to you, okay, you can go and think about it, you are going to take that opportunity to go and potentially think about it and never come back.

[00:02:40] Del Bigtree

What I find interesting about this, and it's something that I talk about rarely, which is why did I get so focused on the vaccine issue at all? I mean, I had a perfectly great job at CBS as a producer, an Emmy winning producer of the daytime talk show *The Doctors*. But as I looked into this vaccine issue and of course, went on to make the documentary *VAXXED*, what was fascinating were all the issues around this, and especially because I grew up a progressive liberal, my parents marched in the 1960s for freedom of choice, to choose what university to have total freedom of speech. That's what it meant to me to be a liberal. So when I started looking at this issue and realizing this isn't really about health, this is about an authoritarian government having control over my body, the bodies of my children. Of course, we're in the middle of SB 277, a law that was going to take away anyone's right to an education. The taxpayer money that we were paying didn't matter. You had to be fully vaccinated based on what the pharmaceutical industry had sort of convinced the CDC was the best way forward. And that then separated us from what I think is the Founding Fathers declaration are, you know, mandate to have freedom in this nation. It flies in the face of that. And, you know, I often think back, you know, this really comes down to do we trust the American public to make decisions? That whole news montage shows you this is going to cause fear.

[00:04:09] Del Bigtree

This is one of the most dangerous things ever done. Meaning it's dangerous to give American people the right to choose to give them information and let them decide what they want to do with their children and their bodies. And remember, you know, we are somewhat copying the Denmark schedule at a chicken pox, but what we didn't copy is Denmark trusts its people to make the right decision, and they allowed them the right to choose vaccinations. So does Germany, these other nations. So why is it that the one nation that, you know yells the loudest is supposed to be the beacon of freedom for the entire world is one of the few nations that forces through authoritarian mandate this product in order to walk through life. It's really shocking. And I think about our founding fathers because certainly this was a question are the American, is our population too stupid to make decisions for themselves? I mean, it really is the bedrock of freedom. If we're to be free, we have to allow everyone to be free. And certainly that was a hotly contested idea. Our founding fathers thought, should we only allow landowners to be the ones that vote? Because certainly that would mean they're probably better educated.

[00:05:23] Del Bigtree

They're educated enough to know how to, you know, raise money and buy a farm and run a farm. If we only have them vote for who's president, then we get an intelligent electorate that decides the future of this nation. But our founding fathers decided, you know what this is a nation of for and by all the people we're going to trust those that maybe don't even have the high school education. I mean, imagine, I mean, of all the times if we think if we're worried that the people, our constituents and our neighbors here in America, you know, lack the ability to vote for a good president. Can you imagine what it was like back when a high school and college education were rare? Can you imagine what they were surrounded by there? And even then they looked at the peasants and said they should vote too. So at the heart of this, as I watched these news agencies fight for how dangerous it is to give people the right to choose, I think what they're really fighting for is the right to have an authoritarian government destroy our constitutional rights. To watch all of mainstream news say we stand for authoritarianism, is what I think is happening here. If you want to question me on that. Let's just talk about this term anti-vaxxer.

[00:06:36] Del Bigtree

If you look at anti-vaxxer in Webster's dictionary, it's a person who opposes the use of vaccines or regulations mandating vaccination. That's actually a change that's been made over time, but that's it. If you simply want to delay one vaccine or skip one vaccine, you are an anti-vaxxer. You realize what I think? That they're making a huge mistake here, because what they're doing is labeling millions and millions of Americans that simply believe they had the right to choose a pejorative like anti-vaxxer, essentially. My understanding is somewhere between 8 or 9 out of ten people that are currently eligible for the Covid vaccine in America aren't getting their booster. That means that 80 to 90% of those that once believed in the Covid vaccine program are now opting for their right to choose. Are they anti-vaxxers? If there's a mandate, should they have to take it? Do they not want to live in a nation where they get the right to choose whether they think a ninth or 10th booster shot makes any sense whatsoever. Certainly I believe if you ask them and they're not getting that 10th booster, they might say things like, geez, if I'm not immune for this thing by now, then make a better product. But I wouldn't be happy being mandated with this vaccine. And I think more and more Americans are moving in that direction saying I should have the right to choose.

[00:08:10] Del Bigtree

So in the end, this issue is to me only just partly about health. This is the tip of the spear when we talk about freedom. Because if you do not control your own body, if you do not control the bodies of your children as parents, then you are not a free citizen. There's no way to say that you are. In fact, I've said over and over again you have about the same exact rights as a farm animal. And that is apparently what most of mainstream news But believes that that should be your, you know, way of being. And let's be clear, what risk is it for you to delay a vaccine or not take a vaccine? Are we going to mandate things for your own good, or is it for the good of people? I mean, I think that most of the time we should only think about, are we hurting someone else? And in this case, now that so many vaccines are proving to not stop transmission, the argument that you're protecting your neighbor is now dead. In fact, the definition of a vaccine has changed. It no longer protects your neighbor. It just protects you. Which means it should be my choice. So as we watch this debate roll out, as we watch Robert Kennedy Jr and the support of Donald Trump and all of the great doctors that are around Robert Kennedy Jr fighting for your freedom, you should ask yourself about the news agencies that are fighting for authoritarianism.

[00:09:37] Del Bigtree

And remember, even if you're a liberal, one of the great liberal voices had this to say. We are not afraid to entrust the American people with unpleasant facts, foreign ideas, alien philosophies and competitive values. For a nation that is afraid to let its people judge the truth and falsehood in an open market as a nation that is afraid of its people. John F Kennedy. We've allowed a corporate infrastructure to take control of decisions in our government, and not only have they pushed for a mandate that other nations do not have. Nations that we consider to be even more authoritarian than our own. On top of that, once they got us to be mandated to take these products, then they said, and we don't want to have any liability whatsoever. So if it injures you, sorry, you're out of luck. You want to talk about the most ridiculous system ever built in a nation that says it's supposed to be free. That's it. And it's crumbling down, and it's going to continue to crumble, because I believe human beings ultimately are intelligent and we should trust our neighbors if we get back to that place. We'll get back to being the shining beacon of light and freedom and hope and faith that makes this nation great.

[00:11:03] Del Bigtree

We're going to talk more about this. We're going to talk about your right to choose what blood that you know, if you need an infusion, should you get to choose where your blood comes from, or should that also be a right that's taken away from you? I'm going to be talking about that. But first, it's time for the Jaxen report. You know, we were just sitting Jefferey in the meeting yesterday talking about this show with the team. And, you know, what would it mean to say that, you know, mission accomplished. I think about when we started this out, you know, after VAXXED, we started Informed Consent Action Network. We started The HighWire. You came in, we started reporting on this, and it really came down to the conversation we have around the nation. It would be once we were back to freedom, once we have got freedom of choice on all vaccinations and frankly, liability is back on the manufacturer. Once we restored the free market forces that make products great and give American people that right to choose that they were born with, then in many ways I think we could move on to greener pastures in other conversations. And in some ways, Jefferey it feels like we're getting really close to that moment.

[00:12:22] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

Yeah. And there's still there is still some unanswered questions. Um, you know, during the Covid response, which is roughly since vaccines started going into arms roughly six years ago in the United States with the Covid shot, we still really don't know how many people have been harmed by that shot. We hear a lot of studies saying it's saved the world and the pandemic. It ended it because we had the Covid shot, and we've shown studies that refuted that point. But there's not a lot of studies showing how many people were hurt by this coerced, mandated shot. And what we have to do is we have to rely on a reporting system. There's vaccine adverse event reporting system that is really not a robust reporting system, although we're told it is, but we know it's not. So we look at headlines like this with interest. This is a Rasmussen report, a poll of telephone poll where people recall this is human intelligence. This is how people talk. They get a telephone call. And here's the headline millions experienced Covid 19 vaccine side effects. And it says "more than a third of Americans who were vaccinated against Covid 19 say they had side effects from the shot, and nearly half suspect the vaccines killed many patients." It goes on to get a little more fine tuned here, it says. "However, 26% say that minor side effects and 10% reported major side effects from the vaccine." Okay, now stay with me here. This is kind of a back of a napkin calculation, but we have to have approximations here because there's not really any great studies showing this.

