

NAME

EP 462 2/5/26.mp4

DATE

February 7, 2026

DURATION

1h 6m 47s

12 SPEAKERS

Del Bigtree

Jenn Sherry Parry, Executive Producer

Jakk Tap'R, CNN Correspondent

Anderson Cooper, CNN Correspondent

Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

Jamie Reed, Executive Director, LGB Courage Coalition

Robert F. Kennedy Jr. United States Secretary of Health and Human Services

Female News Correspondent

Male News Correspondent

Ken Paxton, JD, (R) Texas Attorney General

Lee Bigtree, Merchandise Manager

Female Speaker

START OF TRANSCRIPT

[00:00:05] Del Bigtree

Have you noticed that this show doesn't have any commercials? I'm not selling you diapers or vitamins or smoothies or gasoline. That's because I don't want any corporate sponsors telling me what I can investigate or what I can say. Instead, you are our sponsors. This is a production by our nonprofit, the Informed Consent Action Network. So if you want more investigations, if you want landmark legal wins, if you want hard hitting news, if you want the truth so that ICANdecide.org and donate now. Alright everyone, we're ready.

[00:00:44] Jenn Sherry Parry, Executive Producer

Yeah! Let's do this.

[00:00:46] Del Bigtree

Action. Good morning, good afternoon, good evening. Wherever you are out there in the world, it's that time to step out onto the Highwire. You know, there's always these conversations. We bat around phrases like misinformation, disinformation. Of course, we were censored in the middle of Covid, which in many ways just gave us a lot of free advertising. But so many of you write in, so many people talk about the fact that it's like we're living in two different worlds. Sometimes if you just try to listen to that other media station, you're listening thinking, how is it you're reporting on this? This is the exact opposite of what I just experienced or what's happening. If you go to an event, you're like, that is not what happened at this event. But perhaps more egregious than any report I've seen in a very long time, was a report that came out this week. And you want to talk about being on the opposite side of good, being on the opposite side of what's right. Check out what happened at CNN with Jake Tapper and Anderson Cooper. Take a look at this.

[00:02:01] Jakk Tap'R, CNN Correspondent

Hello to CNN viewers. I'm Jakk Tap'r. First order fact checker. We begin tonight with a disturbing report out of the oven. Sector intelligence officials are telling us that the terrorist group known as the Rebel Alliance, a fringe coalition of religious extremists and smugglers, has launched a cowardly, unprovoked attack on the peaceful Death Star. Anonymous sources close to the emperor tell CNN that this station was essentially a galactic housing project designed to bring affordable energy to the Outer Rim. Yet the radical rebel terrorists, led by the disgraced former senator and known white supremacist Princess Leia Organa, falsely claim it was a weapon. We are now joined by our chief Imperial correspondent, Anderson Cooper. Anderson, what are you hearing from the core worlds?

[00:02:39] Anderson Cooper, CNN Correspondent

The mood here is one of shock and outrage. Jake, I've spoken to several anonymous sources within the Imperial Senate who confirmed that Lord Vader was actually on a diplomatic humanitarian mission when his ship was boarded by these rebels. There is a lot of disinformation spreading right now. You have conspiracy theorists claiming the Empire destroyed Alderaan, but our fact checkers have debunked this. The official report clearly states Alderaan suffered a spontaneous planetary mining accident caused by rebel negligence. To suggest the empire fired upon it is just baseless anti-government conspiracy theory, absolutely debased.

[00:03:10] Jakk Tap'R, CNN Correspondent

And we're seeing reports about the ringleader of this latest attack, a radicalized white farm boy named Luke Skywalker. What do we know about him?

[00:03:16] Anderson Cooper, CNN Correspondent

It's a terrifying profile. Jake Skywalker appears to have been indoctrinated by a fanatical zealot named Obi-Wan Kenobi, a member of the outlawed racist Jedi religious cult. Experts say this force religion is extremely dangerous, teaching young men to reject science and order in favor of ancient weapons and violence. Skywalker was seen associating with Han Solo, a convicted felon, smuggler, and tax evader who has been known to shoot first. These are not freedom fighters, Jake. They are violent deplorables who hate democracy.

[00:03:45] Jakk Tap'R, CNN Correspondent

Truly shameful. And finally, Emperor Palpatine reassured the galaxy today that absolute power is actually very freeing and that any suggestion he's a Sith Lord is an outdated conspiracy theory, pushed by people who don't even live on Coruscant. Up next, a panel of six Imperial moffs explains why tax increases and government overreach is a good thing and will actually help you. Cnn is sponsored by CNR Fleet Systems. Do your patriotic duty and help the Empire secure the galaxy. That's all for now. You're watching CNN, the most trusted name in Imperial approved truth.

[00:04:14] Del Bigtree

I saw there's a TikTok by a guy named Brian Jacobi. I think that's who made it. I'm not exactly sure. But whoever you are, wherever you are out there, that was pure genius. And I wanted to share it with you just to remind you, no matter what we're all going through here or how serious a day may be, or maybe a law or an initiative we're trying to change, please remember to have a sense of humor. It's what really makes us spectacular. And if you can laugh, you can still hold it all together. And I think it also inspires us to do more if we can stay happy about it. So I just wanted to share that with you. I woke up the other morning and saw that post and burst out laughing. It made my day. Actually, it's made my week and **it's making my day right now**. But to get to the real news and what's really going on, I actually have a great show coming up. I have the opportunity to speak to Attorney General Ken Paxton, the attorney general of Texas. This is a bucket list moment. Actually, I moved to Texas from California back in 2019.

[00:05:12] Del Bigtree

This guy has just been spectacular fighting, especially for issues that we talk a lot about medical freedom. He's actually doing an investigation into, you know, the incentivization giving money to doctors to force people to take vaccines. It does seem like that really pushes the envelope for what we should expect. Um, as far as our freedom, medical freedom, can you really force something on me just because you're being incentivized to do so? So anyway, I'm going to ask him a lot about that work that he's doing in that investigation. But first, it's time for the Jaxen report. I don't know what I'd do. Jefferey if I, you know, watched a video that sort of made me look to be aligned with the Death Star, but I swear that there was something about that video that really captured it away when you were trying to explain what it feels like to live in this world, that is what it feels like, right? Like the opposite story. The heroes are the enemies, and the enemies are our heroes. You're like, what is going on anyway?

[00:06:24] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

Right? Coming from a journalist trying to detangle those things, I found myself laughing, but then getting kind of sad because how accurate that portrayal was, with any overlay of information, you can put that on any window. It's so accurate. Yeah. I want to talk about really the is **in our space here** in the health journalism, in the medical space. Medical choice really the biggest story this week is a Detransitioning has won a court case against a doctor and their psychiatrist for medical malpractice. Here it is. "Jury finds doctors liable for malpractice and gender gender surgery lawsuit." Let's look at the facts here. "A jury on January 30th found a psychologist and surgeon liable for malpractice after they supported and performed breast removal surgery on a 16 year old girl who at the time, identified as transgender. Fox, now 22 and no longer identifying as transgender, was awarded \$2 million in damages, \$1.6 million for past and future pain and suffering, and another 400,000 for future medical expenses." Del. This is the natural course of medical products and procedures when they don't have liability protection like vaccines. We're seeing how courts actually handle these things. And so just to get into this court case a little bit, uh, the person in question that just won this award was suffering from depression, anxiety, was diagnosed with autism and this is one of the reasons they won, was because their doctor, their psychologist, deviated from the standard of care to go through this procedure and did not give full, informed consent. These were now this court case is sealed. So we're going from the reporting reporters were there. Um, one of them, Benjamin Ryan, he's an independent journalist. He put together a spreadsheet just to show you where this is going. This is the first court case here. But by his account, 28 more other cases nationwide.

[00:08:16] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

This is where this is going. And we can see I want to paint this picture here because it really looks like this is the start of the end for the I want to call it the cottage industry within hospitals and gender care at university hospitals that are, that are rushing these kids through without informed consent, deviating from the standard of care. And these lawsuits are probably going to start coming a lot faster if it doesn't stop. One of the big issues uh, these lawsuits are running up against is the statute of limitations. So for medical malpractice it's usually about 1 to 3 years depending on which state you bring these lawsuits in. But what states are doing now is they're extending it in special cases for gender affirming care for those type of lawsuits. So you have a list of of states now that have expanded that Arkansas, 15 years after they turn 18, Tennessee, 30 years after they turn 18, Missouri. Florida, also extending it. So you have this massive extension of the statute of limitations for gender affirming care lawsuits, and other states most likely are going to follow. And this all started in 2023, really from the state level. When you saw whistleblower go to Missouri. The Missouri AG and basically, Missouri was one of the first states to outlaw gender affirming care for minors. And you saw the officials there. They're looking at these youth clinics. Since then, two have been closed down. One of the people that testified and gave an affidavit to the attorney general of Missouri was Jamie Reed. Jamie Reed has been kind of just tearing through at state houses across across the United States, testifying. She's a whistleblower. Here's her most recent whistleblower testimony in Nebraska. Take a listen.

[00:09:57] Del Bigtree

Okay.

[00:09:58] Jamie Reed, Executive Director, LGB Courage Coalition

When I started working in the center, I would be what you would refer to as a true believer. I not only believed that such a thing as a trans child existed, but I believed that the earlier we could intervene, the better in the long term outcome. This is kind of what we talk about in autism services or other services with kids. If we start an intervention early, perhaps we'll make it better for their life. It wasn't necessarily that my bias changed. Um, I'm still from the community. I'm still a lesbian. I was married to a trans identified adult at the time. What changed was the actual evidence in front of me. So what I started to see, first of all, is that these patients were not getting better, so their mental health was not improving. Although that's what's promised in the intervention that their mental health will get better. It wasn't happening, but also what we saw, which we've seen internationally as there has been a complete absolute social contagion element that just tore through this industry. When I started in 2018, we had four new patients per month, and they were almost all prepubertal boys who acted very feminine.

[00:11:04] Jamie Reed, Executive Director, LGB Courage Coalition

When I left, we had 60 new patients per month, and almost every single one of them was a trans identified adolescent girl who had multiple mental health comorbidities, who had been sucked into trans as an identity, who had been sucked into it from social media, from their Covid lockdowns, from their school. This completely tore through this industry in an unprecedented way. The reason why we talk about this at all is because there was one of the largest social contagions to ever happen in adolescent girls rip through this country over this 17 systematic reviews of evidence have been done globally. These are huge projects. Every single one of those 17 systematic reviews of evidence has come to the unequivocal conclusion that there is no quality evidence to support the medical transition of a child, period. Those were reviews that the country of Finland did, that the country of Sweden did that, Norway did that, the UK did. We're not talking about one person at a hospital writing a paper. We are talking about entire nations reviewed all of the evidence you could find. And there is not a single single paper of systematic review that supports doing this.

[00:12:32] Del Bigtree

It's so powerful. Jefferey and what I mean to see one case, like the first case of its kind, when on behalf of this poor young lady who was, you know, manipulated into making a choice that she would not be happy about in the future. And but I wonder about all these doctors that performed these things that were going along with what seemed like, you know, the new standard of care and moving forward. They must be quaking in their boots right now. You know, thinking about, you know, you know, how many of my patients could turn on me and could come back on me? You know, I was just doing what I thought was right. And for those doctors, I just want to say, uh, you deserve it, frankly. This goes so far beyond common sense. I mean, the idea that you were going to rob someone of their puberty, that you were going to make a you're going to make, I mean, such a permanent decision for a child when we don't give them any, we don't allow them any other permanent decisions for their life. What rush was there? Why couldn't they wait until after 18 and manipulating parents into it? All of it. Um, you know, whether or not you were manipulated or there was too many people around you. We are supposed to be able to trust that you are working on instinct and really looking at the science. There was none here. So for every doctor that ends up losing their license over this, um, good riddance, in all honesty, good riddance.

[00:14:00] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

Absolutely. And the doctors and the surgeons, they were the engine that led this. As Jamie Reed said, this social contagion, they were the ones that drove this for minor children that were just rushed through this process. So the dominoes are really stacking up here. You have lawsuits beginning to build statute of limitations, stretching so more people can be included in these lawsuits. You have at the state level, you have whistleblowers coming out, but now the federal level is pushing their thumb on this. So right before Christmas, you had HHS come out and they put together their review. This is over 400 pages highly cited, and this is their treatment for pediatric gender dysphoria. Review of evidence and best practices. I want to go to the conclusion here and read this because this is very important. This is a central theme of this review is that many US medical professionals and associations have fallen short of their duty to prioritize the health interests of young patients first. There was a rapid expansion in implementation of a clinical protocol that lacked sufficient scientific and ethical justification. Second, when confronted with compelling evidence that this protocol did not deliver the health benefits it promised and that other countries were changing their policies appropriately, US medical professionals and associations failed to reconsider the gender affirming approach. Third, conflicting evidence evidence that challenged the fundamental assumptions of the protocol and the professional standing of its advocates was mischaracterized or insufficiently acknowledged. Finally, dissenting perspectives were marginalized, and those who voiced them were disparaged, just like the pandemic. And right after that document came out. We had Secretary of HHS Robert F Kennedy Jr say this. Take a look.

[00:15:35] Robert F. Kennedy Jr. United States Secretary of Health and Human Services

This morning I signed a declaration. Sex rejecting procedures are neither safe nor effective treatment for children with gender dysphoria. These procedures failed to meet professionally recognized standards of care. Medical professionals or entities providing sex rejecting procedures to children are out of compliance with these standards of health care. This declaration is a clear directive to providers to follow the science and the overwhelming body of evidence that these procedures hurt, not help, children. Additionally, CMS is proposing two new rules. The first rule will bar hospitals that participate in Medicare and Medicaid, which is almost every hospital from performing these dangerous and harmful procedures. The second rule prohibits the federal Medicaid dollars from funding sex rejecting procedures on minors. Furthermore, HHS is also moving to reverse the Biden era, the Biden administration's attempt to include gender dysphoria within the definition of disability.