[00:13:46] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

We just keep hearing VAERS is great. It catches everything. It's the best in the world. Go back to you know, the Covid pandemic is over. You can go back to your normal lives, but there's still a lot of people suffering from this Covid shot. We know this. And so let's take some really broad approximations from this Rasmussen report and overlay it onto theirs and see if we can figure something out. So in this Rasmussen report, they called almost 1300 people. And of those adults that answered the phone, about 68% of them said they had been vaccinated during the Covid pandemic with the Covid vaccine. So at the time of this report, there's about 258 million adults in the US of this Rasmussen Reports. So about 68% of that, it's about 175.4 75.4 million. So again, total approximations here. People are going to tear this apart. But that's fine because that's all we have. Um, now let's go to Vars. So we have uh, of that 175.4 million adults who received a Covid shot, we go to Vars. How many of them really reported what would be considered a serious side effect? Well, a serious side effect, as you can see from open bars here, would probably be hospitalizations. You get the shot, you find yourself in the hospital for an extended stay, not knowing what the heck just happened. That would probably be a serious side effect.

[00:15:03] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

So let's choose that 221,872. So here we go. Stay with me. Here you take that 175.4 million adults who receive that Covid vaccine. And according to Rasmussen, according to those phone calls. And you take those those hospitalizations from Vaers, 221, 872, and you get about 0.13% of those people ended up with a serious side effect, according to Vaers reporting. Now this is all to see how robust is verus how much is verus catching? Is it catching everything. So you have 0.13%. Well Rasmussen poll because we're going to compare it to that with these random telephone calls. Said 10% of people said that they had a serious reaction. So you take 10%. You divide that by that 0.13%. Theirs is underreporting at a rate of about 77 times when it comes to major side effects. And the caveat to this, again, this is very broad strokes on these calculations. But the caveat to this is those hospitalizations that we chose for Vaers, that was children and adults. So we actually gave theirs some help on this one. We over over calculated how many reports were coming in. And even by doing that 77 times underreporting. And remember, Harvard Pilgrim did the study and they said less than 1% is what probably was catching before Covid uh, of the of the vaccine schedule, the flu shot, the childhood vaccine schedule. So again anytime we do these calculations, there's never comes in over reporting. In fact, it comes in massively underreporting in this case 77 times.

[00:16:42] Del Bigtree

You know it's interesting because I mean, you know, I remember when you were bringing this up and we were looking at, you know, where is Vaers at? Uh, it's under 1% reported if we're going to go with what Rasmussen says there, which is super interesting. What's fascinating about that article is, you know, remember we were reporting somewhere between 40 and 50%, depending on the poll. We're saying they knew someone that they thought had died from the Covid vaccine. But to add in that one third think they're injured and 10% think that they've gotten a serious injury from it. That is a massive, massive number. But I remember when we won the V-safe data and, you know, this is the data. This was the app that the CDC created to track any sort of vaccine injury. And we built the portal in which and people can still go check this out at icon. Org. But if you pull up that portal and you can search it any way you want to, what we ended up seeing with those numbers was that it was about, I believe it was like seven, 7.5% somewhere in that zone that were having serious adverse events and up to 30% were visiting doctors or missing school or, you know, in some way it was altering their life. And that actually goes really closely with what Rasmussen is reporting here. And again, data that we only have Jefferey because of Aaron Siri and, and millions of dollars spent to both get to the Pfizer data, which the FDA wanted to hide for 75 years, would be in hiding for 75 years if it weren't for the work that ICAN does.

[00:18:22] Del Bigtree

The Moderna data is available to the public because of the work that we do here, but that v-safe data, which anyone can read through and go through our dashboard, which is super interesting. You can see that too. But again, what we're seeing is what has been reported. Harvard has said in in their experience when they were tasked, I think they paid or paid \$1 million to investigate it. Vaers is capturing less than 1% of the total amount of injuries. There's that study that does that. And so, you know, and this matters. This matters when you're going to rush a totally experimental product onto all the population and have your president of the United States say, everyone's going to have to get it, or they can't go to work, or they can't go to school or they can't get on a plane, it matters that you're one major capture system is so flawed and that you want to hide your v-safe system, and you want to hide your Pfizer data and your Moderna data. This is what I think America is waking up to and why we're seeing such change. You know, as we're moving along now.

[00:19:20] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

Right. And so we just went over adults. Let's talk about children. So here's a headline, um, somewhat recently out of the Atlantic. Yes. Some children may have died from Covid shots. Well, we've come a long way since. Safe and effective. Or, you know, anti-vaxxers have a crazy conspiracy that the Covid shots may kill people. We're actually getting these headlines that from legacy media outlets that they're admitting this. And this brings us to a study out of Spain. And this study found something kind of accidentally, they were looking at the "safety and the effectiveness of the Covid mRNA vaccines in children ages 6 to 11." And they used the entire population database of Madrid's health system. And they looked at it really during the hot years of the pandemic, around May 2021 to December 2022. So a lot of deaths going on there. And remember, they rush these vaccines into children's arms. There is typically unanimous voting from Vrbpac from ACIP to vote these in despite massive problems, despite the fact that children were not dropping like flies from Covid. So this study looks at that time period. It looks at a lot of children. And what did they find? No deaths attributable to Covid 19 occurred. Now let's look at the numbers because there are some questions here I'm sure people are watching going, well this is vaccinated study. So isn't that proving that you could say that. But let's look at the image here from the study. This is the table vaccinated 183,273. The controls. So they chose five times the controls for the of basically unvaccinated compared to vaccinated for 6 to 11 year old and 12 to 17 year old.

[00:20:55] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

So you add up those controls. It's about 2.7 million children. And if you go into this study, it says during the follow up period, a certain percentage of those children in those controls received one vaccination. And so bottom line is about one almost 1.4 million children in this study. And they were monitoring them for hospitalization for mortality. No children died. You would think if they hit the dart board, you know, they threw a dart like that with that many children. If this if Covid was as dangerous as we were told, every child, every child had to get this shot. Every infant had to get this shot. In fact, the West Coast Alliance and East Coast Alliance are still making their own recommendations to put them in the arms of infants as we speak right now. You think that they would have captured a death or two in this just by a blind chance, and they did not. And so that brings us kind of to the next question. We talk about the reporting systems, but there's people that are injured from this. There's children that are injured from this myocarditis. And the list goes on. You can look. And what are we doing here in the United States? Well, we have the countermeasures injury compensation program. It pays out almost nothing to people that have been injured. It was never meant for a pandemic. It was a reporting system and compensation system never meant for that.

[00:22:09] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

And we have we have basically trying to move that into something else to get compensation. But in the UK, as of last week, they're putting forward bills in their parliament to try and get compensation for their citizens. Here's one of them Covid 19 vaccine damage payments bill. And you go into and read about this. It says a bill to place a duty on the secretary of state to make provisions about financial assistance to persons who have suffered a disablement following vaccination against Covid 19, and to the next of kin of persons who have died shortly after vaccination. So that's what the UK is doing. What's the US doing? And I want to bring people to a time really quickly because there's a lot of polarization out there. Um, with RFK Jr at the head of HHS, there's a lot of people thinking the vaccination schedule should have stayed the way it was. It should have continued to be mandated without giving informed consent and choice to parents and children. So I want to talk about both camps. We have the camp of informed consent. We have the people that kind of want the old guard back. We'll call it now. I call it the dark ages of medicine now, because it seems like we're turning the page. And that's represented by people like Stanley Plotkin, Walter Orenstein. These are legacy researchers who laid the groundwork for the current vaccination program over decades of research and vaccine development that we have lived under until Kennedy took office. Well, they wrote a paper.

[00:23:26] Del Bigtree

Well before we even get to that. To be clear, if someone happens to be watching the show for the first time, our own Aaron Siri, the lawyer that you know, brings all of our cases, went represented us and had a deposition of Doctor Stanley Plotkin, who is considered to be the godfather of our vaccine program, is a nine hour deposition. You can find excerpts of that in the entire thing at Thehighwire.com. Uh, just, uh, you know, search for Plotkin deposition. But under that deposition, he admitted things like lack of safety testing and things like that. He was caught off guard, was not ready for it. And in our own FOIA requests, we found requests, the CDC and FDA, that Doctor Stanley Plotkin then reached out to Walter Ornstein and others that are the heads of the departments inside the United States of America and their health system, saying, I just had a very uncomfortable experience with a lawyer, essentially, and we have to start preparing ourselves for the argument they're making. I wasn't prepared. So very interesting. I think it sets up this article that you're about to describe.