[00:16:49] Del Bigtree

Just in this one space alone. Imagine where we'd be had the election gone a different direction. I mean, thank God we've got this reasonable man in HHS and someone that's, you know, allowing science to be done. Not afraid to question the science and doesn't care who you know the interested parties are. We're going to get to the bottom of it. So thank God. I mean, it's really amazing.

[00:17:17] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

And we're talking about children here. And it's not hard to predict at this point what direction things are going to start moving. So you saw a headline here just a couple days ago out of Utah. University of Utah. Suddenly ends. Suddenly ends. All remaining health care for transgender youth, pointing to expected bans. So they're anticipating a full scale state and federal ban. They're out of the business at this point. They're done, and you're probably going to see a lot of other headlines suddenly changing course. Um, what's interesting here, because there's another side to this conversation. There's still people fighting here. And it's interesting because anytime we find a conversation where there's some ambiguity or some lack of science, you find the American Academy of Pediatrics on the other end of that, and they're no different on this one. Remember, the American Academy of Pediatrics argued the opposite of this point that's happening right now. This is their policy paper. They were trying to change nationwide policy. They did a pretty good job of it for a couple of years. Um, this was in 2023, prohibition of gender affirming care as a form of child maltreatment. They wanted to reframe the discussion. There are two main points in reframing this discussion. First, they wanted to refute the idea that gender affirming care is child mistreatment, and secondly, and most importantly, they wanted to demonstrate how withholding gender affirming care is harmful to children amounts to state sanctioned medical neglect and emotional abuse.

[00:18:33] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

If they had their way, the lawsuits would be going a lot different right now. They'd actually be going against doctors that didn't do it fast enough. And so the remaining states that are still allowing this, um, they're they're pushing back. In fact, here's California Attorney general sues Rady Children's Hospital for curtailing gender affirming care. And then the next one here, New York. This is all kind of in the same basket. New York and other states sue feds to protect 300 billion threatened by gender policy rules. So \$300 billion states collectively receiving government funding to basically it's a contingent now upon these new rules that Kennedy is putting in. So they're going to lose that funding. So they have gone to the courts to sue the Trump administration to try to keep that funding. And these are now a minority of states. So we look at this map here and you can see this. The the states that are shaded have laws against gender affirming care and transitioning of minors. The ones that don't are also part of this lawsuit. So Oregon, California, Colorado, Delaware, Illinois, Michigan, Nevada, Minnesota, you have New York, Vermont, Washington, Rhode Island, they're all part of this lawsuit.

[00:19:42] Del Bigtree

I'm assuming they're all most of the same states that are deciding to go along with the AAP on double vaccinating the children over the new vaccine program that's available to the rest of the country through the change made by Robert Kennedy Jr. I mean, it's like, you know, the stupid states. Maybe that's what we call them from here on out. The stupid states are now requiring that you, you know, cut the genitals off of your children and double vaccinate them, even though that is not even acceptable in Denmark or Germany or the other countries that we're now following.

[00:20:14] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

Yeah, and most of them are. And I hate to use the word anti-science because it was leveraged so hard against people like you and I when reporting facts during the pandemic, but it really seems like it's the anti-science dark age of Dark Ages of Medicine group. And you can see here in the headlines, just like Jamie Reed said, this social contagion swept across America and is starting to slow down. Now, here's a headline from just a handful of months ago. We have number of young adults identifying as transgender plunges by nearly half in two years. So it almost seems like the wave has crested. And we're seeing a different conversation here. And isn't it funny? It's tied to these these hospitals and these surgeons that are fearing for their licenses and fearing for lawsuits against them. That seems to be slowing the social contagion down.

[00:20:58] Del Bigtree

Well, that and taking the groomers outside of the public schools like, you know, removing that, you know, attack on your children's psyche as you were making kindergartners question their own genders. I mean, boy, we're coming out of some some real insanity. It's a breath of fresh air. And you can see look at how quickly it changes, right? It was totally socialized, as was so **well stated in that testimony**.

[00:21:23] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

Yeah. And talking about change. Change is in the air. **We're upon an anniversary**. Just came and went. 20 years of an inconvenient lie. I guess you want to talk about it when it comes to Al Gore's Inconvenient Truth, his documentary won an Oscar for it. It was all over the place. And at this point, we look back on it now and here are the headlines. Two decades of inconvenient inaccuracies. And why are we always beating this drum here? Because this painted. It's about narratives. I mean, we lived through Covid. We saw how narratives allowed lockdowns, forced vaccination, I mean, all of it. I don't have to go through it. The narrative of climate change, really. Al Gore was the jet fuel. The inconvenient truth was the jet fuel that drove this home into the minds of children and into the minds. I mean, he's a documentary filmmaker. He made this documentary. And so a lot of people didn't know. But he was sued by the High Court in the UK and lost because there were so many inaccuracies in 2009. Here's the headline here. An Inconvenient Verdict for al Gore. So he lost there because in the UK you can't put this forward, especially as schoolchildren, and be biased and basically lie to them. So of course schools this is the headline must warn of gore climate film bias.

[00:22:36] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

So they had to when they played these films in school. If you were in the UK, you had to get a list of all the bias inaccuracies of this film because this court ruling. Here's a list of them. I mean, there's over ten of them, but we can go through a couple. The first one, the film claims that melting snow on Mount Kilimanjaro. Evidence global warming government's expert was forced to concede that that is not correct. Number five, the film claims that the study showed that polar bears had drowned due to disappearing Arctic ice. It turned out Mr. Gore had misread the study. In fact, four polar bears drowned, and this was because of a particularly violent storm. You go to the bottom. The film suggests that the Antarctic ice covering melting. The evidence was that it is fact increasing. So you go through the kids now have to sit down and basically get fact checked by because of a court order, because this guy can't do a proper documentary with it takes five seconds to fact check this stuff. But let's keep going on these fact checks, because this is another narrative that it's really starting to turn fast. Well.

[00:23:35] Del Bigtree

Again, I'm going to do the same caveat I do every time. Jefferey I want clean air, I want clean water, I want clean food supply, all of those things. I consider myself an environmentalist, but I do not agree. When these things start being used as trigger points for authoritarian control, which is what this is with all the carbon credit scores, the WEF and the W.H.O.. So when we're reporting on this, I want to make it clear to everyone out there, you know, let's try to clean up our environment. It's good for all of us, but we should do it in ways that make sense, and certainly not by destroying our economies and our ability to walk amongst each other or have jobs.

[00:24:15] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

Right? And I think we can all agree conservation is a big thing, especially stopping environmental toxins, which we know are leading to this chronic disease epidemic. This is a main contributor to this. And so I want to go into the conversation about polar bears because a new study just came out. They're looking at this polar bear conversation. And for all the people that were sad and crying, that polar bears were drowning and dying and were losing them in this earth where they could become extinct, here's the headline Polar Bears getting fatter and healthier amid ice loss. Researchers weighed and measured 770 adults in Svalbard between 1992 and 2019, and found that bears had become significantly fatter, which means healthier. They think that Svalbard bears have adapted to recent ice loss by eating more land based prey, including reindeer and walrus. So there you go. Now, over the past couple of weeks here in the United States, we've received a huge Arctic blast. Some say it's the biggest 1 in 40 years, and the conversation about an ice age has come about. It's come back around full circle. You see headlines like this. This was just over a month ago, right before it happened. Global warming could trigger the next ice age. It's a silent science daily. But we have a conversation from a professor. His name is Andreas Born.

[00:25:28] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

He was basically asked about this in an article. When will the next ice age come? And he's at the University of Bergen Department of Earth Science, and he studies past climates. And he says this next ice age will probably not begin for another 50,000 years, says born. Researchers have arrived at slightly different estimates. If we disregard human influence. The current interglacial period is estimated to last between 10,000 and 50,000 more years. We're therefore living in an interglacial period that is unusually long. That's basically the warm phase between the glacial, between the ice ages. So we're in this this warm phase sandwiched between these two ice ages. But this ice age, he's saying, is it's very long, tens of thousands of years long. So he goes on to even talk about more of the what the earth's doing in this elliptical orbit. He says the reason the interglacial period is especially long is because of natural fluctuations in something called the cycles, says Bourne. These are changes in the Earth's orbit from circular to elliptical, as well as changes in the Earth's tilt and wobble, which affect solar radiation. These cycles have periods of 100,000, 41,000, 17,000, and 21,000 years. They control the timing of the ice ages and interglacial periods, along with feedback mechanisms in the climate system. So that's a pretty big. Yeah.

[00:26:46] Del Bigtree

Well, I mean, just gigantic, enormous elements that human beings have no control over, right? The change in how our orbit is working, the wobbling of the Earth. I mean, these are things when we when we get so egocentric that human beings are these just giant power sources really enough to overcome changes in our orbit, in our wobbling, in our, you know, distance to the the sun and its power. I mean, it puts it all into a little bit of perspective. And those numbers, right? Hundreds of thousands of years, tens of thousands of years. Um, you know, it's, uh, it's it's humbling in all the right ways.

[00:27:26] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

Yeah. And we're talking about galactic prime movers here. And so what about people? Well, he goes on to say this. He says an important factor for when the next ice age will come is greenhouse gas emissions. The CO2 content in the atmosphere has increased, in the planet, has become warmer, he says. These estimates that with the emissions we have already had, the next ice age will be delayed by at least 120,000 years from today, perhaps as much as 200,000 years, he says. So depending on your perspective, he's saying global warming in this case is actually good when it comes to staving off an ice age that's going to bury us under thousands of feet of ice.

[00:28:04] Del Bigtree

It's time. It's time for, I think, a message in a bottle to our, you know, kin out there. 200,000 years from now. You're welcome.

[00:28:13] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

We did our best so well when it comes to this climate conversation, because it's existential. It's eaten every topic. We know also pandemics. They're not sacred here. And so we have the Lancet. Remember Lancet. Uh, the journal nature. These are the people that said natural immunity wasn't a big deal during Covid. These people have said natural origins is where this pandemic came from, from bats. Well, they also say climate change and pandemics a call for action. So they're saying climate change is going to exacerbate and make so many more pandemics, just like we saw with Covid. And so that conversation now is interesting because as everyone is taught, to look at the horizon for climate change caused pandemics, there's actually researchers from private companies that are doing way more dangerous work than what's happening with the climate right now when it comes to pandemics. Take a look at this headline. This is out of the Daily Mail says "Lab grown life takes a major step forward as scientists use AI to create virus never before seen." They talk to one of the researchers at this private company doing this, doctor Wolfson told the Daily Mail. "For at least 4 billion years, all life on Earth has evolved by the trial and error process of Darwinian evolution, by natural selection, which lacks any foresight or intention." He goes on to say, "natural evolution now has a coauthor, and it's people." It's humans. They're jumping into this, this space with artificial intelligence.

[00:29:37] Del Bigtree

So. So let's replace the lack of natural intention and foresight with something we have no foresight on, and is not natural at all. AI let's just put. I mean, honestly, Jefferey, that might be the most terrifying headline I've seen. Let's just put AI in AI and nature together. Let's just let the chips fall where they may. What could possibly go wrong?

[00:29:57] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

Right. I mean, all of this, it's assuming that there's there's no creator. Okay, that's fine. But it's also assuming that that that that trial and error process that nature has, there's no kind of wisdom in that that keeps superbugs from just being made every week. Um, there's a lot of questions here. And again, the you have states, you have countries that are falling way behind on trying to regulate these things. And it's not just states and countries that are doing this. These are private organizations. These are the organizations that are willing to sit down with the Daily Mail and have a conversation. What about the Wuhan labs out there that are outside the purview of of our, of our journalists and of our governments? What are they doing with artificial intelligence when it comes to virus creation? These are huge, huge questions. This is 21st, 22nd century stuff here, but it needs to be answered now.

[00:30:46] Del Bigtree

Yeah. Immediately. I mean, this is really terrifying. Imagine just putting AI in a lab and saying grow whatever virus you can, any bacteria you can. And by the way, see if you like humanity at all. And don't let that affect any of the work that you're doing, at least **not without telling us first**. Amazing reporting Jefferey as always. Um, yeah. I'm glad we started out with some humor, because some of this stuff is not so funny when you look at it, but obviously we have our work to do. We've got to stay vigilant. It's sort of what I'm going to be talking about with my guest coming up here, but Jefferey, I'm going to be traveling all over Europe coming up and talk about that at the end of the show. You're going to be sitting in the seat and running the show next week. Uh, so next week's The HighWire hosted by U. Jefferey Jaxen. I love it when you do that. I know the audience does. We get all sorts of great reviews. So take care of this seat, would you?

[00:31:36] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

Alright. Will do.

[00:31:37] Del Bigtree

Alright, alright. Thank you. I'll see you in a couple of weeks. Great.

[00:31:41] Jefferey Jaxen, Investigative Reporter, The Jaxen Report

Alright. Safe travels.

[00:31:42] Del Bigtree

Alright, well, you know, look, we keep talking about where else are you getting this information? I mean, when I look at social media, sure, there's people that are covering these things, but you never really get the details right. You see the headline go by, you hear that? There was a lawsuit won, but you don't really understand what it's about. You don't really get that all these states are extending, you know, the amount of time that you can file a lawsuit. All of this Jefferey Jaxen and this amazing team of scientists we have around the world are looking through everything that's happening in your world and deciding what are the most salient, important points that you should know about and then get into the details around it. There's no one else doing a show like this, and there's no one that then takes that information and decides, hey, wait a minute, we might actually be able to involve ourselves. We might actually be able to bring a lawsuit to stop this craziness. This is an experiment that's going on here, and it's done very well because of those of you that are sponsoring this work. But we are as you can tell, you can see, we are this divide that's being fueled by the liars of mainstream media. You saw what they said about the Death Star.

[00:32:53] Del Bigtree

It's not a nice place, despite what they want to tell you. But that's really what we're up against. You're up against a system of propaganda that is so pervasive and so effective. How many of you are thinking like, what happened to my loved ones? How are they not asking any questions? How are they sticking in a space where you're not allowed to ask questions? Some of us, you know, felt like we were in those conversations and started waking up. Covid woke us up. Well, we have a lot of work to do because you can see the pushback now. You can see the states that are going to try to keep gender affirming care going or going to keep a full vaccine program, and they're going to try and take away, you know, religious exemptions that exist. There's a lot of work to do here. And we don't just sit on our heels and and report on it and say, see, here's the problem. We actually do something about it. And if you can tell it's, you know, I said last week, it's like that. Newton's law of physics, every action has an equal and opposite reaction. So much success right now is being met by the opposition. They realize the line has been drawn in the sand.