[00:24:32] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

Absolutely. And so this article and just put it in context. This was in summer of 2024, July to be specific. Robert F Kennedy didn't drop out of the presidential race until a month later in August. So Kennedy was not at HHS. He wasn't talking about going to HHS. He wasn't really even talking about vaccines. In fact, I remember when he was running for president, he did an interview, a couple podcasts, and he said, you know what? I'm not talking about vaccines anymore because I'm running for president. There's there's also other issues that I want to talk about. So it wasn't really on the docket. And out of the woodwork comes Stanley Plotkin when Stanley Plotkin speaks, a lot of people in medicine listen. And he published this study in the New England Journal of Medicine, not a small journal. Talking about vaccine safety science piqued our interest. This is what he had to say about it. He said "the widespread vaccine hesitancy observed during the Covid 19 pandemic suggests that the public is no longer satisfied with traditional safety goal of simply detecting and quantifying the associated risks of a vaccine after a vaccine has been authorized for use. The public also wants public health authorities to mitigate and prevent rare but serious adverse reactions, which no longer seem rare when vaccines are given to millions or billions of people." Huh. It kind of sounds like the entire group of people that helped Kennedy ascend to his presidency and into office. Sounds like he's one of them. He's actually saying, because millions and billions of people are having these rare side effects, they they kind of add up and we need better safety science. And he goes on to say this. "Identifying the biologic mechanisms of adverse reactions, how and in whom they occur is critical for developing safer vaccines, preventing adverse reactions by expanding contraindications and equitably compensating vaccines for true adverse reactions." Kennedy himself couldn't say it any better.

[00:26:16] Del Bigtree

It's really amazing. I mean, I want to, I want to I want to really drill down on this because these things can fly. But bring up that last paragraph, you know, because this is what we're arguing. This is what mainstream keeps arguing. We have identified we know everything about the vaccine. You don't. Here's the Godfather vaccine saying, you know what we should do? We should be identifying the biological mechanisms of adverse reactions, meaning we know they exist. They have to be happening. You know, we study rare diseases. Why don't we study rare injuries from vaccines? Why aren't we giving it the same concern? Instead of gaslighting people and saying that this doesn't happen? And I want to go back to the original paragraph because I think it's critical as you fly through that sometimes it's like, oh, would that really mean? But however, the widespread vaccine hesitancy observed during the Covid 19 pandemic suggests that the public is no longer satisfied with the traditional safety goal of simply detecting and quantifying the associated risks. After a vaccine has been authorized for use. There should have been bolded when you read that. What they're saying is what we have proven. There are no placebo trials of any of the childhood vaccines that was totally acceptable back in the day by Stanley Plotkin, Walter Orenstein but now, as it turns out, because of Jefferey Jaxen and Robert Kennedy Jr and Del Bigtree and others like us saying, you know, why are we doing safety tests like we do on every other drug we take? I guess we're going to have to start doing those safety tests and figuring out before the vaccine is being injected and mandated on every child.

[00:27:46] Del Bigtree

And, you know, with Covid, every adult. So it's amazing. You're right. It's it's actually what good science is supposed to be in. That article also says the only reason we didn't do it is we didn't have the funding. I mean, you're talking about an industry that makes \$100 billion on the Covid vaccine, doesn't have the Funding to do proper safety tests like they do on every other drug. But now that we have someone at HHS, to your point, doing exactly what Doctor Stanley Plotkin would have done himself, he needs to be torn down. Oh my God, this guy's a danger and a menace to society because he's actually got Marty Makary saying, we're not going to approve any more vaccines without a placebo trial, and you're going to have to prove that the flu shot actually stops the flu that that season has to offer. And God forbid this be happening. This is why we're winning this argument, Jefferey. It's why we're going to continue to win, why I think it's going to affect elections from here to come. You know, until everyone gets on board with the fact that we all just want safety. And there's only one side that would prefer authoritarianism over safety.

[00:28:55] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

And, you know, behind closed doors, what this says is both sides are intellectually shaking hands. They're patting each other on the back. They're saying, we know what direction we're going and let's walk there together. This is what they're saying. This is a kumbaya moment. So let's let's talk about door.

[00:29:10] Del Bigtree

I will come out in public and shake hands. And Stanley Plotkin says, Bobby, thank God for you. Thank for you for getting the funding into what I wish we could have done all along.

[00:29:21] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

And for those people that are wringing their fists at Bobby Kennedy and what he's doing, they got bigger problems because it's not just the federal level and it's not just Bobby Kennedy. This is expanded to states. States rights, and states are now moving along in lockstep with the US government. One of those states is Florida. And take a look at what they're doing now.

[00:29:41] Female News Correspondent

New concerns about what's inside some of the most common baby formulas.

[00:29:45] Male News Correspondent

A warning for Florida families. The state's been testing baby formula, and some of the results are scathing.

[00:29:51] Male News Correspondent

According to the Florida Department of Health, the state tested 24 infant formulas and 16 of them contained at least one heavy metal above federal safety standards.

[00:30:01] Male News Correspondent

Mercury was found in all 16 of the samples that tested positive, with three formulas showing three or more undesirable results.

[00:30:09] Female News Correspondent

Casey DeSantis, backed by husband Governor Ron DeSantis alongside Florida's Surgeon General Joseph Ladapo, announcing test results Friday.

[00:30:19] Joseph Ladapo, Florida Surgeon General ,

Neurodevelopmental injury we're talking about injury to your kidneys, to your lungs, to your livers, to other pretty much every organ in your body.

[00:30:27] Male News Correspondent

The governor says this is just the beginning. That is part of their Exposing Food Toxins initiative, that they will be independently testing even more products in the near future.

[00:30:37] Ron DeSantis, Florida Governor (R)

We want people to be able to make the best decision for them, not necessarily what would be in the best decision of some manufacturer or something like that.

[00:30:48] Del Bigtree

You know, when I watch this Jefferey, I think about like, what is it? What was it like six surgeon generals or something wrote a letter against Robert Kennedy Jr. You know, and I'm like, oh, the same six that allowed poison toxic chemicals in our baby food. Never thought to test it for the United States of America like that. Like the same six that allowed arsenic in baby food, which is one of the things that are finding mercury, petroleum dyes in our, you know, in our Fruit Loops, those same six surgeon generals. I mean, it's amazing to see. It's so exciting to live in a nation that is starting to act like the nation you believed you lived in. Unfortunately, this is just Florida. Hopefully other states. But honestly, you know, our own federal government shouldn't be testing all of these things. Shouldn't this be a part of what it takes to get on the shelves? Was that not what the Food and Drug Administration was supposed to be doing all this time? Right?

[00:31:41] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

And what's powerful about this is you have Florida doing these studies for the entire country. So they're kind of doing what the FDA should have been doing. And so, so in light of that, in respect to that, we're going to give this information as they are. We're going to present this to the rest of the country that are watching. And you can see if you go to exposing food toxins, that's Florida's new website. And it's not just food. So you can see by this infographic they're looking at the food industry. They're looking at big pharma. They're looking at nutrition and chronic disease and mental health and substance abuse. So this is a first of many, many things that they're going to be going after essentially and trying to really investigate. So you look at the scorecard here and this is all the food with heavy metal baby food with heavy metal contamination. So you can see there in the red the M's, those are mercuries that are over the limit. You probably, you know, if you're looking to have your child, your infant not have mercury in this system, you're probably going to want to avoid these foods. Um.

[00:32:40] Del Bigtree

But it's just a small amount of mercury. I'm sure it's fine. You know, forget that you're taking it. You know, you're feeding this stuff two, three times a day. Don't worry about it. It's just mercury. It's the safe. It's the safe form of mercury.

[00:32:54] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

And as the Surgeon General, Ladapo said these these recommendations by the EPA, these these standards that are set are for adults. So when they're talking about this is over for adults, obviously children have different, um, different systems, much more delicate systems. So just keep that in mind. So let's continue now because it seems like everywhere you look now, people are really concerned with the toxins and the chemicals in food. Here's Daily Mail, here's an investigation they did you might want to pay attention to if you're drinking bottled water. Um, this is Daily Mail. Graphic reveals the "bottled waters with the most cancer causing forever chemicals." These are these PFAS chemicals. They do not degrade in the environment. They're around forever. And they give us this infographic here. We're going to go through these bottles individually. So point one part per million per per I'm sorry. Per trillion is what is recommended by most health professionals. Anything over that. You're probably not going to want to ingest that into your system. A lot of issues this causes cancers the big one. But there's a huge amount. So let's go into these bottles here. Topo Chico mineral water. That's a glass bottle, by the way. 3.9 parts per trillion. That's 39 times over the limit. Dasani 0.2 parts per trillion.

[00:34:06] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

Two times over the limit. Smartwater same thing two times over the limit. Aquafina again, two times over the limit. Then we get to Perrier sparkling water 1.7 parts per trillion, 17 times over the limit. Essentia alkaline water 0.2 parts per trillion, two times over the limit. Deer Park 1.21 parts per trillion 12 times over the limit. And then we have Fiji water uh, 0.05. So that comes under the limit. It's the only bottled water that came under the limit there. And so we Fiji water is the only one they tested. Not a lot of good odds there. If they're just grabbing water off the shelf and testing them with these things. So something to keep. We have baby food. We have bottled water. There's a lot of other things that can be tested. We know that the Environmental Working Group has done serial. I know moms across America has done a lot of other things as well. So it's important to to look at these to shape consumer behavior. If you don't want these kind of things in your body or your children's body, and this is what Florida is doing, any state can do this. Any state can do this testing. It's not very hard. It doesn't cost a lot of money.