[00:34:00] Del Bigtree

Do you want to push over that land that line and take the land and take the opportunity and win this once and for all? Well, if you do, then we need you. This year we need you supporting the work that we're doing. Over 90 lawsuits going right now. All you have to do to be a supporter, since GlaxoSmithKline obviously isn't helping us out at all. Go to the top of the page, hit donate to ICAN become a recurring donor. I try to wonder how many of you watched me say this every week, \$26 a month and think, yeah, I'm glad someone's doing that. Why not you? Why not make this that moment where you get to say, you know what, they really do good work. And though it seems like a little bit amount of money, I can imagine that if those of us all watching got involved, we're not taking on every case that we could. We're not fighting every battle that we could. There's issues I want to get into that, frankly. You know, we're going to stick out this vaccine thing to the end. But I want the right to slaughter to be something that we can actually get into. This is for the small farms. You know, when you go to, you know, the farmers market, wouldn't you love it if it didn't cost like 2 to 3 times as much for that organic, grass fed ribeye that grew up the road? But they're having to spend so much money in processing to get through all the rules.

[00:35:10] Del Bigtree

Wouldn't you love it if they were allowed to just slaughter that animal there and bring it straight to you? They'd make more money, you'd spend less money, we would all get better food, maybe even help connect them with our schools. These are all things that could happen and things that we want to get involved in. If you decide to become a recurring donor today, if you really want to change your world, then we think this is the best investment you can make. If you're listening to this on a podcast, grab your phone. You can text us 72022 and just type in the word donate, and I will respond with a text message back and a bunch of information about how you can donate. It's super easy. You will be a part of the Informed Consent Action Network within under five minutes is all that's going to take. And then every time we win, every time you see a story break that everyone else was covering up, every time you see us get it right, you can say, I actually had something to do with that.

[00:36:06] Del Bigtree

So I want to thank all of you that make the Highwire possible. We have overcome the dark forces of Pfizer, of Moderna that tried to own our government. Look who's in government now. Those of you that have been supporting us have made these great moments in history possible. I want you to be able to say to your grandkids and have them tell stories about you to their grandkids and their great grandkids, but a great generation of people that really did save freedom for America. Again, speaking of saving freedom, um, attorney generals, I mean, I really **the rule of law in America and** the ability to have these these attorneys general. The general over all the attorneys of the state is what a concept, right? Like the biggest badass attorney in the state. Well, we have one here in Texas, and he's actually investigating the incentives that are going to doctors to force vaccinated, especially in a state like this, you have a right to opt out. But what if your doctor isn't allowing you that right? What right do they have? And if they're doing it just to make more money, does that seem ethical? Well, it doesn't to Attorney General Ken Paxton here in Texas. Take a look at this.

[00:37:21] Female News Correspondent

Texas Attorney General Ken Paxton is launching a statewide investigation into alleged financial incentives linked to childhood vaccine recommendations.

[00:37:31] Male News Correspondent

A new investigation targeting what he calls a multi-level multi-industry scheme by Big Pharma and Big Insurance to financially pressure doctors into pushing childhood vaccines.

[00:37:42] Female News Correspondent

Paxton's office issued more than 20 civil investigative demands to large providers, including United Health Care and Pfizer.

[00:37:49] Male News Correspondent

According to Paxton's office, the current framework has Texas kids receiving more than 70 shots from birth to age 18 as a condition to continue receiving medical care from many pediatric practices.

[00:38:04] Male News Correspondent

His office also alleges that some families are being expelled from clinics or even denied treatment based on vaccination status, while doctors pay and even employment may depend on how many vaccines they administer.

[00:38:15] Female News Correspondent

The AG says this is all to protect Texas children and bring transparency to the medical care system.

[00:38:21] Del Bigtree

Here's the actual announcement came out from Attorney General Ken Paxton. Office. "Attorney General Ken Paxton launched his wide sweeping investigation into unlawful financial incentives related to childhood vaccine recommendations." Just a couple of excerpts. "Attorney General Ken Paxton opened a landmark investigation into the multi-level multi industry scheme that has illegally incentivized medical providers to recommend childhood vaccines that are not proven to be safe or necessary. The wide sweeping investigation will analyze an incentivization framework that has historically forced Texas kids to receive over 70 shots from birth to age 18, in order to continue receiving medical care." It goes on to say, "I will ensure that Big Pharma and Big insurance don't bribe medical providers to pressure parents to jab their kids with vaccines they feel aren't safe or necessary, said Attorney General Paxton." "I will not tolerate a carrot and stick approach to health care recommendations. Any provider or entity whose medical guidance is fueled by financial incentives from an insurance company, Big Pharma or otherwise will be exposed." I'll bet you're all dreaming that this was your attorney general. It happens to be my attorney general here in the state of Texas. It is my honor and pleasure to be joined by him now, Attorney General Ken Paxton, thank you for taking the time today.

[00:39:38] Ken Paxton, JD, (R) Texas Attorney General

Yeah, thanks for having me on. I really appreciate it.

[00:39:40] Del Bigtree

So, you know, what is this about? Are you anti-vaccine? I'm sure that's what they say. This is an anti-vaccine attack. What really is motivating this investigation?

[00:39:52] Ken Paxton, JD, (R) Texas Attorney General

No, I had vaccines. Uh, my. My children had some vaccines. This is about choice. One of the things that we all got to see during Covid is this idea that we don't have a choice. The government is going to make us lose our job or our business. It's going to remove our job. There's social pressure. This should ultimately be a decision by an individual or a parent for those children to make the best decision that they believe is right for their children or for them. Not some government entity that doesn't have the right incentives or some business deciding what's going into your body that could permanently affect you. So that's what this is about. This is about individual choice. I don't care if people get vaccines, if they should, they should or they it's. But it should be up to them.

[00:40:33] Del Bigtree

I completely agree. It's the heart of what our nonprofits call the informed Consent Action network, meaning informed consent is, you know, the rule of it should be the rule of law all across a free country like the United States of America. What inspires you to get involved in something like this? Is it that parents come out directly to you? Do you hear stories? Obviously, I've been reporting a lot pediatricians saying I get incentivized by insurance and we're being told AAP. Others tell pediatricians, kick people out of your office if they won't get all the vaccines. Are you hearing similar stories and where those stories coming from?

[00:41:12] Ken Paxton, JD, (R) Texas Attorney General

Yeah, I have a lot of reasons. One is I have children. I have four children of my own, I have six grandchildren. And I also hear these stories and I that's why we're investigating these 20 different companies, because the decisions shouldn't be forced on us for profit motives. We ought to be given all the information and a choice, and not be forced by getting kicked out of plans, or have something negative happen to you or your kids. This ought to be about individuals again making their own choices in the best interest. And there also, I mean, we we have all the right incentives to make the best decision for ourselves. These companies don't have that incentive. They have one incentive, which is to make money, right?