[00:35:09] Del Bigtree

I want to point out just right now, Jefferey Jaxen, one of the great things that we do here in The HighWire is all you have to do is, you know, give us your email, which is right on the main page at The HighWire, and we send you the transcript to this entire show, every video, every article you want to. Oh, I didn't catch that. What bottles was it? You'll get the video, but you'll also get the actual documents themselves. There you just go scroll down the page where it says Brave Bold News. Just put in your email. We don't share it with anyone else. And then usually by Monday of every week you can get every single video, every single article, everything that we discussed. This is what, you know, sort of truth and transparency. This is our charter with The HighWire with like every other news agency to do the same thing. Don't just tell us what the experts said. Show us your evidence. We are showing you our math every single week. And that's also because I don't want people to have to go out and say, well, Del Bigtree said or Jefferey Jaxen said. I want to be able to read the article and frankly, the whole article, not just the excerpt that we took out. Go ahead and read the entire Stanley Plotkin letter slash study that he put together with Walter Orenstein. It's super interesting. And if you think we're cherry picking, then call it out. That's what transparency is all about. That's what news and information is actually supposed to be about. We call it the Highwire protocol, and we're asking every single network and news agency in the world to adhere to the same principles. Show us your work. If someone says that there's a placebo trial, if you say it, prove it. Where is it? Post it, print it. That's what we're doing on the Highwire.

[00:36:43] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

I want to talk about a conversation that's a little forward looking, but it's coming quick. We've been talking about this before, but this is this rapid rollout of artificial intelligence. And just to give context, we came out of the Covid pandemic and AI was dropped in our lap. Coincidence? Who knows, maybe history will show that, but it's dropped in her lap and all of a sudden it's aggressively accelerating into every aspect of our life. And we as citizens are going around looking around, going, wait a minute, this thing is moving really fast. We got to have some big conversations here. One of them is about jobs, employment and universal basic income. And an interesting point. Yuval Harari, he is an historian. He's also, um, really likes the WEF. He had he had a point that I wanted I want to really spotlight here, take a listen.

[00:37:30] Del Bigtree

Okay.

[00:37:31] Yuval Harari, Professor in the Department of History at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israeli Medievalist and Military Historian

Now you can think about the AI revolution as simply a wave of immigration of millions and billions of AI immigrants that will take people's jobs that have very different cultural ideas and that might try to gain some kind of political power. And these AI immigrants, these digital immigrants, they don't need visas. They don't cross the sea in some rickety boats in the middle of the night. They come at the speed of light. And I look, for instance, at far right parties in Europe. And they talk so much about the human immigrants, sometimes with justification, sometimes without justification. They talk. They don't talk almost at all about the wave of digital immigrants that is coming into Europe. And I think they should be much if they care about the sovereignty of their country, if they care about the economic and cultural future of their country, they should be far more worried about the digital immigrants than about the human immigrants.

[00:38:45] Del Bigtree

I mean, it's a good point, right? Like, focus on the real issue. And it's a trippy thought, right? That for all the immigration and what it can do to jobs, what if it's millions of immigrants that I think you could argue you have the intelligence of a Nobel laureate and are going to work for less than minimum wage. Uh, and yet we're just all looking and complaining about other issues. And this one is, as he says, moving at light speed right now.

[00:39:15] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

Absolutely. And I want to read something that puts a fine point on it. In fact, I read this and it really stopped me in my place. So this is an article called "The Great Decoupling." Uh, the title is "White Collar Displacement Ignites 2026 UBI Firestorm." It says the "United States enters 2026 the long predicted AI revolution has transitioned from a Silicon Valley slogan to a disruptive economic reality. For decades, automation was a specter haunting factory floors and warehouses. But the last 18 months have seen a dramatic shift towards the white collar cliff. This displacement has catapulted universal basic income from the fringe libertarian experiment to the center of the 2026 political agenda." It goes on to say "the significance of this moment cannot be overstated. Unlike previous waves of automation that replaced physical tasks, the current 'Agentic era' of AI targets the core of the middle class professional identity, cognitive reasoning and project management, and specialized knowledge. As corporate earnings reach record highs due to AI driven operational efficiency, while entry level professional hiring has plummeted by nearly 40% in some sectors, the debate over who owns the wealth generated by artificial intelligence has become the defining issue of the year." So, as we are given AI to transcribe our zoom calls and make some better meme images with Google, Gemini corporations are using it for to vastly accelerate their efficiency and thus take people out of the job market.

[00:40:38] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

So the question is, are we stumbling into a universal basic income? And we have the state of the Union address that's going to be on February 24th by President Trump. And a lot of people are saying are looking forward to that and saying, is there going to be conversation about an AI dividend or something that's going to be given to the public, some kind of stipend or something because of this job displacement? And that would really be the first specter of this universal basic income we're seeing. And again, I'm going to point to Florida here because we have a lot of governors and people that are standing up in politics and wanting a different change here. This is governor DeSantis in Florida. He says he took the X. He said, "why would people want to allow the human experience to be displaced by computers as a creation of man? AI will not be divorced from the flaws of human nature. Indeed, it is more likely to magnify those flaws. It is dangerous."

[00:41:30] Del Bigtree

This issue, I think, is going to become, you know, more and more a major topic on The HighWire because it's concerning me. I have a son that's 17 years old. He's a junior in high school. My daughter is 11 years old, and I'm trying to imagine what the future looks like for them. I'm trying to imagine, am I in the same position to guide my children forward for their careers and their ideas of what they, who and what they want to be? Am I in the same position my parents were at? Because, you know, my son talks about, you know, perhaps being a lawyer and I am. And what freaks me out is really I imagine they'll still be lawyers standing on floors of courtrooms, at least for, you know, the near future. But what about all the researchers? What about all the entry level jobs coming in, paralegals, or all the work that you could do? You know, whether it's an internship, why is any lawyer going to bring on an intern to research other cases? Can you do some case studies on me when AI is going to do that better than anyone can imagine? You know, why is anyone? How much of medicine is going to get wiped out if your child wants to be a doctor. If the doctors mostly have become, you know, essentially agents for the pharmaceutical industry, almost, you know, how much of the medical profession is just here's the drug for that problem, here's the drug for your reaction to that drug for that problem. Oh, you have another problem. Here's the three drugs. And we know that's what's going on.

[00:42:56] Del Bigtree

Ai can do that way better. It certainly as well as any doctor that job's gone. So to your point, all of these what used to be what you wanted your child to go to school for, they seem to be the ones those careers are almost in the firing line. More than a plumber, more than an auto mechanic. At the moment. I know Ellen's working on some robots to do that stuff. I don't know how far down the line, but I'm in a quandary right now on you know, what is it I want my child to be good at? Right now I'm like, you just better be good at surviving. Being creative, being able to think outside the box to bob and weave because your world is about to be decimated. And then you think about universal basic income. Let's just take away the greatest motivating factor. All of us had that got us off of our bed, whether it was in college or after college or going out to get a job. And that's survival. You know, survival is what kept me alive in New York when I was, you know, trying to get that job waiting tables while I was pursuing a career in the arts. Simply having to survive if that was taken away. How many people lose, really? The will to fight or live? How much of this society will be what? Smoking weed and playing video games. And I guess the great overlords will keep voting for them to get a raise to do that. More UBI for the people that aren't working. I don't buy it.

[00:44:24] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

Yeah. And what's it replaced by? That's the question. What's replaced. What replaces that drive if in the monetary system is not perfect? I think you and I both agree on that. But what replaces that drive? Uh, is it creativity? Is it some type of blissful life, or is it more of a dependence on who's giving out the UBI, which is most likely going to be the government? And that's a recipe for disaster, as we've seen throughout history?

[00:44:46] Del Bigtree

Yeah. Well, Jefferey, I appreciate the reporting. Fantastic work. Um, again, I think this is going to be a super interesting year. So many things are moving really, really fast. Uh, and I love that you're just, you know, keeping it all. I, you know, I in front of us. Let's not worry, you know? Yeah. Immigration. But what if immigration is coming? Light speed and it's coming after all of our jobs in a different form. We've got to keep our eyes on all that great reporting. I look forward to talking to you next week.

[00:45:14] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

Alright. Thank you.