[00:41:50] Del Bigtree

You know, I had a chance to meet with you in the middle of Covid. We brought in some of our information on the Covid vaccine. It was a great meeting. One of the things that I found interesting was sort of the attorney general's position. And whether you have, I don't know if the term is standing, but could you actually bring a case like what is the you know, there's only certain parameters with which an attorney general can work. Can you explain some of the difficulty of your position? You can't just bring any willy nilly lawsuit you want on behalf of Texas. What are the elements that have to be in place for it to be of the interest that sits within your scope of reach?

[00:42:28] Ken Paxton, JD, (R) Texas Attorney General

Well, this is a really challenging area because the federal preemption for vaccines. So if as long as it gets called a the vaccine, supposedly, you know, there's no liability. So you can produce any kind of vaccine with any kind of result. Good, bad, you know, destructive, and you're protected by federal law. Well, I don't buy that for state law. We have our own state laws that should stop any business from lying to consumers. And then when consumers rely on those lies, they end up hurting themselves. And there are damages. So in in our case, we thought Pfizer lied to the to our, to my constituents that the vaccine was not 95% effective. We believe it's less than 1% effective. So we sued them and there was an argument, and we're still fighting this that we don't have standing because we were preempted by the federal law over vaccines. I we are making the argument. Yeah, that law may apply to federal lawsuits, but it should not preempt states from protecting their citizens from deceptive trade practices, which I believe some of these manufacturers and insurance companies are promoting.

[00:43:31] Del Bigtree

So your your position is, no matter what the federal law says, the federal government is not allowed to poison Texas citizens. Is that essentially, you know, to to put you in harm's way simply because they have some overarching, uh, perspective of the law?

[00:43:47] Ken Paxton, JD, (R) Texas Attorney General

Yeah. You can't you still can't. Yeah. They can be protected federally from any lawsuit. But we have state courts to protect and we have state laws that are different. I mean, the federal government, the state government are different. States were created and they formed the federal government. We retain the powers that we didn't explicitly give the federal government. And this is one of them. If you come and lie to our consumers about the benefits of something that is actually not beneficial and may be harmful, then you should be held liable. That's the only way we're going to be able to protect our citizens. Otherwise they can get away with literally get away with murder, killing our kids and harming our kids. And there's nothing that can be done that doesn't seem right. And I don't think it's the law.

[00:44:26] Del Bigtree

I agree with you. It's going to be really fascinating to watch you go through this. And there's a lot of attorney generals across the country. There seem to be speaking up now. Covid really seemed to awaken the beast, at least on the conservative side of politics. I never really thought of an attorney general as being politically oriented, necessarily. Um, but where did you know for you personally, was Covid the first time you started bringing cases on, you know, or thinking about vaccines in a different way? Did that affect you in how you saw that rollout, or is this something that's always been on your radar?

[00:45:01] Ken Paxton, JD, (R) Texas Attorney General

No, I mean, I always wondered about it because I, you know, there were all it seems like there was an increasing number of vaccines that were required. And I've always wondered about, you know, their effectiveness. And it seemed like it was hard to know what the right thing to do is. So I always struggled with this as a parent. And so we made individual decisions about that. But I think it was Covid that, that that really sort of heightened my interest because it made no sense to me that the that we were being threatened. I mean, why should you care if I get the vaccine, if you're protected, if the vaccine is so effective to protect you, why should you care if I get it? And that really created suspicion on my part.

[00:45:38] Del Bigtree

When? What is the relationship between an attorney general and the governor? Do you have to do you work on your own or does everything sort of get run up the ladder? Are you cool with me bringing this case? What is that? Are there rules to that? And how you have to work.

[00:45:55] Ken Paxton, JD, (R) Texas Attorney General

Depends on the state. There are a few states, I think 6 or 7, that the governor appoints the attorney general. So the attorney general answers to the governor is not independent in Texas. I'm elected by the voters. I certainly work with the governor. I work with a lot of statewide officials, and it's important to me what they think. But in the end, I answer to the voters first and to other people second. And so I obviously have clients that I have to I have to listen to. But a lot of these cases are Texas cases, and I'm the lawyer and I'm the client. And so that involves me listening to the voters and paying attention to what they want. First and foremost.

[00:46:30] Del Bigtree

You also have a case on something we've talked a lot about on this show, which I think is super important, these hospitals that won't, for instance, give an organ donation or go to the organ donor process and let someone gets a vaccine. Here's the headline on that. Attorney General Ken Paxton warns Houston Methodist Hospital over alleged Covid 19 vaccine mandates for organ transplant patients. Tell me a little bit about how you got into this case and where that's at here in the state of Texas.

[00:46:58] Ken Paxton, JD, (R) Texas Attorney General

Yeah. So we we are still looking at that. We're still looking at other hospitals. We were you know, again, I was informed by just commonly get informed by constituents that just are experiencing some problem like this. And so we're trying to understand are they really preventing people from like having life saving treatment because they won't get a vaccine that's unproven and potentially risky. So that's what we're trying to find out because we think that is unconscionable and wrong. And if we discover that that is true, we are going to do our best to stop it.

[00:47:29] Del Bigtree

There's another topic we've covered earlier in the show, which is the idea of transgender care. Robert Kennedy Jr and President Trump HHS has really come out now and discussed that they are no longer supporting any use of sex reassignment or gender transitioning care for minors. I think everyone would say as an adult, this is a free country. But when it comes to children, um, you've gotten involved and weighed in on that. Here's that headline. Attorney General Ken Paxton sues doctor for illegally providing harmful gender transition treatments to nearly two dozen Texas children. Um, I you know, I'm curious because this seems to dance a bit of a fine line. Ken, I know that you are a huge advocate for parental rights. Our right to choose is a huge part of this. This seems to turn that on its head a little bit. If a parent wants to go in and get gender affirming care. In the state of Texas, there's laws have been passed that say that's not allowed. How do you look at that? How do you navigate that sort of fine line?

[00:48:34] Ken Paxton, JD, (R) Texas Attorney General

Well, first of all, I applaud the President and Robert F Kennedy for, for for doing this. We sued the Biden administration several times over issues like this where they were trying to force this down the state of Texas throat and other states as well. So we've been involved in this fight. So the difficulty with this and why I think it's different is, you know, when you transition a child, first of all, they they're not mentally able to make the right decisions because their brains are not fully developed. And to and to to do something this permanent. We don't let minors do certain things. We don't let them sign contracts. We don't let them. We don't we don't let them do a lot of we don't let them go into the military. We don't let them do a lot of different things until they're of age, which we consider 18 in most states. And there's a reason they're not fully able to make good decisions because their brains aren't fully developed. And these are decisions once you've made these alterations, whether it's chemically or through some type of, you know, physical surgery, you've affected somebody's life forever and there's no going back. It seems like this is one of those things where a parent should not be allowed to do this until their kid can make his own. The child can make his own decision when he's more, you know, developed mentally.

[00:49:42] Del Bigtree

Do you feel like, I mean, you know, as being, uh, you know, attorney general in the middle of Covid? I mean, in this we live in what seems like one of the most outrageous times, certainly in my experience of being alive in this country where you had gender affirming care, you have men and women's sports. You have, you know, forced vaccination of children. But it all seems to have pharma in the background of it in some way. We have issues of SSRIs and school shootings and all of this. What has it been like to to be an attorney general in the middle of that. And do you feel like you are fighting or somehow involved with a battle against pharma more than you imagined you would when you started this job?

[00:50:29] Ken Paxton, JD, (R) Texas Attorney General

Oh, absolutely. Because I'm very pro-business. I have no problem with profit motive as long as it isn't deceptive and harmful to people in this case. I mean, it's shocking to me that Covid, the way it was managed, was so just insane. And so, uh, sort of controlling and maybe almost totalitarian from from both a federal level, a state level and a and a local level. And I'm not just talking about Texas. I'm talking about the whole country. Decisions were being made by government that were affecting our everyday lives and all kinds of ways. And there are all these unanswered questions that I had to deal with that had never been there's no precedent. So we were having to adjust every single day to try to figure out how to protect people from mostly the government who is supposed to protect us. And now in many of these decisions, whether it's Covid or transitioning or other issues like that. It feels like the government is doing harm to us. And I'm in a position of kind of being in between trying to protect people from the government.

[00:51:28] Del Bigtree

When you look forward at the future of Texas, when you look at the future of the United States of America that's being challenged by these issues, do you look beyond our borders? I mean, you're just inside a state. Are you looking at the World Economic Forum, the W.H.O., are you aware of this sort of more global push for a lot of these things that seem to be affecting our government, especially under the previous administration, is that in your mindset, as you look at how you govern or work through laws here in Texas?

[00:51:58] Ken Paxton, JD, (R) Texas Attorney General

Oh, absolutely. I'm looking at the origin of some of this stuff, and it is kind of a globalist mentality that doesn't doesn't seek to benefit the people of this country. It's more of a, you know, collectivist type thing where, you know, we don't want you in America to be, you know, prospering when nobody else is prospering or prosper when other people are not prospering is not enough. We're going to make this all fair. We're going to take from you because it's not fair that you have more. Well, I don't buy into that mentality. We we have our own country. We have our own laws, we have our own freedoms, and we need to protect our Constitution. I don't care about the globalist mentality of of control. What I care about is the Constitution, the freedom that has been granted to us, uh, by that document, which really is from God, not from the government, is really important for this country because it's such a great experiment that has worked so well. And even if you hate the country, it's hard to dispute the results, which are really remarkable. And it has created the greatest free country in the history of the world. That provides more opportunity than any place in the history of the world.

[00:52:58] Del Bigtree

Do you think, as citizens, we should be concerned right now that we could lose that freedom? I mean, I, I tend to talk a lot about it on my show. Do you think we're just overreacting, that, you know, for those of us that are like, it really felt like we were about to lose free speech. We did in the middle of Covid, you know. Where do you think we are at in America? Is our Constitution sound enough to just hold off that sort of global push, or do citizens need to get more involved right now? What is your sort of, you know, statement to people in this country, and especially in states like Texas that are really standing, have always stood for sovereignty. And, you know, let your, you know, you know, live and let live, if you will.

[00:53:39] Ken Paxton, JD, (R) Texas Attorney General

Well, I don't remember who quoted who the quote was from, but, you know, the price of freedom is eternal vigilance. And I think that's true today, just as it was 100 years ago or 200 years ago. If we don't watch out, they will. There are people that want to control us. They want to control the wealth. They want to control your life, your health care. There are just people look at look at China, Xi Jinping, he wants to control everything. He thinks that he is deserving of this power. And that's not uncommon. People that are in power tend to view themselves as deserving that power. And the people and the rest of us are just not as worthy. And so that's not the view of our country. That's a bottom up approach where everyone's worthy. Everyone has a vote, but you better pay attention. Most power has to be taken away by force, whether it's with a rock back in the old days or a bow and arrow, or, you know, a bazooka or a tank. But with our country, you don't have to do that. You just go vote. You stay involved. You stay, you stay engaged, you stay educated, and you get to control the power by voting and by by being educated about what the truth is. But you have to work at that. And the great thing is, it's nothing like what every other country in the world used to have to go through from the beginning of time, which is you had to go to war to take people out. We don't have to do that. And so there is a responsibility behind that, and people need to pay attention, or we will be back to that same type of force mentality, which is what you have in countries like China and Russia and others.

[00:55:02] Del Bigtree

You seem to still have faith in our voting system and our political system. A lot of people have given up. They're like, oh, it's rigged, everything's rigged. What do you say to those people?

[00:55:15] Ken Paxton, JD, (R) Texas Attorney General

I say they're partly right. I think it is rigged in many states. I think, you know, I have fought voter fraud in this state, uh, regularly. And it does happen. Other states that don't have any real protections, there's no photo ID, they have mail in ballot fraud every day, and they cheat. And I think that's something, you know, I'm running for U.S. Senate. One of the things I care about very greatly is requiring that we have photo I.D. and that we have protections on mail in ballots so that people can't cheat. Because if you can cheat in California, you're affecting my election. You're affecting my freedom. You shouldn't be able to cheat in any state, at least at the federal level. And so it's very important that we protect those elections because nothing else matters if we if our vote doesn't matter because they're cheating in these states or they're cheating in my state, we are in trouble because we don't actually control any of these issues.

[00:56:04] Del Bigtree

Lastly, you said you have children. You've got grandchildren. Congratulations on that for your beautiful, healthy family. Um, when you think about them, are you hopeful for the future?

[00:56:15] Ken Paxton, JD, (R) Texas Attorney General

Look, I wouldn't be doing this if I wasn't hopeful. I still believe that we are the greatest country that has ever been created. And we have a responsibility. Yeah. There's assault from outside and inside, from global leaders all over the world. Want to take us down? Uh, and they want to control us. And there are people inside this country that don't believe in that. They think that they're elitist, and they think they can better tell us. It's like this whole, you know, mandate on vaccine vaccines. Those are elitists that think that they can tell us when the reality is, it has been assumed from the beginning of this country that it's the individual, uh, that has that responsibility and that they have the, the, their best interests in mind rather than some, some government leader telling me what I need to do. And I understand we have to have laws and all of that, and I believe in the rule of law, but in the end it should be based on voting, not a few members of an oligarchy in Washington, D.C., or in a foreign country like Brussels that tell us what to do.

[00:57:16] Del Bigtree

You know, I know I said it was my last question, but you triggered something when you said, you know, that it be legal. As an attorney general in a state like Texas, should we ever have an experience like we had during Covid, being forced to wear masks, being forced to lock down, turning our neighbors in these things? What do you recommend to a citizen? You know, we know some of our founding fathers said you should reject laws that, you know, go against your rights. How do we determine that? How do you how do we know when there's a moment where we just say, oh, hell no. I do not believe that is a just law. What is our position as citizens in complicated situations like that? Is there a way to inspire how you should think about your place in those moments?