[00:45:16] Del Bigtree

Alright, well, look, I say it at the top of the show. There are no sponsors for this show. You are our sponsors. This is an experiment in media. You know, um, much of my team, my executive producer came over from CBS, where I worked on the daytime talk show The Doctors. Our director of An Inconvenient Study came over from the doctors. We all had a dream. Imagine if what we were reporting, we could do something about it instead of just complaining about it. That's what this is. The Informed Consent Action Network doesn't just report to you. We get involved. We fight in courtrooms for places where we see an issue. We believe in your freedom. I'm not just saying I am complaining about the desire for authoritarianism by mainstream media. We are doing something about it. We funded the lawsuit that brought finally, over years of fighting freedom to Mississippi and the right to choose. They can opt out based on a religious exemption in Mississippi, something that had been taken from them in the 1970s. We are deep in that fight now. In West Virginia. We won the case. We won against all the lawyers there. But of course forma and all the funding and God knows who's behind the 20 lawyers on the other side of the aisle are marching this straight in to, um, you know, to, to appeal. And we're in an appellate court now.

[00:46:42] Del Bigtree

So the fight continues. And in order for us to stand in that courtroom, remember, there's no money to be won here. These are not court cases that your average lawyer would take up. And frankly, no one thought anyone would fight for you, because who's going to fight a lawsuit that doesn't pay us any money? Who could spend millions of dollars fighting for freedom? Uh, you know, where's that money going to come from? Well, that's what you've made possible. That is what the The HighWire is doing. That is what Aaron Siri is able to do. One of the greatest attorneys in the history of our Constitution in this nation is fighting for us through ICAN. We have the Pfizer data, all of the Pfizer data. Yes. We're America's public health watchdog. We have the Pfizer data, the book Pfizer Papers by Naomi Wolf was only possible because of you. The Moderna data and knowing how many injuries, how many died for real, not just have to take their word for it happened because of you. The v-safe data and the the dashboard that you can, you know, look through and figure out what their own app captured as far as injury on the Covid vaccine, we were informing you of how safe that was in real time because your donations. And so I just want to ask you, the beginning of this year, why don't you be able to look back? It's like an investment instead of buying a little bitcoin today or some ETH.

[00:48:05] Del Bigtree

Why don't you invest in The HighWire and ICAN and the work that we do here? God knows your funding papers, your funding cable networks for them to lie to you and fight for authoritarianism. Don't you want someone fighting for your freedom and talking about every week? Just go to Thehighwire.com. Go to the top of the page, donate to ICAN. We would love it if you would become a recurring donor, that just means this is how much? \$26 a month. You may maybe skip a lunch. Maybe. Intermittent fast once a week or once a month and decide to do something about your health in many different ways. But that recurring donation we're asking for \$26 for 2026 to everybody that is a sponsor and donate. Donate to ICAN. I want to thank you for making all that we've achieved here possible. You don't even know everything we're involved in. We can't even talk about all of it. And the lawsuits that are ongoing 90 as we speak. Just we'll make it super easy. Just type in seven, two zero, two two on your phone. Text us 72022. Type in the word donate and we will send you. You know, I'm going to respond to you and send you a link so that you can, uh, get involved with donating to this amazing work that we're doing here in Jefferey Jaxen and Aaron Siri, you get to tap yourself on the shoulder and say, I did that every time we have a legal win.

[00:49:23] Del Bigtree

Alright. Um, also, I want to just I want to point out that, you know, our we have a great store with all sorts of great t shirts for The HighWire. How you Highwire. I mean, I run into people in airports that are wearing The HighWire shirt. And by the way, if you're wearing a Highwire shirt in an airport, I will come up to you and say hi. If you're wearing a hat, I will come up to you and say hi. If you knew how much I was traveling, the odds that I'm going to meet you are better than you think. Uh, but I want to point out this is one of the favorites. It's one of my favorites. While AI is now studying everything you're doing, probably listening to you through your phone, even when you think it's on silent or you've got an airplane mode. Who knows? This is a Faraday bag, and it's a great gift to even start a conversation starter. So you can just go to our website and order. Faraday bag fits all of the different cell phone sizes. You stick it in there, especially if you have a conversation that you really want to be private, but also block all those emfs keep that out of your life.

[00:50:21] Del Bigtree

There's so many great things about this. It's a top seller and you can get it right now at our store. Okay. Um, several years ago, in the middle of I actually was like April of 2021, I had a sort of surprising health scare. I was running all over talking about Covid, talking about these issues, and I thought I caught Covid. I was really weak. I was having trouble walking across the room. Luckily, some friends of mine, you know, sort of forced me to go to a heart doctor. Um, I didn't end up having a heart issue, but that heart doctor, through blood tests, discovered that my hemoglobin was down to 4.8. They said to me, I got an emergency call in the morning. It was a Thursday morning. I was supposed to be heading to the show in about an hour. I was not feeling very good, but I'm a guy that's like, the show must go on. I'm going to be there. And this doctor said, you aren't going to any show. You need to get to an ER right away. You need emergency blood transfusions. Your hemoglobin should be somewhere between 13 and 17. You're at 4.8. Mandatory emergency infusion start if you're below. I think it was seven, um, at the time.

[00:51:31] Del Bigtree

So I was at 4.8. It was a serious emergency. Um, and I had an issue I didn't want, um, blood from people that had been vaccinated with the Covid vaccine. I don't want that spike protein in my body. That man made manipulated product that was never properly tested. Don't want it, don't need it. Even though I was like, you know, really on the verge of death. Luckily, a friend of mine called, um, the the Blood Bank here in Austin, and there was only I think it was seven total units. I'm being negative, which I guess is pretty rare. So in all of Austin, only about seven units, and they don't track whether or not there was a Covid vaccine. Luckily, the person that blood bank made some calls and found one by the course of the day. Meanwhile, I was saying, well, look. I know people that have negative blood. My wife is o-negative. I could get her blood. And here in Austin, Texas, they said, we can't process it fast enough. It would take ten days to two weeks to process your wife's blood. I called a friend who has a clinic in, um, down in Mexico and Cancun. I said, are they testing blood there? Do they know whether there's a Covid vaccine? He said, let me look into it. As it turns out, they were testing for it.

[00:52:49] Del Bigtree

Always amazing when they're more thorough than we are in the United States of America. And he said, and we can turn your wife's blood around in probably under four hours. So I flew to Mexico. My wife went with me, and I went down and started getting transfusions in Mexico. Oh, a great headline. Top anti-vaxxers unhinged quest for unvaccinated blood leads into Mexico. Del. Look at this. Del desperately needed transfusion. But first he had to track down the blood of a donor who hadn't been vaccinated, and his doctor friend in Mexico was ready to oblige. So it made headlines. It's weird the things that make headlines, but look at that. I mean, that dude right there didn't know he was dying. Totally green, no oxygen moving through my blood. I think that's only for transfusions. I needed ten, about four in. I was feeling a heck of a lot better. But this is an issue. Should I have the right to have blood from my wife and people that I know? Should it take? Why is it that concierge medicine is much more available in Mexico than it is here in the United States of America? These are questions that are being asked right now, and they're very important for all of us, whether it's about a vaccine issue or some other blood issue may have. This is a recent hearing on a law in Texas. Take a look at this.

[00:54:09] Liz James, Founder and President, BlessedByHisBlood.com

What is not something most people think about until they need it. And yet 1 in 70 people will require a transfusion each year. So this is no small thing, especially if you have a chronic disease like these girls have, or a condition that requires transfusions regularly.

[00:54:24] Joanne Shofner, Texas House Representative (District 11)

In situations where someone has a rare blood type or particular blood disease, often it can be difficult to find high quality blood that may be necessary for them to receive a transfusion.

[00:54:35] Tanya Lair, PA-C, Mother of 2 Adopted Children with Rare Blood Disorder

My daughter sitting here next to me, were born with a rare blood disorder called beta thalassemia major. It's a disease where they do not make any blood of their own, and they require transfusions every month for the rest of their lives.

[00:54:45] Faith Lair, Born with Rare Blood Disorder

I was born in China with a disease called thalassemia. I had to live in an orphanage until my parents could come and bring me home. When I first got home, I was really, really sick. Every two weeks I had to go to the hospital to get blood. I still felt awful. But then we found some incredible donors who matched me exactly. I started getting blood. I, I started getting super fresh blood after I started getting blood from those wonderful people. Everything got better.

[00:55:12] Meili Lair, Born with Rare Blood Disorder

I was adopted from China when I was three years old. Sadly, when I got adopted, I was very sick because the orphanage I was in couldn't take me to go get blood. I was adopted after my sister. When I got my first transfusion, they found out I have heart blood to match than my sister. One time they gave me bad blood. That didn't match exactly and I had a bad reaction. I couldn't breathe and I had bumps all over me. After that happened, my mom told the doctors I was going to get special blood like my sister. It was hard to find my special blood, but my mom finally found enough and after that I stopped getting sick all the time.

[00:55:45] Joanne Shofner, Texas House Representative (District 11)

To direct donation is the collection of blood with the intention of it going to a specific individual. Unfortunately, many hospitals and blood banks are refusing to fulfill physician prescribed and ordered autologous and direct donations, despite the fact that they are legal, safe and have a long history of prior use.

[00:56:08] Tanya Lair, PA-C, Mother of 2 Adopted Children with Rare Blood Disorder

Two years ago, our lives got turned upside down. Carter Bloodcare called me out of the blue and told me that our donors could no longer donate blood to our girls anymore, even though for the past ten years they had agreed to let us do that. Almost immediately after their first transfusion with anonymous blood, their health rapidly began to decline.

[00:56:25] Faith Lair, Born with Rare Blood Disorder

It was so awful. I slept all summer long. I had itches. I itched all the time. I had terrible sores in my mouth, and I even told my mom I couldn't live like this anymore. Which made my mom cry every day.

[00:56:37] Meili Lair, Born with Rare Blood Disorder

When they took our donors away two years ago, I got super scared. They've got super sick and I just was too tired to do anything. All I wanted to do was sleep. I prayed and prayed every day that we would get our special blood again.

[00:56:51] Liz James, Founder and President, BlessedByHisBlood.com

There's a growing number of situations where entities within the blood donation industry have made the business financial decision to override the doctor's order for the directed donor blood, effectively keeping the doctor from practicing medicine in the best interest of their patient.

[00:57:05] Faith Lair, Born with Rare Blood Disorder

We had to change blood banks, hospitals and doctors, which was a little scary, but I got my special butt back. Now I feel great.

[00:57:12] Tanya Lair, PA-C, Mother of 2 Adopted Children with Rare Blood Disorder

For the past 18 months, the girls have gotten their direct donors back and they've absolutely thrived. It's mind blowing to see the positive changes in them compared to 2023.

[00:57:21] Faith Lair, Born with Rare Blood Disorder

I'm in the junior high. I play volleyball and basketball. I'm a cheerleader and I have enough energy to run track this year. Now I'm at my school. Would ever know I have thalassemia. I'm so happy and I feel like a normal kid now.

[00:57:34] Meili Lair, Born with Rare Blood Disorder

I love gymnastics, but probably the best part about me getting my special blood back is having the energy to annoy my family with my constant giggling and goofiness. I also talk a lot. The worst part about not getting my special blood was seeing my mom cry and worry so much. I don't ever want to go through that again.

[00:57:55] Del Bigtree

Well, obviously a very important hearing. I am joined now. It's my honor and pleasure to be joined by Liz James, who is the founder and president of Blessed by His Blood and Tanya Lehr, the mother of the two beautiful kids that we saw there. Tanya. Liz, thank you for joining me.

[00:58:12] Liz James, Founder and President, BlessedByHisBlood.com

Thank you for having us.

[00:58:13] Del Bigtree

Liz. Um, you know, I think about back in the movies, car accident, you know, television shows, you people would be like, oh, you know, find neighbors and friends that had the right blood type so that you could donate blood and help the person out. Uh, the system seems to have changed. But tell me a little bit about before we get started. Um, your your company blessed by his blood. What? What is it?

[00:58:38] Liz James, Founder and President, BlessedByHisBlood.com

So we are we. And first of all, thank you for having us today. We are 100% not for profit cooperative. Meaning we there are no there's nobody that gets a salary or or any benefit from this other than we know we're doing the right thing.

[00:58:52] Del Bigtree

Okay.

[00:58:53] Liz James, Founder and President, BlessedByHisBlood.com

And what we do is we match donors and recipients for people who have chosen to abstain from mRNA technology. Um, and we are a nationwide.

[00:59:03] Del Bigtree

So nationwide if you want. If you need a blood transfusion, you match up people that have the same blood type. Is that essentially.

[00:59:09] Liz James, Founder and President, BlessedByHisBlood.com

Correct? We work within our cooperative membership and we're a faith based. Although you don't have to be of Christian faith to be a member. Um, but we are modeled after John 15:13, which is no man has greater love than to give his life for his friend. And we jokingly say, you're not giving your life, you're just giving a pint of blood and a couple hours of your time. And we've just had some really beautiful stories, um, come out in the last two and a half years since we've since we've been doing this.

[00:59:42] Del Bigtree

You know, I never would have thought I would need a, you know, a company like yours or a nonprofit like yours. Until, you know, I went through the health crisis that I did. Tanya, what was this debate that's taking place about?

[00:59:55] Tanya Lair, PA-C, Mother of 2 Adopted Children with Rare Blood Disorder

So basically, when we adopted our two daughters, we found directed donors who had been donating blood for our girls for ten years and there was zero issues. The girls were thriving. They were healthy. They were doing great. They were actually healthier than other patients who had the same blood disorder in the DFW area because of what we were doing and without reason. Suddenly one day they called me up and said, hey, sorry, your direct donors can no longer donate blood for your daughters. There was no explanation as to why. No, nothing. Just your cutoff. End of story. And so this bill that we were created that Liz actually went to, we hadn't even met each other. I didn't even know there was a bill. Um, she was fighting, um, for this, right, to, um, you know, something that we've had in this country since 1980? Um, she was fighting for this bill and for all people who need chronic transfusions. Or if anyone needs a transfusion from, you know, an operation or if they have cancer or anything, that they would be allowed to choose their donor, which we have been doing since the 80s in this country.

[01:00:59] Del Bigtree

Are there states where, you know, like here in Texas? What are you actually fighting for?

[01:01:04] Liz James, Founder and President, BlessedByHisBlood.com

It's really not a state by state problem. It's a it it tends to be a blood center by blood center problem. And what we have is, is even a corporate entity making medical decisions in a blanket way.

[01:01:20] Del Bigtree

And so one size fits all, which is what I'm completely against.

[01:01:23] Liz James, Founder and President, BlessedByHisBlood.com

This is this is exactly right. And not just that, but taking away the right for the doctor to practice medicine as they best see fit, as well as the patient's own rights. I mean, if you're if you're saying you have you do not have the right to choose and you're stuck between a rock and a hard place, the hard place and the rock being, if you don't receive a transfusion, you will die. That's that is quite a conundrum. And and it's also not not a great time to have to make that decision. I mean, you're under stress already, and one of the one of the things that that doctors should be doing and any anybody in healthcare should be doing is creating less stress in a patient, not more stress. If you're in a car wreck and you're bleeding out, you're going to get whatever you're going to get. You know, unless you have something on that says you're Jehovah's Witness or something like that, which the Jehovah's Witness. Um, and there's a, there's a, there's a protocol called the Jehovah's Witness protocol. But if you're Jehovah's Witness.

[01:02:31] Del Bigtree

I'm just. Yeah. What is that protocol? I'm curious. How do they survive a situation like that?

[01:02:35] Liz James, Founder and President, BlessedByHisBlood.com

Well, in some cases they don't. But but they're they absolutely do not take tissue or blood.

[01:02:41] Del Bigtree

Okay.

[01:02:41] Liz James, Founder and President, BlessedByHisBlood.com

But as anybody else in the public can also opt into the Jehovah's Witness protocol. And the Jehovah's Witness protocol is, is a little bit different depending on the situation is because the question is why do you need blood? So for somebody like Faith or Malay who don't make red blood cells, it's not it would not behoove them to receive an erythropoietin erythropoietin promoting product that would that would promote the production of red blood cells because their body doesn't make it. But there are other things that they might be able to do, not for the girls, because they have a very specific problem going on. But for somebody who's going through chemotherapy or who has anemia, um, there's tranexamic acid, there's platelet producing drugs, um, there's iron infusions. There's there are lots of other things that can be done depending on the individual. Um, so and you can ask for those things too. Um, there's uh, radial embolization which, which, where they can embolize certain vessels. There's also something called cell saver Technology, where you can, if you know that you're going into a surgery, they can actually harvest the blood as you're bleeding out, clean it in like kind of a dialysis cleaning situation, a through a perfusionist and then put it back in. That's actually the safest way you can receive a transfusion is for them to clean your own blood and just put it right back in again.

[01:04:17] Del Bigtree

Interesting. What is the argument that's being made? I mean, your daughters are given this incredible testimony. What arguments being made? No, sorry. You know, young ladies. Uh.

[01:04:29] Tanya Lair, PA-C, Mother of 2 Adopted Children with Rare Blood Disorder

So if you watched the rest of the hearing, you can hear because all of the politicians asked these people from that particular blood bank why. And their biggest thing that they said is, well, people lie. And the people that are people lie.