[00:58:05] Ken Paxton, JD, (R) Texas Attorney General

Yeah. You know, what I've always done is I go back to the Constitution first. It's such a genius document. And these fundamental rights. What I love about that, the Declaration of Independence, is that it sets out the standard, which is these are inalienable rights given to us, not by any government leader. They don't control us in this country. It's one of the there's no other document like that. And if our government overstepped its bounds, according to the Declaration of Independence, we have a right to overthrow that government. The government needs to answer to us. And so whenever you start thinking about the government telling you what to do, you need to balance it between what the Constitution allows them to do versus what they're actually doing. And if they are overstepping their constitutional role and they're exerting too much power at the executive branch or in Congress or judiciary, then we need to change that. We need to fight back or we will lose our freedoms. We will be controlled by other people, and we will lose the ability to make our own decisions. And that's not the place I want to live.

[00:59:03] Del Bigtree

Well, Ken, you are making Texas a great place to live. You are a critical part of it. I am so thankful for the work that you do here. It's one of the reasons I left California and moved to Texas. Godspeed to your continued efforts and your journey. Thank you for watching out for the children of this state. And really thank you for representing, being a beacon of light and hope for sovereignty, for the right to choose, for the right to free speech. You truly are a spectacular light in this country, which I agree with you, is the greatest country in the world. So I want to thank you for taking the time today to share your thoughts on these really important subjects right now.

[00:59:41] Ken Paxton, JD, (R) Texas Attorney General

Well, thank you for having me on, and thank you for enlightening people, because this is the type of information that's crucial to making the right kinds of decisions for our families and for our future.

[00:59:51] Del Bigtree

Absolutely. Take care. I look forward to having you on the show in the future.

[00:59:55] Ken Paxton, JD, (R) Texas Attorney General

Thank you.

[00:59:56] Del Bigtree

Alright. Thank you. Alright. Well, I mean, it just feels like it just seems so reasonable, right? But it's only reasonable because we grew up in America. Those were the thoughts of America that our government doesn't control us. It doesn't own us. We are given our rights by God, and we are really dancing on a fine line. When you watch mainstream news and you see how they're telling this story, as though our rights are being given to us by the government, this is something we really need to check in with. And if you feel like things are happening to you that the government is doing to, you're forcing you to do outside of that inalienable right, then I think that's a moment to speak up. You know, one of the ways that you can speak up is to sort of leave your place to make your statement in an indelible way, and that is one of the ways that we're raising funds. There's only two weeks left to our terrorist project. You can write something literally in stone that will stand the test of time. And we have people visiting every single week this campus that come and watch the show and to watch them just stroll the walkway. And ultimately they'll be sitting on the terrace, maybe reading your words or your affirmation about this world, the world that we live in or something you want to see for the future. Or maybe since Valentine's is coming up, just a statement to your loved one. Whatever it is, I hope you'll take part in this. Don't miss this opportunity. So many of you reached out after we did our, you know, our walkway, and now we have the terrace. It's only two weeks left. Take this opportunity to get a brick. This is my favorite brick of the week.

[01:01:33] Del Bigtree

Well, my favorite brick of the week. And it's so perfect since I got to talk to Ken Paxton today. But it's keep Texas free. Keep America free. How are we ever going to make America healthy again if we're not free to do it? So remember, get involved. Get involved in politics, run for office, make a difference in this world. That's what that means to me. Do what you can. Because being vigilant is the only way we stay free. Alright.

[01:02:02] Del Bigtree

Well, I hope you'll take the opportunity. Only two weeks left. As we said, Valentine's Day is the last day to get a brick. Please do that now. I don't want to get your calls after I've been saying it. That time is going to disappear. And I'm always like, when you think of it, do it right then. So pick up your phone right now and go ahead and order yourself a brick right away. Another thing you can do is if you want to sort of give, but also get something back is go to our merch store. It's always got great new merch. And I want to point out the fact we have a big football game going on this weekend. Those are the types of events you could go to, or even if you're sitting on the couch with your friends, you know what team they're going for. But isn't the best team to be rooting for Team Humanity? Think about it all your sports events. This is new from our shop.

[01:02:50] Lee Bigtree, Merchandise Manager

The big game is coming up and everyone's repping a team. But the question is, who are you playing for when you wear Highwire merch? You're not just wearing a shirt or a hat. You're telling the world where you stand, you're backing truth. You're supporting the work we do at ICAN. And most importantly, you're on the winning team. Team Highwire. No gimmicks, no corporate sponsors. Just courage, integrity and a whole lot of heart.

[01:03:15] Female Speaker

This is how we Highwire.

[01:03:18] Lee Bigtree, Merchandise Manager

So suit up. Stand proud. Let the world know exactly whose side you're on. Go to thehighwire.com. Shop now.

[01:03:30] Del Bigtree

Alright, look, I am just about to head out to Europe, uh, imminent that my trip is imminent here. In fact, in just two days on Saturday, we're going to be screening an inconvenient study in Guernsey. That's an island off of England. If you're anywhere near there, please, I hope you'll come out and check it out. I'm coming out there for all of you that are finding yourself in the middle of this issue. We're going to talk about what it's like to be in in the UK and in Europe around this. And then on the eighth, also in Guernsey is going to be speeches and panels. Some really great world renowned scientists and doctors that share this space are going to be sitting with me there. And then on February 9th and 10th I'm heading off to Amsterdam. There's a two day event where global experts discuss key issues in science, medicine, public health, all of those QR codes. You can click on those right now if you want to get tickets or find out what's going on there. Truth is, I could be traveling like crazy right now. Australia. There's some people out there that want me. Iceland I hope I can squeeze that in in Spain, Italy. And I might even have the opportunity to speak in front of the European Union soon in Brussels. I'm looking forward to all of that. The world is changing, folks. Uh, we are living in a different world now. All of the momentum is on our side, but that means all of the dark forces that had controlled us up until our awakening during Covid. They have all the money still.

[01:04:55] Del Bigtree

They raise a lot of money during Covid while they locked us in our homes. We need to take that money back. We need to take this back and we got to make sure we win. They're trying to figure out how to get back in control. Guaranteed. And we're watching it around the world. We're watching the globe slip. You're watching things like Los Angeles deciding to align with W.H.O.. You know, the United States of America might be funding, might not be funding you, but we will. You're watching these states push back. We finally are getting a lighter vaccine schedule. Maybe some lives will be saved by that. It's not perfect. I don't like personally any of the vaccines for my kids, but imagine dropping that schedule in half. Getting rid of that vaccine on the first day of life. Give that beautiful baby a chance to breathe, for God's sakes, but they're not going to allow it in certain states. We have our work to do right now, and so I hope you will double down with us and recognize this fight isn't over. In fact, it's just where we want it. But now, you know, just like any boxing match or MMA fighter, frankly, any sporting match, you ever just let down your guard and think, oh, we're far enough ahead now. That never turns out well. Well, we're not going to take our eye off the prize. And I hope you won't take our eye off us. Let everyone you know you're watching the high wire and let them be a part of this great experience. Go out there, celebrate, show everybody you work for team Highwire, and I'll see you next week. Well, Jefferey is going to see you next week. I'll see you in two weeks on The HighWire. For everyone else, I'll see you in Europe. I'm on my way.

END OF TRANSCRIPT

THE HIGHWIRE