[01:04:46] Barbara Bryant, MD, President and CEO, Carter Blood Care

Directed donor blood is not safer than the voluntary blood donors that we have. Um, they have a 2 to 7 times higher risk of infectious diseases. And the critical elements when you donate blood is to fill out a donor questionnaire. And also the testing that we do. But directed donors are incentivized to donate. And they are have been found to be more likely to, um, not be truthful on that donor questionnaire. Now, we do test all blood for infectious diseases, but there are window periods for each infectious disease HIV and hepatitis C ten days, hepatitis B up to 24 days. So it's possible for donor to be infected with these viruses and not test positive. That's where this donor questionnaire becomes very, very important because we're evaluating the risk. So if a donor is incentivized to donate they may not be truthful. And this is why you see an increased risk of directed donor blood.

[01:05:46] Tanya Lair, PA-C, Mother of 2 Adopted Children with Rare Blood Disorder

And so the people that are closest to you are motivated to donate for you. And so they're going to lie about their extracurricular habits. And that is just wild to me, because let me just tell you who our donors are. Whenever we whenever we brought the girls home and they were not thriving, um, our hematologist at the time actually came to us and said, listen, um, your girls have rare antigens on their blood. They're difficult to match. And we don't always have a bag sitting on the shelf ready to give one of your girls. Um, and if we do, sometimes the bag that they had was going to expire the next day. So if you give a patient a bag of blood that is fixing to expire, you're giving them empty red blood cells that do them absolutely no good. And all you do is create iron overload, which is a big problem for chronically transfused patients because iron collects in every major organ that you have, and there's absolutely no way to get the iron out except to bleed or take really dangerous chelator medications. So she said, if you find some donors that match your daughters exactly, then we can solve this problem because they can go in and donate the week before. We'll always have the blood on hand, it will be fresh and they'll do great. And so I had a mission and I did it. So I didn't ask close friends and family. I did a Facebook poll that was public, and I asked people if they had any kind of calling to come do something good for these little girls who were not thriving to help us. People came out of the woodwork because at the core of every human being, we all are created to do good. And so people genuinely, I feel, want to be good people deep down everybody. And so these strangers came out of.

[01:07:24] Del Bigtree

The believe in humanity to the whole point of my, uh, my, my perspective today.

[01:07:30] Tanya Lair, PA-C, Mother of 2 Adopted Children with Rare Blood Disorder

So these people came out of the woodworks to help us, and we tested hundreds of donors, and we didn't find hundreds of donors, but we did test that many, and we found a few that matched exactly, which was really, really hard to do. And it didn't happen overnight. It took us a while to find these donors, but these donors faithfully. Some of them I have yet to this day to meet them face to face. And they, you know, I don't have any money. I have seven children. We don't have we don't have gifts to give people. So I just thank you so much for everything that you're doing for us. That is the text message I send and it's genuine and they know it and that is it. They've never met my girls and they don't care. They genuinely want to help our kids and that's it. But this blood bank says that, oh, well, they're going to lie. What's interesting, and I'm just going to add this, is that I was I was writing up here with Liz, and, uh, she got a phone call from a blood bank, and they said, hey, um, we know you donated a couple of weeks ago, but we need some platelets. There is a shortage of platelets. We'll give you \$230 gift cards if you'll come do it right now. Right now. We need it right now. And if you do that, we're also going to put your name in a hat for an all expense paid vacation trip to for two to the Super Bowl. Yep. Come on, come on, let's do it. And she. She said you know, she couldn't. And why not? But but you need to. And so like.

[01:08:54] Liz James, Founder and President, BlessedByHisBlood.com

They were pretty pushy.

[01:08:55] Tanya Lair, PA-C, Mother of 2 Adopted Children with Rare Blood Disorder

Yeah.

[01:08:55] Liz James, Founder and President, BlessedByHisBlood.com

And I actually get that call about every 3 or 4 weeks.

[01:08:59] Tanya Lair, PA-C, Mother of 2 Adopted Children with Rare Blood Disorder

But what's crazy is that they're incentivizing anonymous donors. They're incentivizing.

[01:09:04] Del Bigtree

Everybody. I mean, the entire process is anonymous. The fact that you'd lie as though somehow that lie is going to permeate the blood, that's going to be tested for antigen, all the issues anyway, it's going to go through the same blood test as anyone else. And why is why is anyone that knows you or doesn't have any greater propensity to lie than someone that's trying to pick up some tickets? But that says to me, there's huge funding behind this. There's something bigger than what we're seeing. This isn't just about, oh, we're blood company. We want to, like, help people donate blood. Where where's the cash cow that I'm not seeing?

[01:09:40] Liz James, Founder and President, BlessedByHisBlood.com

So the. This is very important and this is what people don't understand. I think blood is is maybe the only industry where something where somebody is giving something away altruistically and it is turned and sold and and the United States supplies 70% of the world's blood products.

[01:10:02] Del Bigtree

Around the rest of the world.

[01:10:04] Liz James, Founder and President, BlessedByHisBlood.com

70% of the world's blood products in terms of commodity. It's ahead of gold and coal.

[01:10:10] Del Bigtree

Really?

[01:10:11] Liz James, Founder and President, BlessedByHisBlood.com

That is correct. And in addition to that, only about 20% of blood total that's collected in a community actually stays in the community. But it's not just sold overseas, it's sold to Big Pharma and it's sold for research. And the the big pharma component is really interesting. And I have quite a lot of experience. And I'm a pharmacist. And that I realized back in 2000 about 2012. The biologics, which is a class of pharmaceuticals that is the fastest growing, most profitable aspect of pharmaceuticals, that is that we have now about 10 to 20% of those biologics are actually made from human blood. And that in itself is concerning because it's not just like if I give blood, it's not just my blood going into one person's pharmaceuticals, it's it's batched in vats. And so it's it's literally untrackable. And that creates a whole other set of problems.

[01:11:22] Del Bigtree

Is it I mean, so when people donate blood, how how much value can that have? They're giving away football tickets. Do we have a sense of like overseas? Is blood worth more than it is here?

[01:11:35] Liz James, Founder and President, BlessedByHisBlood.com

It's that is very interesting. So contract prices And there the blood industry is very tight lipped on on pricing.

[01:11:46] Del Bigtree

Okay.

[01:11:46] Liz James, Founder and President, BlessedByHisBlood.com

I have been able to acquire some pricing from various hospitals and and on average, a contract pricing runs about \$700 a bag. But if I'm donating to you and it's a direct donor situation, that barcode bag belongs to you. If it's an anonymous donor bag, they can look at my bag and say, oh, there's something unique about this bag I have. I have like all of these antigens. Perhaps I'm unvaccinated. Whatever. Um, I know I will only get \$7,700 by donating. By giving it to you, del. Or I can make \$4,000 because of these unique properties by donating to this pharmaceutical company. Who is looking for those particular things or somebody overseas or whatever. And on top of that, I will say I was at an event a few months ago talking to a doctor, and one of her patients had gone overseas to have a procedure done. She said that she wanted unvaccinated blood on standby, and they said, well, that will be an additional \$70,000.

[01:12:57] Del Bigtree

Wow.

[01:12:58] Liz James, Founder and President, BlessedByHisBlood.com

So I don't know. That's a that's an anecdotal story, but she, she came back with that information so that if true and I believe it probably is if true. That says that of course all blood is different. I mean and and there are.

[01:13:15] Del Bigtree

So when you go and donate blood, they're studying it and it starts getting categorized.

[01:13:19] Liz James, Founder and President, BlessedByHisBlood.com

That's exactly.

[01:13:20] Del Bigtree

Right.

[01:13:21] Liz James, Founder and President, BlessedByHisBlood.com

That's exactly right.

[01:13:22] Del Bigtree

I'm curious with your daughters. You said that once. They went on to sort of the the pooled blood, if you will, or like not direct donor that they started having health issues. What is wrong? Why is that blood Not as good. Do you know where it's coming from or why they would be having health issues?

[01:13:39] Tanya Lair, PA-C, Mother of 2 Adopted Children with Rare Blood Disorder

So the according to where they were going, they said that they matched it down to the last antigen. So I hope that they did. But um, I did read the expiration dates of the bags because I was very curious at that time what it was. And the expiration date on one of the bags was two days from the day we got it, even though they assured me that they were going to have the freshest blood available and they did it. And so for a person who gets chronically transfused, you need the freshest blood. You also need blood from a healthy donor. So another thing we have in our population is the most people that donate blood are usually over the age of 65. And why is that? Is because you're retired and you're like, you know what, I got nothing better to do. I want to give back, okay? But the 20, 30 and 40 year olds don't typically go donate. Why? Because they're busy. They're working. They don't want to take two hours out of their day to drive and then sit there and then have to drink some juice and do all the things you have to do to donate. It's just not convenient. Yeah. And so, um, those are the people that don't donate. So basically, when we got a bag of blood that was anonymous, I don't know who it came from, you know, but the girl's hemoglobin was tanking. And so a pre-transfusion hemoglobin for my girls, for them to actually be able to thrive needs to be above ten. Theirs was coming in at seven. That's not compatible with any quality of life whatsoever. A normal hemoglobin for a person who does not have a blood disease for a female is about 13 for males 14 or higher. So they had no quality of life. So they laid around all all summer long. One of my daughters got fever blisters. Well that comes from a particular virus. That virus is not one of the viruses that slide. Yeah.

[01:15:17] Liz James, Founder and President, BlessedByHisBlood.com

It was not one that's tested.

[01:15:19] Tanya Lair, PA-C, Mother of 2 Adopted Children with Rare Blood Disorder

Sure. So there's you know, there's certain diseases that they test for in the blood. But there's a lot of them that they don't. And the, um, CEO of this particular blood bank that we were having issues with, went on stage and said there is a ten day window for HIV that we don't know if someone donates. There is a 12 to 14 day for hepatitis C, and there's a 24 day window for hepatitis B, and that is a random donor off the street who decides, I want to go do a good thing today and donate. My donors have been doing this for ten years, so if they had one of those problems, they would have been screened out by now. So their arguments are completely invalid. They make absolutely no sense. They also argued that it was so expensive. Like, this is so much more expensive to do this. And, you know, basically they're tagging with a special little tag and they're putting it on a, on a shelf, and the blood that goes to the hospital's blood goes to hospitals all the time. So you're not hiring an extra courier or paying those fees or anything, so there's no extra cost. The only extra cost that I had in that particular situation is they made me pay \$111 to donate my own blood with a money order. I could not do a credit card, a check or anything. Had to be a money order.

[01:16:38] Del Bigtree

Alright, well, obviously this is something that I think we all need to be focused on. Again, this is just about, I think, sort of corporate capture of things that we think should just be wide open and free, especially here in the United States of America. How do people follow the work that you're doing? They just go to.

[01:16:54] Liz James, Founder and President, BlessedByHisBlood.com

This www.blessedbyhisblood.com.

[01:16:57] Del Bigtree

Okay. Awesome. Look, if you guys will stick around, I'd love to have an off the record. I'd love to know what it's like to adopt out of China. That's got to be quite a ride. And sort of. What inspired you to start? Thank you. Blessed by his blood. Want to thank you for joining us today.

[01:17:10] Tanya Lair, PA-C, Mother of 2 Adopted Children with Rare Blood Disorder

Thank you for having us.

[01:17:11] Del Bigtree

Absolutely. Uh, look, you know, our founding fathers said if you want to remain free, you're going to have to remain vigilant. These may seem like bizarre stories until you find yourself in a situation where you actually need blood or you need freedom. You need the right to choose your doctor. You want your doctor to be able to do whatever they want to do, not what's forced down by some corporate infrastructure that doesn't have you personally in mind. This is about concierge. This is about living the life that each one of us deserves. Remember, this country is founded on the principle of the individual. How dynamic you are. We recognize you unique. We recognize that your body isn't exactly like your neighbors. We recognize that you may want to make different choices. That is what the Highwire is perpetually reporting on is what we're standing for. It's what we're fighting for, for you. So when you donate, you continue to not only, you know, fight for the things that you know you care about. How about the things that you didn't know might be an issue until maybe it's too late? We're looking at those things too. One of the great ways you can donate is we still have our terrace project, which is we're adding to the the High Road, which is this beautiful walkway walk every single day that I come to this show, from the offices to the studios here, the benches are gone, but you can still choose a brick.

[01:18:32] Del Bigtree

And we hope that once you choose that brick, you can come and watch the show and find that brick yourself. This is my favorite brick of the week, everybody. Well, this beautiful walkway is about to extend onto the terrace and this is my favorite brick of the week. Always fight for freedom of choice. The Mallon family. In fact, that's really what this show has all been about. You've been watching it. This is more than just vaccines. This is more than body autonomy. This is a fight for freedom. It is truly what The HighWire is involved in and what I can stands for. So I hope you'll join us in continuing to fight for freedom. Of all the nations that should celebrate freedom of medical decision, medical freedom, it should be the United States of America whose founding doctrine is based on freedom. Well, what happens when you take a medical freedom advocate and you put them at head of HHS? They don't just bring freedom back to this country. They are that beacon of light and shining hope for the rest of the world. And that's what happened in an announcement this week by Robert Kennedy Junior. Take a look at this.

[01:19:43] Robert F. Kennedy Jr. United States Secretary of Health and Human Services

Today, I want to tell you about a letter that I just sent to Germany's federal Minister of Health, Nina Walkin. Because what's happening in Germany right now demands a clear public response from the United States of America. I've learned that more than a thousand German physicians and thousands of their patients now face prosecution and punishment for issuing exemptions from wearing masks or getting Covid 19 vaccines during the pandemic. When any government criminalizes doctors for advising their patients, it crossed the line that free societies have always treated as sacred. In my letter, I explained that Germany is targeting physicians who put their patients first and punishing citizens for making their own medical choices. The German government is now violating the sacred patient physician relationship, replacing it with a dangerous system that makes physicians enforcers of state policies. Your health is no longer your doctor's priority. Under this system, your doctor instead is serving the welfare of the collective, as determined by unelected technocrats with no medical training. During the Covid era, governments across the world expanded their authority. Even in America, doctors were unjustly attacked for challenging the status quo. Germany followed that same pattern, and now doctors who raised questions or challenged official directives face conviction, the loss of their licenses and even exile from their professions. Germany has long held a respected place in the global community as a nation committed to democratic values and human rights policies that suppress dissent, that silence speech, that criminalize medical decision making, undermine that legacy. A confident government listens to its citizens. A free society protects the rights to think the right to question and the right to choose. In my letter, I made it clear that Germany has the opportunity and the responsibility to correct this trajectory, to restore medical autonomy, to end politically motivated prosecutions, and to uphold the rights that anchor every democratic nation. History will record how leaders responded. Moments like this. Thank you.

[01:22:08] Del Bigtree

What an incredible statement. And it really points out concerns we should all have. You know, if you've watched this show, sitting at this desk have been countless doctors and scientists that actually saw the truth before anyone else did. Said that the vaccine was never going to stop transmission. Said that you were going to have blood clots. The leading heart doctor in the world, most published, Peter Mccolough, have sat right here. He sat here and warned people, this is raising your risk of myocarditis. Pericarditis all which has been backed by was backed by science then. Now there's even more science that exists. But how does a free society allow the persecution of science? We have watched that in the darkest times throughout history, and even darker is when the media gets behind it and becomes a propaganda machine for that authoritarian government attacking free citizens, real science, real scientists, simply because they have a differing opinion. And I want to point this out without freedom, you don't have science, without freedom, you don't have health. Because ultimately, one thing I know to be true about the history of mankind and especially of science. I can't think of a single circumstance where the consensus in the body of science made the altering shift and change that evolved that science practice from Galileo. We threw him in jail. We wanted to believe we were the center of the universe. And when we didn't get what we liked, our authoritarian government said, you should be arrested. Certainly, we should have evolved from that. Certainly we should recognize that the evolution of science and medicine and the advancement of society relies upon doctors being able to step outside of the norm, step outside of the consensus, and offer a different position and offer science that can be repeated. And if that science does repeat and does show that that mask is incapable of stopping a particle as small as a coronavirus, then it should be, you know, not mandated upon you.

[01:24:11] Del Bigtree

And certainly a doctor should be able to write an exemption like those that are now under threat in Germany. And if a vaccine doesn't stop transmission, then why do you have to take it if it cannot protect your neighbor? Why would that doctor lose their license? And when you start seeing all the harms caused by this vaccine, and we're doing an investigation now, look into the future. It looks like our concern of prion disease, uh, you know, which is essentially a form of mad cow disease maybe being caused by this vaccine. We're doing a deep dive. I'm not going to bring it to you until we have more information, but we are continuing the research because, you know, we have to know what's happening inside of our society. We have to know if we're in the clear. But more importantly than anything else, we have to learn from history. And it has never been intelligent to rush science and to arrest the scientists that have a dissenting perspective. Open market, free speech. That's what America is based on, and I would really love to see news and media begin to support freedom instead of authoritarian controls, which clearly are being funded by large moneyed interests. The HighWire doesn't work that way, and we hope that others will follow our lead. This is the work that we do here, and we're excited more than ever to continue this work, because this may be the most important year in the future of our species. I hope you'll stay tuned in to our work, and I'll see you next week on The HighWire.

THEHIGHWIRE